Threshold-based Detection of P and T-wave in ECG using New Feature Signal
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Summary
Detection of P and T waves is an important part in the analysis and interpretation of ECG. The presented algorithm detects and delineates both P and T-waves simultaneously. It employs a modified definition of slope, of ECG signal, as the feature for detection of ECG wave components. A number of transformations of the filtered and baseline drift corrected ECG signal are used for extraction of this new modified slope-feature. Five feature-components are combined to derive the final feature signal. Amplitude threshold of the final feature signal is employed for distinguishing P and T waves with respect to already detected QRS-complexes. P-wave detection rate of 96.95% with false positive and false negative percentage of 2.62% and 3.01% has been reported. Similarly, T-wave detection rate of 98.01% with false positive and false negative percentage of 3.08% and 1.93% has been reported.
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1. Introduction

A standard scalar electrocardiogram consists of P-wave, PR-interval, PR-segment, QRS-complex, ST-segment, ST-interval and T-wave. The P-wave represents atrial depolarization, the QRS complex left ventricular depolarization and the T-wave left ventricular repolarization.


A fuzzy clustering technique using asymmetric basis function network approach, is presented by Geva [12]. Sovilj et al. [13] used multistage methodology enabled by Wavelet Transform to delineate the ECG signal and develop a sensitive and reliable P-wave detector. Wong et al. [14] applied Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) analysis, employing Haar Wavelet detecting the T-wave peak and the T-wave end.


The detection of P and T-waves demands attention on the following aspects:

Filtering: The features extracted, for the detection of P- and T-waves in the proposed algorithm, and those for the detection of QRS- regions incorporate slope, therefore the presence of noise causing unjustifiable magnitude variations is undesirable. The filtering, using moving averages algorithm, is done prior to the QRS-detection [18]. Its performance is found to be satisfactory and no additional filtering is required prior to detection of P- and T-waves.

QRS-detection: Since the detection of P- and T-waves is done with reference to QRS onset and offset, therefore a good QRS-detection rate is a pre-requisite and is fulfilled by the algorithm for QRS-detection [19].

Removal of baseline drift: In the proposed algorithm, the feature extraction for detection of P- and T- waves uses computation of gradient, in a sliding window; therefore baseline drift causes computation of false...
gradient. For this reason, two levels of baseline drift removal are employed – one prior to the QRS-detection on the entire range of sampling instants and another in the RR-interval after the QRS-detection [20]. The first level minimizes the baseline drift, which is sufficient for the QRS-detection. The removal of baseline drift is near total after the second level, this is particularly needed for detection of low magnitude P-waves and feeble T-waves.

**Feature signal for detection of P- and T-waves:** The feature extracted from the ECG signal for the detection of P- and T-waves should be capable of appreciating the low slope and low magnitude of the wave components, as contrasted to QRS-complexes containing peaky waves with prominent slope and magnitude. Further, to ensure sufficient magnitude of the extracted feature, so as to meet the thresholding needs, the proposed algorithm extracts multiple feature components and combines them to attain the final feature signal (referred to as non-QRS feature signal $F_{NQ}$).

**Search interval for P- and T-waves:** Since the detection of P- and T-waves is done with reference to QRS onset and offsets, entire range of sampling instants is divided into 3 search intervals for P- and T-waves:
- First sampling instant to the first QRS-onset
- All sampling instants between each successive pair of QRS-offset and the subsequent QRS-onset, and
- Last QRS-offset till the last sampling instant.

### 2. Procedure

One way of looking at the ECG is the classification of the signal in two parts, namely, QRS-complexes and non-QRS regions. The QRS-complexes being the most prominent parts of the signal are detected first [19]. Already detected QRS-complexes become a reference for detection of P- and T-waves. The ECG signal part between each successive pair of QRS-offset and the subsequent QRS-onset constitute non-QRS regions.

The proposed algorithm first extracts non-QRS feature $F_{NQ}$ for detection of P and T waves (non-QRS components). $F_{NQ}$ is a combination of five different constituent feature components.

The algorithm then detects and demarcates non-QRS wave components in each search interval. This demarcation is interim and is done uniformly, on the basis of magnitude-threshold of the extracted non-QRS feature signal. The interim demarcation is done with rectangular marking pulses and given a common name $C_{NQ}$, that is, non-QRS candidates regardless of being a P-wave or a T-wave.

The algorithm then identifies the P-waves and T-waves, out of these candidates $C_{NQ}$, on the basis of their spatial location, in each search-interval, with respect to the QRS-onset and QRS-offset. The detected P-waves and T-waves are demarcated with marking pulses $M_{P}$ and $M_{T}$ respectively. In order to distinguish between the identified P and T-waves, two different magnitudes $M_{P}$ and $M_{T}$ are assigned to the marking pulses of P and T-waves respectively. This helps in visual distinction between the detected P and T-waves.

The present work has been tested on the entire range of dataset 3 of CSE ECG database [21], which contains 125 cases of 12-lead simultaneously recorded ECG of 10 seconds duration each, sampled at a rate of 500 samples/sec. Thus each of the 1500 (125x12) single lead records has 5000 sampling instants.

### 3. Procedural Steps

**(A)** The first set of steps aims at extracting 5 feature-components $f_{c1}$ through $f_{c5}$ so as to compute non-QRS feature $F_{NQ}$ which is sum of $f_{c1}$, $f_{c2}$, $f_{c3}$, $f_{c4}$ and $f_{c5}$.
- Here, $f_{c1}$ is derived from conventional first derivative of ECG signal (eqn. 4)
- $f_{c2}$ is derived from filtered gradient FG (eqn. 8)
- $f_{c3}$ is derived from product (FG*S) of filtered gradient FG and ECG signal S, (eqn. 10)
- $f_{c4}$ is derived from combination of $f_{c1}$, $f_{c2}$, $f_{c3}$ and absolute value of ECG signal S (eqn. 12)
- $f_{c5}$ is derived from another combination of $f_{c1}$, $f_{c2}$,

The procedures for extraction of these components is detailed below:
1. For computing the first feature-component $f_{c1}$, feature signal $F_{1}$ is used, which in turn, is derived from $y_{1}$:

$$y_{1}(n) = S(n) - S(n-1); \ n=1, 2, \ldots, 5000 \quad (1)$$

where, $y_{1}(n)$ is the first derivative of the ECG signal at nth sample point,

$S(n)$ is the magnitude of ECG signal at nth sample point,

Absolute value of $y_{1}(n)$ is filtered for smoothing it using moving averages method with a rectangular sliding window of 11 sample points’ size from $(n-5)$ to $(n+5)$, with center at $(n)$ (eqn. 2):

$$F_{y_{1}(n)} = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=n-5}^{n+5} |y_{1}(i)| \quad (2)$$

Filtered values $F_{y_{1}(n)}$ of eqn. (2) are normalized by using eqn. (3) to obtain $F_{1}(n)$ as shown in fig. 1(b).

$$F_{1}(n) = \frac{F_{y_{1}(n)}}{\max(F_{y_{1}(n)})} \quad (3)$$
2. Now, $f_{c1}(n)$ is derived from $F_1(n)$, by eliminating the parts of $F_1(n)$ where QRS Marking Pulses MPQ are already demarcated [19], and doubling the remaining $F_1(n)$ for enhancing prominence of the feature-component:

$$
 f_{c1}(n) = \begin{cases} 
 2 \cdot F_1(n), & \text{if } F_Q(n) = 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } F_Q(n) > 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } F_1(n) < 0 
\end{cases} \quad \ldots (4)
$$

The negative values of $f_{c1}(n)$, if any, are discarded as indicated by the last part of eqn. (4), because they are never used. The values of $f_{c1}(n)$, on and above zero-line, are preserved as seen in fig. 2(d).

3. Derive transformed signal ‘TS’ by evaluating the following sigmoid function at the signal sample points:

$$
 TS(n) = 1 - \frac{2}{e^{2S(n)}+1}; \\
 n = 1, 2, ..., 5000 \quad \ldots (5)
$$

4. Evaluate gradient ‘G’ of ‘TS’ with the following relation:

$$
 G(n) = TS_{\text{max}}(n) - TS_{\text{min}}(n); \\
 n=1, 2, ..., 5000 \quad \ldots (6)
$$

Where, $w_n$ is a sliding rectangular window, of width 11 samples, from $(n-5)$ to $(n+5)$ with center at the $n^{th}$ sampling instant. $TS_{\text{max}}$ is the maximum value of transformed signal $TS$ within this window and $TS_{\text{min}}$ is the minimum value of $TS$ within this window.

5. Filter the gradient values by moving averages method to evaluate filtered gradient FG with a sliding window of 11 sample points’ size from $(n-5)$ to $(n+5)$, with center at $(n)$, to smoothen it:

$$
 FG(n) = \frac{1}{11} \sum_{i=-5}^{5} G(i) \quad \ldots (7)
$$

6. For computing the second feature-component $f_{c2}$, the filtered gradient FG is used:

Obtain signal $FG_{hm}(n)$ by shifting $FG(n)$ by half the median value ‘m’ of $FG(n)$. The effect of this shifting can be appreciated in fig. 2(b) and (c).

After the shifting $FG_{hm}$ is closer to the zero-line of the plot, ensuring detection of genuine threshold values only. In case of QRS detection, full median is used for shifting the concerned signal [19], whereas in case of detection of P- and T-waves half median value is found to be more appropriate.

$$
 f_{c2}(n) = \begin{cases} 
 FG(n), & \text{if } F_Q(n) = 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } F_Q(n) > 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } FG(n) < 0 
\end{cases} \quad \ldots (8)
$$

The values of $f_{c2}(n)$, on and above zero-line are preserved and the negative values are discarded, using eqn. (8) as shown in fig. 2(d).

7. For computing the third feature-component $f_{c3}$, Filtered Gradient FG of step 5 is used:

$$
 f_{c3}(n) = \begin{cases} 
 \text{Pre}_f_{c3}(n), & \text{if } F_Q(n) = 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } F_Q(n) > 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } \text{Pre}_f_{c3}(n) < 0 
\end{cases} \quad \ldots (9)
$$

The advantage of the product of $FG(n)$ and ECG signal $S(n)$ is that wherever the slope of $S(n)$ is more the product is enhanced as seen in fig 3(c)

The portion belonging to QRS region is eliminated to obtain $f_{c3}(n)$ from $\text{Pre}_f_{c3}(n)$ using eqn. (10):

$$
 f_{c3}(n) = \begin{cases} 
 \text{Pre}_f_{c3}(n), & \text{if } F_Q(n) = 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } F_Q(n) > 0 \\
 0, & \text{if } \text{Pre}_f_{c3}(n) < 0 
\end{cases} \quad \ldots (10)
$$

The negative values of $\text{Pre}_f_{c3}(n)$ are discarded and remaining values are preserved as $f_{c3}(n)$ as shown in fig. 3(d).

8. For computing the 4th and 5th feature-components $f_{c4}$ and $f_{c5}$, combinations of $f_{c1}$, $f_{c2}$, $f_{c3}$ and absolute value of ECG signal $abs(S)$ are used. $\text{Pre}_f_{c4}(n)$ signal is obtained using eqn. (11):

$$
 \text{Pre}_f_{c4}(n)=[f_{c1}(n)+f_{c2}(n)+f_{c3}(n)+abs(S(n))] \ast abs(S(n)); \\
 n=1, 2, ..., 5000 \quad \ldots (11)
$$

After eliminating the feature-component parts corresponding to QRS marking pulses and discarding negative values, if any, the final values of $f_{c5}(n)$ are preserved as shown in fig. 6(d).

9. A slightly different combination, by dropping the last factor of eqn. (11), is used to compute $\text{Pre}_f_{c5}(n)$:

$$
 \text{Pre}_f_{c5}(n)=[f_{c1}(n)+f_{c2}(n)+f_{c3}(n)+abs(S(n))]; \\
 n=1, 2, ..., 5000 \quad \ldots (12)
$$

After evaluating all the five feature-components $f_{c1}$ through $f_{c5}$, shown in fig. 6, they are combined to yield the pre-final non-QRS feature signal $\text{Pre-F}_{NQ}$, by algebraically summing them all:

$$
 \text{Pre-F}_{NQ}(n)=[f_{c1}(n)+f_{c2}(n)+f_{c3}(n)+f_{c4}(n)+f_{c5}(n)]; \\
 n=1, 2, ..., 5000 \quad \ldots (13)
$$

$\text{Pre-F}_{NQ}$, in turn, is used to evaluate the final non-QRS feature signal $F_{NQ}$ by truncating the values exceeding 1
and retaining all the other values of Pre-F_NQ lying between 0 and 1, including both these extreme values:

\[
F_{NQ}(n) = \begin{cases} 
\text{Pre}_F(n), & \text{if } 0 \leq \text{Pre}_F(n) \leq 1 \\
1, & \text{if } \text{Pre}_F(n) > 1 \\
0, & \text{if } \text{Pre}_F(n) < 0 
\end{cases} \quad (16)
\]

Pre_F(n) and F_NQ(n) are shown in fig. 7(b) and (c).

It can be clearly seen that the P and T-waves regions have been enhanced substantially.

Fig. 1(a) ECG signal S (b) Filtered feature signal F1 (c) F1NQ is F1 after eliminating FQ (d) The first Feature-Component fc1

Fig. 2(a) ECG signal S (b) Filtered Gradient FG (c) FGhm is FG after treating with half the median value (d) Second feature-component fc2 after eliminating FQ

Fig. 3(a) ECG signal S (b) Filtered Gradient FG of ECG signal S (c) Pre-fc3 before eliminating FQ (d) The third Feature-Component fc3 after eliminating FQ

Fig. 4(a) ECG signal S (b) Absolute value of ECG signal S (c) Pre-fc4 before eliminating FQ (d) The fourth Feature-Component fc4 after eliminating FQ

Fig. 5(a) ECG signal S (b) Absolute value of ECG signal S (c) Pre-fc5 before eliminating FQ (d) The fifth Feature-Component fc5 after eliminating FQ
4. Non-QRS Feature Extraction Algorithm

1. Acquire drift free ECG signal S(n);
   \( n = 1, 2, \ldots, 5000 \)
2. Extract feature signal F1(n), using eqn. (1), (2) and (3)
3. Derive first feature-component fc1 using eqn. (4)
4. Derive transformed signal TS(n) by evaluating the sigmoid function using eqn. (5)
5. Evaluate gradient G(n) of transformed signal TS(n) by using eqn. (6) with a rectangular sliding window \( w_n \):
   \[
   G(n) = TS_{\text{max}}(w_n) - TS_{\text{min}}(w_n);
   \]
   Filter the gradient G(n) by moving averages method to evaluate filtered gradient FG(n) using eqn.(7)
6. Derive second feature component fc2(n) using eqn.(8)
7. Derive third feature component fc3(n) using eqn.(9) and (10)
8. Derive fourth feature component fc4(n) using eqn.(11) and (12)
9. Derive fifth feature component fc5(n) using eqn.(13) and (14)
10. Derive desired feature signal F_{NQ}(n) corresponding to non-QRS regions of the ECG signal by eliminating those portions of Pre_F_{NQ}(n) which represent the already detected QRS complexes, using eqn. (15) and (16). The final values of F_{NQ}(n) lie between 0 and 1 on account of truncation done by eqn. (16) as shown in fig.7(c).

5. Algorithm for Detection of P and T-waves

1. Discard those portions of F_{NQ}(n) whose magnitude is less than 2% of its maximum, so that small random variations in signal are dropped. Mark the portions of F_{NQ}(n) greater than 2% of its maximum, with rectangular marking pulses C_{NQ}(n) with fixed peak magnitude of 0.5 as non-QRS candidates and assigned a fixed peak magnitude of 0.5 to C_{NQ}(n) and 0 where F_{NQ}(n) is less than 2%. These demarcated rectangular pulses C_{NQ}(n) are the candidate P and T waves (Fig. 8).
2. Identify and demarcate P-waves and T-wave out of candidates C_{NQ}(n) with respect to each of the already detected QRS-complexes as reference.
   Particularly here, when each single QRS is taken as reference, two types of search interval are sufficient for coverage of the entire range of 5000 sampling instants of a case:
   – First search interval, from 1st sampling instant to the first QRS-onset, because this is the only interval where the algorithm searches for P- and T-waves occurring before the reference QRS, and
   – Second search interval from the reference QRS-offset till the last sampling instant, as all the P- and T-waves occurring after the reference QRS are covered under this interval.
3. Detection of P- and T-waves, out of candidate C_{NQ}(n), occurring before the first QRS-complex (ref. Fig. 8):
   if \( (Q_{\text{count}} = 1) \)
   if \( (C_{\text{NQ}} = 0.5) \) & \( (\text{abs}(C_{\text{NQ}} - \text{QRS}_{\text{end}}) >= 0.66*\text{rr1}_{\text{av}}) \) & \( (\text{QRS}_{\text{begin}} > C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{end}}) \) & \( (F_{\text{NQ}} > 0.10) \)
   set \( T(i) = 0.6 \); \( i \) \( = \) sampling instants from \( C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{begin}} \) to \( C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{end}} \)
   elseif \( (C_{\text{NQ}} = 0.5) \) & \( (\text{abs}(C_{\text{NQ}} - \text{QRS}_{\text{end}}) <= 0.33*\text{rr1}_{\text{av}}) \) & \( (\text{QRS}_{\text{begin}} > C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{end}}) \) & \( (F_{\text{NQ}} > 0.05) \)
   set \( P(i) = 0.3 \);
   \( i \) \( = \) sampling instants from \( C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{begin}} \) to \( C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{end}} \)
end
where, \( i \) = sampling instants from \( C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{begin}} \) to \( C_{\text{NQ}}_{\text{end}} \) and \( Q_{\text{count}} = 1 \) refers to first QRS-complex
CNQ are candidate P- and T-waves, F_NQ is non-QRS feature, abs(x) is absolute value of x, \(rr1\) is average value of RR1 (ref. Fig. 8), QRS_begin and QRS_end are the beginning and end of rectangular QRS marking pulses respectively, CNQ_begin and CNQ_end are the beginning and end of rectangular CNQ marking pulses respectively, P(i) and T(i) are the detected P- and T-waves respectively.

(b) Detection of P- and T-waves, out of CNQ(n), occurring after each reference QRS-complex (ref. Fig. 8):

\[
\text{if } (\text{CNQ}=0.5) \land (\text{abs(CNQ_end – QRS_end}) \leq 0.66 \times \text{rr1}\_\text{av}) \land (\text{QRS_end} < \text{CNQ_end}) \land (\text{FNQ} > 0.10) \\
\text{set } P(i) = 0.6; \quad \ldots \ (19)
\]

\[
\text{elseif } (\text{CNQ}=0.5) \land (\text{abs(CNQ_begin–QRS_end) >= 0.66} \times \text{rr1}_\text{av}) \land (\text{QRS_end} < \text{CNQ_end}) \\
\text{set } T(i) = 0.3; \quad \ldots \ (20)
\]

\[\text{end}\]

where, \(i = \) sampling instants from CNQ_begin to CNQ_end.

3. Club deserving but split up P-waves or T-waves within each RR-interval. If they are not covered under the clubbing logic, then eliminate one with lower peak value of F_NQ – because multiple P-waves or T-waves cannot exist in one RR-interval.

Three search intervals are taken to cover entire range of 5000 sample points with reference to RR-intervals:

- First search interval from first sampling instant to the beginning of first QRS,
- Search intervals from first to last RR-interval, taking two adjacent QRS at a time iteratively, and
- Last search interval from end of the last QRS to the last sampling instant.

The logic for clubbing the deserving waves (separately for P-waves and T-waves), in all the three search intervals, is:

(a) The first search interval is identified by the condition:

\[
\text{if } (Q\_\text{count} == 1)
\]

When this condition is true, the first QRS is reached and the first search interval, from the 1st sampling instant to the beginning of the first QRS, is available.

If two P-waves are detected closely, such that, there lies a non-zero value of F_NQ or S in between then these P-waves should be clubbed to make them one by reducing the detection threshold (if the threshold is reduced for all cases the delineation of other cases is adversely affected):

\[
\text{if } (w_{p1} > 0) \land (w_{p2} > 0) \\
\text{if } (w_{p1} + w_{p2} + pp1 <= 100) \land ((\text{min}(F_NQ(j)) >= 0.3) \lor (\text{min}(\text{abs}(S(j))) >= 0.5)) \\
\text{set } p(j) = 0.3; \quad \ldots \ (21)
\]

end

end

Where, \(j = \) sampling instants from P1_end to P2_begin; \(w_{p1}\) & \(w_{p2}\) are widths of p-waves to be clubbed, pp1=pp-interval

\[\text{Fig. 8} \quad (a) \text{QRS 1 is first QRS-complex, } C_{NQ} \text{ are demarcated candidate non-QRS} \]

(b) P is C_{NQ} detected as P-wave, T is C_{NQ} detected as T-wave by the algorithm.

Note: (1) Peak magnitude of various rectangular marking pulses is kept different to make them easily distinguishable – it is assigned as 1 for QRS (fig. 8a & b), 0.5 for C_{NQ}(fig.8a), 0.6 for T-waves and 0.3 for P-waves (fig. 8b).

(2) RR is RR-interval, RR1 is interval from QRS_offset to next QRS_onset and RR1/2 is half of RR1.
Similarly, if two T-waves are detected closely, such that, there lies a non-zero value of FNQ or S in between then these T-waves should be clubbed to make them one by reducing the detection threshold, with the following logic:

\[ \text{if } w_{t1} > 0 \& w_{t2} > 0 \]
\[ \text{if } (w_{t1} + w_{t2} + t_{t1} \leq 120) \& ((\min(F_{NQ}(k)) \geq 0.5) \text{ OR } (\min(|S(k)|) \geq 0.7)) \]
\[ T(k) = 0.6; \quad \ldots (22) \]
\[ \text{end} \]
\[ \text{end} \]

where, \( k \) = sampling instants from T1_end to T2_begin; \( w_{t1} \& w_{t2} \) are widths of p-waves to be clubbed, \( t_{t1} = tt \)-interval

(b) The second search interval is identified by the condition:

\[ \text{if } (w_{q1} > 0) \& (w_{q2} > 0) \]

Where \( w_{q1} \) and \( w_{q2} \) are the widths of two continuous QRS-complexes, their non-zero values are indicative of their existence and therefore the search interval RR1 between them is available. The logics for clubbing the split up P- or T-waves remain identical as in step 3(a) above.

(c) The third search interval is identified by the condition:

6. Graphical Results of P and T-wave Detection

The graphical results of the P and T-wave detection are shown in figures from 9 to 12. It is clearly seen from these figures that all the varieties of slope, magnitude and polarity of the P and T-waves are successfully detected and delineated.

Fig. 9 illustrates the example of detection where both the P and T-wave are normal and erect, whereas Fig. 10 illustrates the detection of normal and inverted P and T-wave. Fig. 11 shows detection of feeble P-waves and T-waves, whereas the detection of tall and prominent T-waves is shown in fig. 12 as well as the detection of very feeble P-waves in the presence of these huge T-waves.
Fig. 10(a) ECG signal S (b) Already demarcated QRS marking pulses MPQ superimposed over signal S (c) Final non-QRS feature signal F_{NQ} and non-QRS candidates C_{NQ} shown as rectangular pulses (d) Non-QRS marking pulses MP_{NQ}, delineating P and T waves, superimposed over signal S

Fig. 11(a) ECG signal S (b) Already demarcated QRS marking pulses MPQ superimposed over signal S (c) Final non-QRS feature signal F_{NQ} and non-QRS candidates C_{NQ} shown as rectangular pulses (d) Non-QRS marking pulses MP_{NQ}, delineating P and T waves, superimposed over signal S

Fig. 12(a) ECG signal S (b) Already demarcated QRS marking pulses MPQ superimposed over signal S (c) Final non-QRS feature signal F_{NQ} and non-QRS candidates C_{NQ} shown as rectangular pulses (d) Non-QRS marking pulses MP_{NQ}, delineating P and T waves, superimposed over signal S
7. Analytical Results of P and T-Wave Detection

The detection results of P and T-waves are summarized in Table 1 and 2 respectively:

7.1 Testing results of P-wave detection

Table 1 presents the number of actual P-waves, number of P-wave detections, true positive (TP), false negative (FN) and false positive (FP) detections for the entire CSE ECG library dataset-3. The standard parameters of the performance measurement of the P-wave detection results, the detection rate (DR) and positive predictivity (+P), are also shown in Table 1.

The table clearly shows that very good overall detection rate of 96.95% and positive predictivity of 97.35% is achieved for P-waves. A total of 3.01% FN and 2.62% FP detections are found for P-waves, which is considerably low indicating the performance of the algorithm.

7.2 Testing results of T-wave detection

Similarly, Table 2 presents the number of actual T-waves and number of T-wave detections, TP, FN and FP detections with a 98.01% overall DR and 96.98% overall +P for the entire CSE dataset-3.

A total of 1.93% FN and 3.08% FP detections are found in case of T-wave detections by the presented algorithm, which is considerably low indicating good performance of the algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual No. Of P-waves</th>
<th>True Positive</th>
<th>False Negative</th>
<th>False Positive</th>
<th>Total Errors</th>
<th>%FN</th>
<th>%FP</th>
<th>Detection Rate</th>
<th>Positive Predictivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16301</td>
<td>15810</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>918</td>
<td>3.01%</td>
<td>2.62%</td>
<td>96.95%</td>
<td>97.35%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actual No. Of T-waves</th>
<th>True Positive</th>
<th>False Negative</th>
<th>False Positive</th>
<th>Total Errors</th>
<th>%FN</th>
<th>%FP</th>
<th>Detection Rate</th>
<th>Positive Predictivity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17833</td>
<td>17479</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>1.93%</td>
<td>3.08%</td>
<td>98.01%</td>
<td>96.98%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. Conclusion

Most of the work reported in the literature either deals with P-wave detection or T-wave detection. Both P and T-wave detection, if found in the literature, generally use different approaches to delineate them.

It is the effort of this work to detect and delineate both P and T-waves simultaneously using uniform strategy and with good detection rate as seen in Table 1 and 2.
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