Dynamic FAQ Retrieval with Rough Set Theory

Deng-Yiv Chiu[†], Pei-Shin Chen^{††}, and Ya-Chen Pan^{†††}

[†]Faculty of Information Management, Chung Hua University, HsinChu, Taiwan 300, R.O.C.

Summary

We explore FAQ (frequently asked questions) retrieval by applying hierarchical agglomerative clustering method and rough set theory. The clustering method and FAQ collection are used to construct a FAQ clustering concept hierarchy. Then, we use lower/upper approximations in rough set theory to classify users' queries. The rough set theory can help solve uncertain problem well. In experiments, the data is collected from accounting systems and requisition systems of non-profit organizations in Taiwan. The empirical results show that the proposed classification approach is valuable.

Key words:

Frequently asked questions, Hierarchical agglomerative clustering method, Rough set theory, Concept hierarchy

1. Introduction

Nowadays the enterprise has regarded the customer relationship as managing core to satisfy customer needs and maintain customer relationship well. There are many channels of communication between enterprise and customers, such as internet service, e-mail, fax, customer service center, local retailer, etc [1]. To assist customers to find the solution quickly, many enterprises began to design FAQ systems. FAQ systems not only help customers solve questions, but assist the customer service to answer customer questions [2].

However, the majority of the FAQ systems are established with enterprise views. Enterprises often set up FAQ collection and the appropriate answers in advance. But it cannot satisfy customers' needs well via such kind of FAQ systems.

In FAQ retrieval systems, users may typically encounter some difficulties [3]. The matched FAQs are often not satisfactory when keywords are used to search for relevant FAQs. The reason is that mostly information providers try to answer in advance questions that customers may inquery. Another probability is that the extracted representative words from a FAQ can not represent the FAQ sufficiently since the length of the FAQ is brief. Some researches use similarity degree among questions to classify customers' questions. The measurement criteria of similarity degree include Dice coefficients, Jaccard coefficients and the overlap coefficients [4]. Also, some researches apply query log clustering method in which a new similarity measurement using a machine readable dictionary is adopted to improve the deficiencies of FAQ retrieval [5].

The famous FAQ retrieval systems include FAQ Finder [6], Auto-FAQ [7], Sneiders' system[3], and Ask Jeeves[8]. In FAQ Finder system, vector-space model (VSM) is used to calculate similarity degree and WordNet is used to perform concept matching. In Auto-FAO system, techniques in natural language processing are adopted to improve the performance of keyword comparison. In Sneiders' system, to match users' queries to FAQ collection, keywords are classified into required keywords, optional keywords, and irrelevant keywords. In Ask Jeeves system, the FAQ collection is classified into 11classes. Then keywords of user queries are used to search for relevant FAQs. In some researches, case-based reasoning (CBR) method is adopted to find a set of rules, and user queries will be added to FAQ collection incrementally to propose a dynamic retrieval method [9]. But, it can not process uncertain classification efficiently.

In this research, to improve the performance of FAQ retrieval, we first apply hierarchical clustering method to construct the clustering concept hierarchy for the FAQs used as training data. Then, we use lower/upper approximations in rough set theory to classify user queries. The empirical results show that the proposed classification approach is valuable.

This paper is organized as follows: Chapter 2 covers theoretical considerations. Section 2.1 introduces the details of hierarchical agglomerative clustering method. Section 2.2 introduces the concept of rough set theory. Section 2.3, 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 introduce the structure and the details of the proposed FAQ retrieval approach. Chapter 3 introduces experiments and compares the proposed method with other methods. The last chapter introduces the conclusion, findings, and future works of this study.

Manuscript received August 5, 2007

Manuscript revised August 20, 2007

2. Theoretical Consideration

2.1 Hierarchical agglomerative clustering method

Hierarchical agglomerative clustering method is used to cluster data items repeatedly with the hierarchical structure from bottom to up. At the beginning, each individual item forms a cluster in its own. Then, clusters with nearest distance are merged until all items belong to one cluster (or to a predefined number of clusters). The hierarchical agglomerative clustering algorithm is presented as follows.

- (i) Each individual item forms a cluster in its own $C_1,...,C_n$
- (ii) Find the pair of C_i and C_j with the nearest distance.
- (iii) Merge C_i and C_i to form a new cluster.
- (iv) If the number of remaining clusters is equal to one (or predefined number), the process is terminated; otherwise, repeat (ii) and (iii).

2.2 Rough set theory

Rough set theory was proposed by Pawlak [10]. It is based on the rules of data mining and artificial intelligent algorithms. It is suitable to discover uncertain and incomplete implied knowledge. In rough set theory, a database consists of four components $S = \{U, Q, V, f\}$, where U is the universe consisting of a finite set of objects, Q is a finite set of attributes, V is a set of values $=U_{a\in O}V_a$ where V_q is a value of the attribute q, and $f: U \times Q \rightarrow V$ is a function such that $f(x,q) \in V_q$ is the function between record x and attribute q [11].

Rough set theory is based on the approximation concept and lower/upper approximations of a set. Each target set is defined by lower/upper approximations. If X is a subset of U, A is a subset of Q, the lower/upper approximations of A to X can be represented by AX and AX. All of objects in AX (lower approximation) must belong to X. All of objects in AX (upper approximation) could belong to X. A set X is said to be rough if there exists an element in upper approximation but not in lower approximation. The formulas are defined as below.

$$\underline{A}X = \left\{ x \in U : [x]_A \subseteq X \right\}$$
(1)
$$\overline{A}X = \left\{ x \in U : [x] \quad \bigcirc X \neq \emptyset \right\}$$
(2)

(2)

Where
$$AX = \{x \in U : [x]_A \cap X \neq \emptyset\}$$

 $\begin{bmatrix} x \end{bmatrix}_{A}$ is the A-elementary set.

2.3 The structure of the proposed FAQ retrieval approach

The structure of the proposed FAQ retrieval approach is shown in Fig. 1. Hierarchical clustering method is applied to construct the clustering concept hierarchy for the training data. Then rough set theory is used to classify users' queries and to generate relevant FAQs. The detailed steps are as follows.

- Mining features of FAQs in FAQ collection. First, we (i) extract representative keywords from FAQs in FAQ collection. Keywords are used as mining features to represent FAOs.
- (ii) Constructing clustering concept hierarchy. In this step, we apply hierarchical clustering method to keywords extracted from FAQ collection to construct a clustering concept hierarchy. FAQs with high similarity degree are clustered together.
- (iii) Mining features of the user query. In order to classify the user query, here we extract representative keywords for user query.
- (iv) Applying rough set theory to classify user query. In this step, we apply lower/upper approximations in rough set theory to classify the user query by using keywords of user query. Then, the user query is added into FAQ collection.
- Generating relevant FAQs. Finally, the relevant FAQs (v) for the user query are generated. The relevant FAQs are those in the cluster to which the user query is assigned.



Fig. 1 The structure of proposed FAQ retrieval approach

2.4 Mining features of FAQs in FAQ collection

In order to extract representative keywords of FAQs, we adopt CKIP system (Chinese Knowledge Information Processing Group) to segment questions into separated terms. Then, with the assistance of domain experts, we recombine domain terms that are wrongly considered as several separated ordinary terms in previous step, such as domain terms, 請 購 (requisition) in accounting is segmented into two separated ordinary terms, 請(please) and 購(purchase) in pervious step. The steps are as below and an example is shown in Table 1.

- (i) Delete all of the punctuation marks, such as , , , , , , , ; etc.
- (ii) Delete all of the numeral character, such as 0,1,2...etc.
- (iii) Recombine domain terms.
- (iv) Delete the stop words in traditional Chinese, such as"的"(of), "在"(at).
- (v) The remaining words labeled as domain terms, noun, and verb are regarded as representative keywords and are stored to database.

	Question:新增請購案,要在何處新增								
Process step	Question in English : Where to add a requisitions								
	feature1	feature2	feature3	feature4	feature5	feature6	feature7	feature8	feature9
Segment a FAQ with	新增	請	購	案	,	要	在	何處	新增
CKIP system	add	please	purchase	case	,	needing	at	where	add
Delete punctuations	新增	請	購	案		要	在	何處	新增
	add	please	purchase	case		needing	at	where	add
Recombine domain	新增		請購案			要	在	何處	新增
terms	add	requisitions			needing	at	where	add	
Delete stop words	新增	請購案						何處	新增
	add	r	requisitions					where	add

Table 1: An example of FAQ feature mining

2.5 FAQ clustering concept hierarchy

Here we apply hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis method [12] to cluster FAQs in FAQ collection. First, we compute distance among FAQs. And, each FAQ is regarded as a single cluster at the beginning. Then we construct the concept hierarchical structure of the FAQs. Finally, we define each cluster with the assistance of domain experts.

Here we illustrate the clustering procedure. Table 2 lists 5 FAQs and their extracted keywords.

To calculate the similarity degree, the formula used to calculate similarity degree is shown in Eq.3. For example, the similarity degree between FAQ 4 and FAQ 5 is 0.375 (3/4*3/6) since there are 3 extracted keywords appearing in both of FAQ 4 and FAQ 5, there are 4 keywords in FAQ 4, and there are 6 keywords in FAQ 5.

similiarity
$$(A, B) = \frac{(number of same keywords in both A and B)^2}{number of keywords in A*number of keywords in B}$$
 (3)

The similarity degrees are used in clustering process as shown in Fig. 2.

Table 2: An example of FAQs and their extracted keywords										
EAO2	FAQ2 請購案沖銷出現"核銷數大於決標數"									
17.02	The write-off of requisitions shows approved amount greater than amount of contract									
	請購案	沖銷	核銷數	決標數						
Keywords	requisitions	write-off	approved	amount of						
			amount	contract						
FAQ2	開傳票的會計科目	欲存檔時會出現讀	睛輸入最低層級							
111Q2	The accounts of vou	cher shows 'please	input lowest laye	er' when you wan	t to save to f	ïle				
Keywords	傳票	會計科目	存檔	輸入	最低	層級				
Reywords	voucher	accounts	save to file	input	lowest	layer				
FAQ3	傳票作業登打會計	傳票作業登打會計科目,出現請輸入最低層級								
TAQS	When you key in ac	When you key in accounts in accounts operation, it shows 'please input lowest layer'								
Keywords	傳票	作業	會計科目	輸入	最低	層級				
Reywords	voucher	operation	accounts	input	lowest	layer				
FAQ4	沖銷暫付款出現"核銷數大於決標數"									
TAQ4	write-off of temporary payment shows 'approved amount greater than amount of contract									
	沖銷	暫付款	核銷數	決標數						
Keywords	write-off	temporary	approved	amount of						
		payment	amount	contract						
	某幾筆暫付款在開傳票時出現"核銷數大於決標數"不能存檔的問題									
FAQ5	Some temporary payment shows the problem of 'approved amount greater than amount of									
contract so that it cannot save to file when you write voucher										
Keywords	暫付款	傳票	核銷數	決標數	存檔	問題				
	temporary payment	voucher	approved	amount of	save to file	=				
			amount	contract		r				

Table 2: An example of FAQs and their extracted keywords



Finally, we obtain two clusters, respectively called cluster A including FAQ 2 and FAQ 3, and cluster B including FAQ 1, FAQ 4, and FAQ 5. With this method, we can cluster our training data of FAQ collection and construct the clustering concept hierarchy.

2.6 Classifying user query with rough set theory

We use rough set theory to determine the cluster to which the user query belongs as below.

Algorithm: rough set classification

Input:

new F_1, \dots new F_i	//features of user query
$C_1,, C_j$	//clusters in FAQ collection
minSupport	//minimum support value
Q_1, \dots, Q_m	//FAQs in FAQ collection
$F_{1n},, F_{qt}$	//features of FAQ Q_t in FAQ
	collection

Step :

- (i) Find out the lower approximation, \underline{A} , and upper approximation, \overline{A} , of the user query to cluster, C_k , in FAQ collection.
- (ii) If (new F_1 ,...new F_i) $\in \underline{A}$, then (new F_1 ,...new F_i) $\in C_k$.
- (iii) If (new F_1 ,...new F_i) $\in A$ and minimum support value is greater than *minSupport*, then (new F_1 ,...new F_i) $\in C_k$

3. Experiments

3.1 The data and clustering concept hierarchy

The data is collected from FAQ collections of accounting systems and requisition application systems used by over 50 national universities and juridical person organizations in Taiwan. Those organizations use Organization Resource Plane (ORP) information system developed by AIFU Co.* to integrate their accounting systems and requisition application systems. The data collection consists of 1241 FAQ data items recorded from 2002/03/29 to 2004/03/17.

We use following steps to preprocess noisy data.

- (i) Delete records not written in traditional Chinese.
- (ii) Delete records containing content exceeding five sentences or 60 words.
- (iii) Delete records related to specific organizations, such as 'what is the date to sign the accounting system contract with XX university?'.
- (iv) Delete records related to specific date, such as requisition application dated June 17 was not found.

^{*}AIFU company develops Organization Resource Planning (ORP) information system, which includes human resource management, assets management, and financial control management and so on. The company develops information management system for non-profit organizations in Taiwan.

There are 809 data items remained. We use 521 data items (from 2002/3/29 to 2003/11/5) as training data and the rest 288 data items (from 2003/12/7 to 2004/3/17) as testing data. Then we apply the hierarchical agglomerative clustering method to training data and construct a FAQ

clustering concept hierarchy. In the hierarchy, there are 3 levels in which first level includes 2 clusters, second level includes 8 clusters, and third level includes 28 clusters, as shown in Table 3.

First level	Second level	Third level				
Cluster name	Cluster name	Cluster name				
		Voucher preparation (35)	Write-off (23)			
	Voucher (128)	Expenditure purpose (29)	Posting (16)			
		Print-out of vouchers (18)	Voucher number (7)			
	Purchase	Temporary payments (36)	Verification (31)			
	request (83)	Purchase order number (16)				
Accounting		Details of receipts	Details of expenditure (5)			
system	Detail (53)	and expenditure (23)				
(357)*		Details (19)	Chart of accounts (6)			
(557)	Error message (25)	Negative request	Negative temporary payment's			
		purchase's changes (6)	changes (9)			
		Verification numbers greate	er than mark number (10)			
	Budget report (21)					
	Other	Accounts (14)	Lowest level (6)			
	categories (47)	Department budget (13)	Adding accounts (8)			
	categories (47)	Bank reconciliation (6)				
		Adding purchase	Purchase order			
Requisition system (164)	Purchase	request (32)	number (21)			
	request (111)	Expenditure	Purchase order query (41)			
		categories (17)				
	Other	Web page display (25)	Print out (17)			
	questions (53)	Disordered code (11)				

Table 3: The FAQ clustering concept hierarchy in the study

*The number in the parentheses beside cluster name is the total number of data items belonging to the cluster.

3.2 User query classification and evaluation

Here we apply rough set theory to the 288 testing data items to classify users' queries into appropriate clusters in the clustering concept hierarchy. The minimum support value is set to 0.64 with trial and error approach. To evaluate the performance of the proposed method, we use true positive fraction (TP), false position fraction (FP), and false negative fraction (FN). They are defined as below. The evaluation of performance is shown in Table 4.

- TP: a user query is classified into the cluster to which it belongs.
- FP: a user query is classified into the cluster to which it does not belong.
- FN: a user query is not classified into the cluster to which it belongs.

Table 4. I enformance of user query classification							
Cluster Name	Total of data items belonging to the cluster	True Positive fraction(TP)		False Positive fraction(FP)		False negative fraction(FN)	
i tunic	cluster	Total	Rate	Total	Rate	Total	Rate
Voucher	83	82	98.80%	1	0.49%	1	1.20%
Purchase request	64	60	93.75%	3	1.34%	4	6.25%
Detail	33	30	90.91%	4	1.57%	3	9.09%
Error message	14	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Budget report	11	10	90.91%	1	0.36%	1	9.09%
Other categories	22	19	86.36%	2	0.75%	3	13.64%
Purchase request	47	44	93.62%	3	1.24%	3	6.38%
Other questions	14	14	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Average rate 94.79% 0.69%						5.21%	

Table 4: Performance of user query classification

The overall true positive fraction is 94.79%, the false positive fraction is 0.69%, and the false negative fraction is 5.21%. Also, false negative of clusters 'Detail', 'Budget report', and 'Other categories' are high. It seems that we need more training data items of those clusters to extract representative keywords for those clusters.

Then, we generate relevant FAQs for each user query and use precision, recall, and F-measure to evaluate the retrieval performance. Precision is the ability to retrieve only relevant items and recall is the ability to retrieve all of relevant items existing in FAQ collection. The formulas are shown as follows. The distribution of precision and recall in a certain range is shown in Table 5. The average precision, recall, and F-measure are shown in Table 6 in which we also show performance of some other research [13].

$$Precision = \frac{number of relevant FAQs retrieved}{total number of FAQs retrieved}$$
(4)

 $Recall = \frac{number of relevant FAQs retrieved}{total number of relevant FAQs in FAQ collection}$ (5)

$$F-measre = \frac{2*Precision*Recall}{Precision+Recall}$$
(6)

Tuble 5. Distribution of precision and recain in a cortain range							
Range	0.00~0.19	0.20~0.39	0.40~0.59	0.60~0.79	0.80~1.00		
Precision	2	5	12	29	240		
Recall	1	4	5	19	259		

Table 5: Distribution of precision and recall in a certain range

The performance under 60% for the precision occurs 19 of 288 times. The performance under 60% for recall occurs 10 times of 288 times. Those are mainly due to not sufficient training data. Also, the recall is better than 80% for 259 times since the hierarchical clustering method is applied to training data such that many relevant FAQs are clustered together in the hierarchy.

The performance of the proposed approach is significant. The reasons are that the hierarchical clustering method is utilized to set up an appropriate structure for the FAQ collection, rough set theory is used to help solve uncertain problems well, and domain experts provide assistance in extracting enterprise terms and preprocessing noisy data.

Table 6: Compare with the other methods

Method	Precision	Recall	F-measure			
The proposed approach	93.31%	90.64%	91.96%			
Prioritized Keyword Matching	88.00%	85.00%	86.47%			

4. Conclusions

In the research, we explore dynamic FAQ retrieval approach. The clustering method and training data are used to construct a FAQ clustering concept hierarchy. Then we use lower/upper approximation in rough set theory to classify users' queries.

We conclude that appropriate usage of enterprise terms does help. Also, rough set theory can be used to improve the performance of user query classification significantly since it can help process uncertain classification well.

In the future, we expect to continue the works as below.

- We may apply methods in natural language to analyze the structure of semasiology and sentence to extract appropriate and representative keywords for FAQs,
- (ii) Instead of gaining assistance from domain experts, we plan to use rule-based knowledge database to provide rules to preprocess noisy data and to extract enterprise terms automatically. It can promote research value although the accuracy rate may decrease.

Acknowledgments

The authors were supported in part by National Science Foundation and AIFU Co., Grant No. NSC 92-2622-E-216-015-CC3

References

- [1] O. Walton, Jr., : "Customer relationship management in an e-business environment," Proceeding of the 2001 IEEE International Conference, pp.311-316, 2001.
- [2] F. Yeh, "The study of supporting online FAQ generation," Institute of Human Resource Management, National Sun Yat-sen University, Master Thesis, 2003.
- [3] E. Sneiders, "Automated FAQ answering: continued experience with shallow language understanding," AAAI Fall Symposium, pp.97–107, 1999.
- [4] C. J. Van Rijsbergen, "Information retrieval (Second ed.)", London: Butterworths, 1979.
- [5] H. Kim and J. Seo, "High-performance FAQ retrieval using an automatic clustering method of query logs,"

Information Processing and Management, vol. 42, pp.650–661, 2006.

- [6] K. Hammond, R. Burke, C. Martin and S. Lytinen, "FAQ Finder: A case-based approach to knowledge navigation," Proceedings of the 11th Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Applications, pp. 80–86, 1995.
- [7] S. D. Whitehead, "Auto-FAQ: An experiment in Cyberspace leveraging," Computer Networks and ISDN Systems, vol. 28(1–2), pp.137–146, 1995.
- [8] Ask Jeeves, <u>http://www.ask.com</u>.
- [9] Y. Fu and R. Shen, "GA based CBR approach in Q&A system," Expert Systems with Applications, vol. 26, Issue 2. pp.167-170, 2004.
- [10] Y. Yang and T. C. Chiam, "Rule discovery based on rough set theory," Proceedings of the ISIF Conference, pp.11-16, 2000.
- [11] Z. Pawlak, "Rough sets," International Journal of Computer and Information Science 11, pp.341-356, 1982.
- [12] W. Hui, I. Duntsch and G. Gedigal," Classificatory filtering in decision systems," International Journal of Approximate Reasoning, pp. 111-136, 2000.
- [13] E. Sneiders, "Automated FAQ answering: continued experience with shallow language understanding," AAAI Fall Symposium, pp.97–107, 1999.



Deng-Yiv Chiu received the B.A. from Averett College, Virginia, USA in 1988, M.S. from University of Maryland, USA in 1990. He received the PhD in Computer Since from Illinois Institute of Technology, USA in 1994. After working as an assistant professor in the Dept.

of Math and Computer Science, Chicago State University, USA, he has been an associate professor at Chung Hua University, HsinChu, Taiwan since 1996. His research interest includes machine learning, information retrieval, and their applications to knowledge management and finance.