RLS Channel Estimation and Tracking for MIMOextended IEEE 802.11a WLANs

M. A. Saeed⁺, N. K. Noordin⁺, B. M. Ali⁺, S. Khatun⁺ and M. Ismail^{*}

⁺ Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Malaysia ^{*} Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia

Summary

Wireless communication systems based on multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology and orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) have the potential to achieve enormous increase in the capacity and link reliability. In order to realize such systems, channel estimation is crucial. In this paper, an adaptive channel estimation and tracking scheme based on recursive least squares (RLS) algorithm is proposed for MIMO OFDM-based wireless local area networks (WLANs). Preambleaided channel estimation is performed in time-domain (TD). The estimator is then extended to perform decision-directed (DD) channel tracking during data transmission. The channel is assumed to be constant during one OFDM symbol and evolving in time according to the first-order Markov process. Different training rates at different Doppler frequencies were investigated. Simulation results show that the proposed estimation scheme has excellent performance measured in terms of the mean squares error (MSE) and the bit error rate (BER), provided that the forgetting factor of the RLS algorithm is optimally selected.

Key words: MIMO, OFDM, Channel estimation, RLS algorithm.

1. Introduction

Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM) is an attractive technique for high data rate transmission over frequency-selective fading channels due to its capability to combat the intersymbol interference (ISI), low complexity, and spectral efficiency [1]. Using multiple-antennas (known as multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) technology) at both the transmitter and receiver results in further increase in the capacity, provided that the environment is rich scattering [2].

The combination of MIMO and OFDM, referred to as MIMO OFDM, has been proposed as a very promising system for enhancing the capacity and improving the link reliability for future broadband wireless communication [3]. However, to obtain the promised capacity and to achieve maximum diversity gain, MIMO OFDM systems require accurate channel state information (CSI) at the receiver, in order to perform coherent detection, space-time decoding, diversity combining, and spatial interference suppression [4].

In MIMO OFDM systems, channel estimation based on either least squares (LS) or minimum mean squares error (MMSE) methods has been widely explored and several estimation schemes have been proposed [5], [6].

Channel estimation based on adaptive filtering has been proposed as an appropriate solution for estimating and tracking the time-varying channels in mobile environments. For example, in [7], a frequency-domain (FD) adaptive Wiener filter channel estimator for OFDM systems has been proposed, where the normalized least-mean-square (NLMS) and recursive least squared (RLS) algorithms are used to estimate the time-varying channel. In [8], a twodimensional RLS adaptive channel estimator for OFDM systems that exploit the time-domain (TD) and FD correlations was proposed. In [9], flat-fading MIMO channel tracking based on decision-directed (DD) RLS algorithm was considered.

Channel estimation in TD is attractive over its counterpart in FD due to its lower computational complexity, accuracy, and effective channel impulse response tracking especially when the channel is time-varying [10].

In this paper, adaptive TD channel estimation and tracking, based on exponentially weighted (EW) RLS algorithm, is investigated for MIMO-extended OFDM-based WLAN systems (IEEE 802.11a standard). The estimated channel impulse response (CIR) is Fourier transformed and zero forcing (ZF) equalization is performed in FD. The time evolution of the channel is modeled according to the first-order Markov process, and the time variations of channel estimates are tracked through applying the DD method. The computational complexity is significantly reduced by recursively updating the channel estimates and by applying the matrix inversion lemma.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, MIMO OFDM system model is briefly introduced. In section III, the EW-RLS estimator is derived. In Section IV, first-order Markov process is described. Simulation results are presented for 2×2 MIMO OFDM WLAN system in Section V, and conclusions are drawn in Section VI.

2. System Model

2.1 Wireless Channel Model

Assume sufficient antenna element spacing so that the subchannels between different transmit-receive antenna pairs are spatially uncorrelated, and have impulse responses of equal maximum resolvable paths L. Each subchannel is assumed to be slowly time-varying frequency-selective fading so that it can be considered as a constant during one OFDM symbol. The time-variant complex baseband CIR between the *p*th transmit and the *q*th receive antennas can be described as

$$h_{pq}(\tau,t) = \sum_{l=0}^{L-1} \beta_{pq}(l) \,\delta(\tau - \tau_l)$$
(1)

where $\beta(l)$ and τ_l represent the gain and the delay of the path *l*, respectively, and $\delta(t)$ is the Dirac delta function. The path gains $\beta(l)$'s are modelled as independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) wide-sense stationary (WSS) complex Gaussian random variables with zero-mean and variance σ_l^2 . They are represented as

$$\beta_{pq}(l) = \alpha_{pq}(l) e^{j(\theta_l + 2\pi f_{D,l}t)}$$
(2)

where $\alpha(l)$, θ_l , and $f_{D,l}$ denote the amplitude, the phase, and the Doppler shift of the *l*th path, respectively. Assuming isotropic scattering, the autocorrelation of the path gains is expressed as [11]

 $r_l(\tau) = E\{\beta_l^*(t)\beta_l(t+\tau)\} = \sigma_l^2 J_0(2\pi f_{D\max}\tau)$ (3) where σ_l^2 is the power of the *l*th path gain, $J_0(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order 0, and $f_{D\max}$ is the maximum Doppler frequency which is related to the velocity ν of movement and the wavelength λ of the

2.2 MIMO OFDM Systems

carrier frequency by $f_{D \max} = \nu / \lambda$.

A typical baseband MIMO OFDM system with M_t transmit antennas, M_r receive antennas, and K subcarriers is depicted in Fig. 1. The TD $K \times 1$ received signal $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_q(m)$ at the *q*th receive antenna at time *m* is a noisy superposition of the transmitted signals from M_t transmit antennas, and can be represented in a matrix-vector form as

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{q}(m) = \sum_{p=1}^{M_{t}} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{p}(m) \mathbf{h}_{pq}(m) + \mathbf{v}_{q}(m)$$
(4)

where

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}_{p}(m) = [\mathbf{x}_{p}^{H}(m,0), \mathbf{x}_{p}^{H}(m,1), \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{p}^{H}(m,N-1)]^{H}$$

denotes a $N \times L$ Toeplitz matrix containing delayed versions of the input data sent from the *p*th transmit antenna, in which



Fig.1. Baseband MIMO OFDM system model

$$\mathbf{x}_{p}(m,i) = [x_{p}(m,i), x_{p}(m,i-1), \cdots, x_{p}(m,i-L+1)] ,$$

$$\mathbf{h}_{pq}(m) = [h_{pq}(m,0), h_{pq}(m,1), \dots, h_{pq}(m,L-1)]^{T}$$
is an $L \times 1$ CIR between the *p*th transmit and the *q*th receive antennas. The $K \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{v}_{q}(m)$ represents additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) at the *q*th receive antenna with complex elements that are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Gaussian with zero mean and variance σ_{u}^{2} .

The FD received signal at the *q*th antenna over the *k*th tone at the time *m*, $Y_q(m, k)$, can be expressed as

$$Y_{q}(m,k) = \sum_{p=1}^{M_{t}} H_{pq}(m,k) X_{p}(m,k) + V_{q}(m,k)$$
(5)

where $X_p(m,k)$, $H_{p,q}(m,k)$, and $V_q(m,k)$ denote the *k*th data sample of the transmitted OFDM symbol from the *p*th antenna, the channel coefficient of the *k*th tone between the *p*th transmit and the *q*th receive antennas, and the AWGN at the *q* th receive antenna on the *k*th tone, respectively.

3. MIMO Channel Estimation and Tracking

In this section, the adaptive EW-RLS estimator is presented. The channel estimates are updated recursively upon receiving new training symbols. Synchronized replicas of the training symbols, locally stored at the receiver will act as references. In the following, the RLS estimator is derived.

3.1 RLS Estimator Derivation

To derive the EW-RLS-based MIMO OFDM channel estimator, first, we define the estimation error, and then the cost function (defined as the weighted sum of error squares) is optimized against the taps of the channel under estimation. Since channel estimation is carried out during the time interval of one OFDM symbol, the time index *m* will be omitted in the following. The *a posteriori* estimation error is defined as the difference between the noisy received signal and its estimate. In TD, it can be expressed in a matrix-vector form as

$$\mathbf{e}_{q}(n) = \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{q}(n) - \hat{\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}}_{q}(n) = \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{q}(n) - \widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q}$$
(6)

where n denotes the observation time index and

 $\widetilde{\mathcal{X}}(n) = [\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(0), \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(1), \dots, \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(n)]^H$ is an $(n+1) \times M, L$ input matrix with

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(i) = [\mathbf{x}_1(i), \mathbf{x}_2(i), \cdots, \mathbf{x}_{M_i}(i)]^H$ representing an $1 \times M_t L$ vector of the relative inputs into the adaptive

filter at the instant *i*. The vectors $\mathbf{x}_{n}(i)$ may written

as $\mathbf{x}_{p}(i) = [x_{p}(i), x_{p}(i-1), \dots, x_{p}(i-L+1)]$, and $\widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q} = [\mathbf{h}_{n,1q}^{T}, \mathbf{h}_{n,2q}^{T}, \dots, \mathbf{h}_{n,M_{t}q}^{T}]^{T}$ is a $M_{t}L \times 1$ vector that contains the stacked vectors of the CIRs from the transmit antennas to the *q*th receive antenna, which are under estimate at time instant *n*, with $\mathbf{h}_{n,pq}$ denotes a $L \times 1$ vector of the CIR between the *p*th transmit and *q*th receive antennas, and is defined as $\mathbf{h}_{n,pq} = [h_{n,pq}(0), h_{n,pq}(1), \dots, h_{n,pq}(L-1)]^{T}$.

The estimation error $\mathbf{e}_q(n)$ is used to recursively adjust

the adaptive filter tap-weight vector $\mathbf{\hat{h}}_{n,q}$, which corresponds to the taps of the channel under estimation. The optimum channel estimate can be obtained by minimizing the exponentially weighted cost function $J_q(n)$, which is defined as

$$J_{q}(n) = \mathbf{\Lambda}^{2}(n) \left| \widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{q}(n) - \widetilde{\mathbf{\mathcal{X}}}(n) \widetilde{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q} \right|^{2}$$
(7)

where $\Lambda^2(n) = diag[\lambda^n, \lambda^{n-1}, \dots, \lambda, 1]$ is an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ weighting matrix with λ is a positive scalar called forgetting factor ($0 << \lambda < 1$). The optimum estimates of the subchannels, $\mathbf{h}_{n,pq}$, that minimize $J_q(n)$ are found by setting the partial derivatives of $J_q(n)$ with respect to $\tilde{\mathbf{h}}_{n,pq}$ equal to zero.

By solving the resulting equations for the optimum $\mathbf{h}_{n,pq}$, we have

$$\mathbf{R}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q} = \mathbf{Z}(n) \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{R}(n)$ is an $M_t L \times M_t L$ EW autocorrelation matrix of the inputs $\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(n)$, and is defined as

$$\mathbf{R}(n) = \left[\mathbf{\Lambda}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{\mathcal{X}}}(n)\right]^{H} \mathbf{\Lambda}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{\mathcal{X}}}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-i} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(i)\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(i)$$
(9)

and Z(n) is an $M_t L \times 1$ vector of EW cross-correlation between the inputs X(n) and noisy received signal $y_q(n)$, and is defined as

$$\mathbf{Z}(n) = \left[\mathbf{\Lambda}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{\mathcal{X}}}(n)\right]^{H} \mathbf{\Lambda}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}_{q}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \lambda^{n-i} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(i) y_{q}(i)$$
(10)

Hence, the channel estimate $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q}$ can be obtained by solving (8) as

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}(n) \mathbf{Z}(n)$$
(11)

where $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q} = [\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,1q}^T, \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,2q}^T, \cdots, \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,M_1q}^T]^T$ is a

 $M_t L \times 1$ vector of MIMO channel estimate at time instant *n* at the *q*th receive antenna. The computation complexity of (11) is significantly reduced by recursively updating the inverse of the matrices **R**(*n*) and the vectors **Z**(*n*) as

$$\mathbf{R}(n) = \lambda \mathbf{R}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(n)$$
(12)

$$\mathbf{Z}(n) = \lambda \mathbf{Z}(n-1) + \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(n) y_{q}(n)$$
(13)

The inverse of the recursion in (11) can be avoided by invoking the matrix inversion lemma [12], to obtain

$$\mathbf{R}^{-1}(n) = \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{R}^{-1}(n-1) - \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{G}(n)\widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(n)\mathbf{R}^{-1}(n-1)$$
(14)

Finally, the time update of the subchannels estimates $\mathbf{\hat{h}}_{n,q}$, at time *n*, can be easily shown to be given by

 $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,q} = \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{(n-1),q} + \mathbf{G}(n)[y_q(n) - \tilde{\mathbf{X}}(n)\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{(n-1),q}] \quad (15)$ where $\mathbf{G}(n)$ is referred to as the gain vector and is given by

$$\mathbf{G}(n) = \frac{\lambda^{-1} \mathbf{R}^{-1} (n-1) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(n)}{1 + \lambda^{-1} \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}(n) \mathbf{R}^{-1} (n-1) \widetilde{\mathbf{X}}^{H}(n)}$$
(16)

3.2 First-order Markov Model

Assuming that the CIR taps are slowly varying and fade at the same Doppler rate, then it may be possible to statistically describe such variations according to the firstorder Markov process as follows [13]

$$\mathbf{h}(n+1) = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{h}(n) + \mathbf{\omega}(n) \tag{17}$$

where $\mathbf{h}(n)$ is the optimum channel estimate at time n, $\boldsymbol{\omega}(n)$ denotes the process noise vector, $\mathbf{A} = a\mathbf{I}$ is state transition matrix with $a = J_0(2\pi f_{D_{\text{max}}}T_s)$ is a constant parameter which is very close to unity, $f_{D_{\text{max}}}$ denotes maximum Doppler frequency, and T_s is the OFDM symbol duration.

4. Simulation Results

For the simulations, 2×2 MIMO OFDM system with K = 64 subcarriers and a cyclic prefix of length $N_{CP} = 8$ samples is considered. Channel bandwidth B = 20 MHz and sampling frequency $f_s = 20$ MHz are set as in IEEE 802.11a standard [14]. Quadrature phase-shift keying (QPSK) modulation is used. The CIRs between transmitreceive antenna pairs are assumed to be uncorrelated with maximum length of L = 8 taps. The performance is evaluated in terms of the MSE of the channel estimate, which is defined as $MSE = E\{|\mathbf{h}(n) - \hat{\mathbf{h}}(n)|^2\}$, and the system BER, for different Doppler frequencies. The MSE results are obtained by running Monte Carlo simulations on 10000 channel realizations, and the BER performance is measured by averaging over 1000 OFDM blocks. The optimum forgetting factor is selected via simulations to be $\lambda = 0.995$ and perfect synchronization between the transmitter and the receiver is assumed.

The MSE of channel estimates versus SNR are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, while the BER performance versus SNR is shown in Figs. 4 and 5, for different Doppler frequencies and training rates of 10% and 4%, respectively.

From Figs. 2 and 3 we observe that the MSE performance of the estimator is almost the same when the channel is experiencing low Doppler frequencies, regardless of training rate. However, very small degradation in the MSE of the channel estimates is noticed for training rate of 4%, compared to 10%, especially at high SNR and higher Doppler frequencies. This increase in the MSE pertains mainly to the decision errors created during the operation of channel estimator in the DD-mode. In Figs. 4 and 5, the BER performance versus SNR, with DD channel tracking. The BER for perfectly known

channel is also demonstrated to give a lower bound for channel tracking performance. As it can be noticed, the BER curves obtained with channel tracking (solid curves) are very close to those obtained with perfect channel (dashed curves), especially at low Doppler frequencies (low mobility) and low SNR. However, small degradation in the BER relative to that of perfectly known channel has occurred at high SNR and higher Doppler frequencies. This degradation is mainly due to the decision error propagation arising when the estimator switches its operation into the DD-mode and also due to the mismatch between the actual time-correlation of the channel taps and the assumed first-order Markov process model used.



Fig. 2: MSE of channel estimates of the DD EW-RLS estimator with 10% training data.



Fig. 3: MSE of channel estimates of the DD EW-RLS estimator with 4% training data.



Fig. 4: The BER performance vs. SNR, with DD EW-RLS channel tracking and 10% training data.



Fig. 5: The BER performance vs. SNR, with DD EW-RLS channel tracking and 4% training data.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, adaptive TD channel estimation and tracking based on EW-RLS algorithm is investigated for MIMO OFDM WLAN systems. The computational complexity is significantly reduced by recursively updating the channel estimates and by applying the matrix inversion lemma. Simulation results show that the proposed estimator has excellent performance (very close to the ideal) over slowly to moderate time-varying channels and at low SNR. In channels where higher Doppler frequencies are experienced, the performance can be improved by increasing the training rates.

References

- L. J. Cimini, Jr., "Analysis and simulation of a digital mobile channel using orthogonal frequency division multiplexing", *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. COM-3, pp. 665–675, Jul. 1985.
 G. J. Foschini and M. J. Gans, "On limits of wireless
- [2] G. J. Foschini and M. J. Gans, "On limits of wireless communications in a fading environment when using multiple antennas," *Wireless Pers. Commun.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 311–335, Mar. 1998.
- [3] G. G. Raleigh and J. M. Cioffi, "Spatio-temporal coding for wireless communication," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 46, pp. 357–366, Mar.1998.
- [4] V. Pohl, P. H. Nguyen, V. Jungnickel, and C. von Helmolt, "How often channel estimation is needed in MIMO systems," in *Proc. IEEE Global Telecommun. Conf.*, San Francisco, Calif, USA, 2003, vol. 2, pp. 814–818.
- [5] Y. (G.) Li, J.H.Winters and N.R. Sollenberger, "MIMO-OFDM for Wireless Communications: Signal Detection with Enhanced Channel Estimation", *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 50, no. 9, pp. 1471–1477, 2002.
- [6] I. Barhumi, G. Leus, and M. Moonen, "Optimal training design for MIMO OFDM systems in mobile wireless channels", *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 51, pp. 1615-1624, Jun. 2003.
- [7] D. Schafhuber, G. Matz, and F. Hlawatsch, "Adaptive Wiener filters for time-varying channel estimation in wireless OFDM systems," in *IEEE Proc. Conf.*, vol. 4, 2003, pp. 688-691.
- [8] X. Hou, S. Li, C. Yin, and G. Yue, "Two-Dimensional Recursive Least Square Adaptive Channel Estimation for OFDM Systems," *IEEE* 2005, pp. 232-236.
- [9] E. Karami and M. Shiva, "Decision-Directed Recursive Least Squares MIMO Channels Tracking," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. Networking*, vol. 2006, pp. 1-10, 2006.
- [10] T. Roman, M. Enescu and V. Koivunen, "Time-Domain Method for Tracking Dispersive Channels in MIMO OFDM Systems", in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Process.*, vol. 4, 2003, pp. 393–96.
- [11] W. C. Jakes, Microwave Mobile Communications. New York: Wiley, 1974.
- [12] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, Matrix Analysis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [13] S. Haykin, A. H. Sayed, J. R. Zeidler, P. Yee, and P. C. Wei, "Adaptive Tracking of Linear Time-Variant Systems by Extended RLS Algorithms," *IEEE Trans. Signal Process.*, vol. 45, no. 5, May 1997.
- [14] IEEE Std 802.11a-1999 Part 11, "Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications: High-speed Physical Layer in the 5 GHz Band," *Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers*, Sept. 1999.



Dr. Nor K. Noordin received her B.Sc. in Electrical Engineering majoring in Telecommunications from University of Alabama, USA, in 1987. She became a tutor at the Department of Computer and Electronics Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia and pursued her Masters Degree at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. She then became a lecturer in 1991 at the same department where she was later appointed as the Head from year 2000 to 2002. She took a study-leave to

pursue her doctorate degree at UPM in November 2002 and came back to serve the department in May 2006.

Dr. Noordin is currently the Deputy Dean (Academic, Student Affairs and Alumni) of the Faculty. During her more than 15 years at the department she has been actively involved in teaching, research and administrative activities. She has supervised a number of undergraduate students as well as postgraduate students in the area of wireless communications, which led to receiving some national and UPM research awards. Her research work also led her to publish more than 50 papers in journals and in conferences.



Borhanuddin Mohd. Ali received his B.Sc. (Hons) in Electrical and Electronics Engineering from Loughborough University of Technology in 1979, his M.Sc. and Ph.D. in Electromagnetic Engineering, from the University of Wales (Cardiff), in 1981 and 1985, respectively. He became a Lecturer at the Department of Electronics and Computer Engineering,

Universiti Putra Malaysia in 1985, Associate Professor in 1993 and Professor in 2002. Previously he was the Director of the Institute of Multimedia and Software, within the same university 2001 to 2006. He served short stints at Celcom R&D in 1995 and Might in 1997. He was the co founder of the Teman research testbed project in 1996, and presently Chairman of the Research Community of MYREN. He is a Chartered Engineer and a member of the IET, and Senior Member of IEEE. He was the Chair of IEEE Malaysia Section 2002-2004, and Chair of ComSoc Chapter, 1999-2002. His research interest spans wireless and broadband communications, and recently on wireless sensor networks and applications.



Sabira Khatun received her B.Sc (Hons.), MSc in Applied Mathematics and Ph.D. on Hydromagnetic Stability from the University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh in 1988, 1990 and 1994 respectively. She received her second Communications and Ph.D. in Networking from University Putra Malaysia in 2003. She became a

Lecturer at the Discipline of Computer Science and Engineering,

University Khulna, Bangladesh in 1991 and Assistant Professor in 1994. She joined as Senior Lecturer at the Department of Computer & Communication Systems Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University Putra Malaysia in 1998 and Associate Professor in 2006. She is an active researcher of Teman project, MyREN Research Community and Celcom Developer Community, Malaysia. She is a member of IEEE and her research interest spans Broadband and Wireless Communications, Software Defined Radio, UWB, MIMO and IPv6.



Mohammed A. Saeed was born in Taiz, Yemen, in 1967. He received the B.Sc. degree in Electrical Engineering from King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, in1992 and the M.Sc. degree in Communication Network and Engineering from Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), Malaysia, in 2003.Currently, he is pursuing the Ph.D. degree in Communication and Network Engineering at the Computer

and Communication Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, UPM, Malaysia. From 1994 to 2001, he was with the Electrical Engineering Department, Sana'a University, Yemen, as instructor. His research interests are in the areas of wireless communications and signal processing for communications, including channel estimation, multicarrier, and MIMO systems.



Mahamod Ismail is currently a Professor with the Department of Electrical, Electronics and System Engineering, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia. He received the B.Sc. degree in Electrical and Electronics from University of Strathclyde, U.K. in 1985, the M.Sc. degree in Communication Engineering and Digital Electronics from UMIST, Manchester U.K. in 1987, and the Ph.D. from University of Bradford, U.K. in 1996. His research

interests include mobile communication and wireless networking. He is the past chapter chair of IEEE Communication Society, Malaysia and now responsible on the IEEE Malaysia Educational Activities.