

Diagnosis of Headache using Artificial Neural Networks

Karina Borges Mendes¹, Ronald Moura Fiuza², Maria Teresinha Arns Steiner³

¹Sociesc, Joinville, Brazil

²Clínica Neurológica de Joinville; Rua Plácido Olímpio de Oliveira, 1244
89202-165 Joinville, Santa Catarina, Brazil

³Universidade Federal do Paraná; Engineering Production Department;
CP: 19081; CEP: 81531-990, Curitiba, Paraná, Brazil

Summary

In this paper, we evaluate the use of artificial neural networks in order to predict the diagnosis of headaches. We intend to create an auxiliary tool for headache diagnosis to be used in primary level of Health Care System. It could enhance access of patients to headache diagnosis and treatment. Data were collected from a structured questionnaire which was applied to 2,177 patients with headache, in Neurological Clinic of Joinville, Santa Catarina, Brazil, from 2002 to 2006. Artificial neural networks were trained to reach the diagnosis performed by a single neurologist, who uses the criteria of the International Classification of Headaches Disorders. We tested them varying their activation function, initial weights and number of elements in input, hidden and output layers. We verified the feasibility of their use to predict the diagnosis of migraine without aura, migraine with aura, tension type headache and medication-overuse headache. The best results were obtained using binary coordinates as input vectors (information of the questionnaire) and one single neuron the output (diagnosis). Sensitivity and specificity of artificial neural networks were respectively 0.93 and 0.91 for tension-type headache identification, 0.99 and 0.94 for migraine without aura, 1.0 and 0.98 for migraine with aura and 1.0 and 0.96 for medication-overuse headache. In this way, we conclude that artificial neural networks can be used as a tool to support the diagnosis of common forms of headache and can therefore cooperate for greater access to the health system.

Keywords:

Artificial Neural Network, Pattern Recognition, Primary Health Care, Headache

1. Introduction

The World Health Report, 2008, is titled "Primary Health Care: now more than ever". The document is the yearly report of the World Health Organization (WHO, 2008). It seeks to strengthen the concepts erected in the Alma Ata Conference, 1978 (WHO, 1978). This conference recommended primary health care as the most efficient basis on which a health system can be supported to provide equal access to services and better use of existing resources.

The WHO's effort to spread this concept aims to create fair and efficient systems, with universal applicability and it is especially important in poor countries (PAHO, 2007). Through the creation of a large gate to health systems, the

population has the possibility to receive the concepts of health promotion and disease prevention and yet to receive attention on prevalent diseases in each region.

The headaches stand out as one of the most common groups of diseases. Some epidemiological studies estimate up to 93% of prevalence. As it affects most people, it is one of the biggest reasons for medical consultation (Boardman et al., 2003). This generates significant impact on quality of life, with interference in relationships at work, family and leisure (Dahlöf and Solomon, 2006). It still represents a considerable social and economic burden, not only through direct costs to the health system but also indirect costs, related to absenteeism and low productivity at school or work (Berg and Ramadan, 2006).

However, most headache patients do not demand or have access to the health system, and therefore they medicate themselves (Lipton; Stewart and Simon, 1998; Lipton et al., 2002). Among those who seek the doctor, most are served by primary health care systems and are not reviewed in secondary or tertiary levels. As a result of these distortions, it is estimated that about half of patients never receive a correct diagnosis and, therefore, are not properly treated (Lipton and Bigal, 2007).

To improve this situation it is necessary to increase the proportion of patients with access to diagnosis and appropriate treatment. As recommended by the WHO, it is possible to develop a system of increasing complexity, which could provide broader access and appropriate referral of more complex cases.

To introduce this model, it is necessary to develop tools which can be used by the non-medical professional at a preliminary assessment. In the case of headaches, the initial step should be a standardized method capable of distinguishing the most frequent types of headache, allowing an initial screening (Lipton and Bigal, 2007).

As structured questionnaires have been frequently used in specialized clinics as part of the medical anamnesis of these patients, it is possible to develop a treatment of these data, allowing the prediction of a preliminary diagnosis, at least of some frequent headaches. One way to do it is to develop and to validate a questionnaire (Lipton et al., 2003), which answers could lead to a differential diagnosis, as defined by the International

Classification of Headaches Disorders (Olesen et al., 2004). Another alternative would be to use available data from existing structured anamnesis questionnaires in specialized clinics and assess, through computing, how such data can lead to a diagnosis.

An artificial neural network (ANN) is considered both as method and practice to solve problems in artificial intelligence. The most important characteristic of an ANN is its ability to learn and improve their performance. It can learn from real data, from which it can derive a general model in an attempt to build patterns (Haykin, 2001).

The network serves as a massively parallel and distributed processor. It consists of simple processing units, called artificial neurons. These are arranged in different layers, including an input layer through which data arrive, intermediate or hidden layers that help in processing and an output layer, which bring the result. The artificial neurons are connected by communication channels (connections), which usually are associated with numerical values (weights). These units are activated by mathematical functions, perform calculations and have large capacity in processing and storage of information (Haykin, 2001).

Hence, pattern recognition is one of the main applications of ANN, including problems in areas such as trade, industry, services, education and science, among others. In the medical area, ANN has been used as support for both diagnosis and treatment of many different diseases (Malmgren; Borga and Niklasson, 2000).

The correct implementation of ANN regarding the approach to headaches can have many advantages, such as:

- guide for family doctors and professionals who act on primary health care;
- facilitate and complement the work of specialist doctors;
- less subjectivity in the diagnosis.

As the ANN learn through examples, details of how to recognize the disease are not necessary. Nevertheless, the training of an ANN requires a series of cases (examples; patterns) serving as representative examples of variations of the disease (Beale and Jackson, 1990).

With validation of the method and training of health workers, access from headache patients to health services can be much extended. From the resulting provisional diagnosis, patients can be referred to the appropriate health care level. Simple cases can be orientated by the health agent (Dowson et al., 2002). Cases that need medical advice can be attended by a family doctor or general practitioner. Complex cases would be referred to specialist or even hospitalization (De Hertogh et al., 2007; Ridsdale et al., 2008; De Klippel, Jansen, Carlos, 2008).

The purpose of this study is to verify the application of ANN as a technique of pattern recognition that can help in the medical diagnosis of the most frequent types of headache. In this way, in Section 2 the material (real data for the problem) and the methods are described; in Section 3, we present the results to the problem; in Section 4, the discussion of the results and, finally, in Section 5, we present the conclusions.

2. Material and Methods

The study data were extracted from the database of Neurological Clinic of Joinville, through a structured questionnaire (see table in Attachment 1) which was applied to 2,177 consecutive headache patients from January 2002 to November 2006. The questions included in the questionnaire form a body able to meet the necessary criteria for the more frequent diagnosis. These diagnoses were made by the clinical experience of a single doctor, who uses in his daily practice the diagnostic criteria of the International Classification of Headaches Disorders.

To be used as training examples for ANN, we selected some prevalent and representative diseases. In that way, we could assess some of the most common causes of headaches and have a critical number of cases, so that the ANN training could be effective. Of the 2,177 patients with headache, 1,252 patients were diagnosed as tension-type headache (TTH), 307 as migraine without aura (MoA), 99 as migraine with aura (MwH) and 100 patients as medication-overuse headache (MOH). The others 419 patients had other types of diagnosis.

The above-mentioned questionnaire consists of 14 data points about each patient: sex and age of the patient, onset, localization, intensity and characteristics of the headache, episode pattern and duration, evolution and frequency of pain, factors associated, precipitating and relieving and use of medications. These are multiple choice questions, which facilitates the dynamics of consultation and data processing.

We tested different treatments for both input and output data. The input data were coded into two categories. The code A had inputs via a simple numerical scale, with values representing the options for each symptom. The code B had inputs in binary coordinates, with value "1" representing the presence of a symptom and value "0" its absence.

Exemplifying, the variable "sex" presented in code A the value "1", if the patient was female and "2" if male. In code B, the vector (1, 0) was the representation for female patients and (0, 1) for males. Thus, the attribute "sex" had a binary vector with two coordinates. Other variables were treated similarly.

In code A, the input data matrix has 2,177 x 14 dimension (number of patients x number of answers from the questionnaire about each patient). In code B, we had an input vector with 66 binary coordinates, corresponding to the alternatives to 14 multiple-choice questions posed to each patient. The input data matrix has 2,177 x 66 dimension for this case.

The answer variable (ANN output) was the patient diagnosis. In a first stage, it was considered just one ANN with five outputs: tension-type headache (TTH), migraine without aura (MoA), migraine with aura (MwA), medication-overuse headache (MOH) and other types of headache. In a second stage we had five ANN, with a single output, "0" or "1" types. Each of them was responsible for the training of each diagnosis, all in an independent way.

The ANN of multiple layers type were created, trained and simulated using the software "MATLAB 7.0" and its statistics and data analysis "Neural Network Tollbox" (MATLAB®, Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA; William and Palm, 2008). They enable the creation of different types of networks and offer freedom to change the parameters of the network. We used the back-propagation algorithm for teaching the neural networks. It is based on widespread input pattern mapping to an area of output, minimizing the error between the produced output and the provided output (Rojas and Feldman, 1996). An algorithm was created to test different networks configurations, thus analyzing the influence of parameters in final simulation results.

The number of layers and the number of elements of each layer were set initially. Only one intermediate layer is necessary to approach a continuous function (Haykin, 2001). Thus, this work was composed of one input layer, one hidden layer and one output layer.

Different combination for the activation functions was used in this study:

- Logistic sigmoid (logsig) both in hidden and output layers;
- Tangent sigmoid (tansig) in hidden layer and linear function in output layer;
- Tangent sigmoid function in hidden layer and logistic sigmoid function in output layer;
- Logistics sigmoid in hidden layer and linear function in output layer.

The network is generally initialized with "x" number of elements (called "artificial neurons" or simply "neurons") in hidden layer, trained through "y" iterations. In our experiment, the error was calculated every 25 iterations. This procedure can be repeated "z" times. Then a new network is initialized with a different number of neurons in the hidden layer and so on. Finally, the algorithm should find the best resulting network (with the best

topology). Thus, the algorithm generated "z" initial different networks (with different sets of random weights), with the same number of neurons in the hidden layer. It trained them with "y" iterations and, at the end of the process, showed the network that came closest to the desired outputs. The network was then tested to verify its ability to generalize.

The 2,177 diagnoses of the sample were divided into two sets. Due to the large database, we used the holdout procedure to assess the spread of networks trained. This procedure separates 2/3 of data for network training and the rest 1/3 to test it (Lin Aand Shaw, 1997). The sample was stratified, ensuring that each class was represented in proportion in the two data sets (training and testing).

The technique was implemented varying the activation functions as shown before and the number of neurons in input and output layers, to train the networks in three different ways:

- Test I: One ANN training, with 66 neurons in input layer (code B), and five neurons in output layer;
- Test II: Five ANN training, each with 66 neurons in input layer and one neuron in output layer;
- Test III: One ANN training, with 14 neurons in input layer (code A) and five neurons in output layer.

There was also variation in the following parameters:

- number of neurons in the hidden layer: in each test, the network was trained initially without the hidden layer and further tests using, respectively, 1, 2, 3, until 10 neurons in the single hidden layer;
- initial weights (five sets of randomly chosen were used in each test).

A critical decision is when stop. One of the most used criteria is the number of iterations (Cohen, 1995). In this study, the number of iterations was set in 1000 cycles.

The software showed the results for each configuration (topology). We calculated the percentage of correct answers and selected the best results in each test. Finally we measured the performance of the test showing the best results among all.

3. Results

In test I, the network was trained using the code B, with 66 binary inputs in the input network vector. The output layer was composed of five neurons. The results showed that the best architecture had nine neurons in the hidden layer, using logistic sigmoid activation function in both

hidden and output layers. The highest correct percentage of answers in all simulations was 73.04%.

In test II, five networks were trained, with a single output each of them. Each network had one headache diagnosis, corresponding to one output:

- ANN I: TTH;
- ANN II: MoA;
- ANN III: MwA;
- ANN IV: MOH;
- ANN V: Other types of headache.

The input vector was composed of 66 binary components, according to the code B. The result of the network output, with a single neuron, was calculated. The figures next to "1", i.e., in the interval (0.5-1) represented a type of headache and values close to "0", i.e., in the interval (0 to 0.5] the non occurrence of such headache.

The activation functions used in test II were logarithmic sigmoid both in hidden and in output layers in first instance. Then, we used tangent sigmoid function in hidden layer and logarithmic sigmoid function in output layer. The highest correct percentage answers in test II showed 87.83% in output TTH; 94.99 in MoA; 98.53 in MwA; 95.73 in MOH and 88.25 in other types of headaches.

In test III, networks were trained using code A, with 14 entries. The output layer was composed of 5 neurons. We used logarithmic sigmoid activation functions in both hidden and output layer, and then tangent sigmoid function in hidden layer and sigmoid logarithmic function in output layer.

The best topology (best performance) for the test III, was in this test that presented 10 neurons in hidden layer, using tangent sigmoid activation function in hidden layer and logarithmic sigmoid activation function in output layer. The best percentage of correct answers was 66.65%. So, the best results for the prediction of the diagnosis of headache patients, considering the three tests, were obtained in test II, as shown in Table 1. It was found that five ANN, with a single output each, have better performance than one ANN with five outputs and that binary coordinates facilitates the network processing in both input and output.

These results were obtained using binary coordinate vectors as input variables (code B) and five neural networks as output. We measured the performance of test II results. Table 2 shows performance measures obtained in these results, with regard to the chosen types of headaches. Sensitivity and specificity of ANN were respectively 0.93 and 0.91 for TTH identification; 0.99 and 0.94 for MoA; 1.0 and 0.98 for MwA; 1.0 and 0.96 for MOH and 0.95 and 0.87 for other types of headaches.

Assim, para diagnosticar novos pacientes...(escrever um parágrafo...)

4. Discussion

Our results show that a neural network using binary vectors as input variables and five neural networks as a single output can obtain the best results in diagnosing for some frequent types of headache. We can see that these results could offer new possibilities for the headache patients with access problems to the Health System.

Despite the difficulties of epidemiological studies in headache, it is known that these diseases are highly prevalent, with large social costs (Boardman, 2003; Dahlöf and Solomon, 2006). Population studies vary widely, with some prevalence studies results showing over 90%. A recent study in southern Brazil shows annual prevalence of 80.8% (Queiroz, Barea and Blank, 2006).

The ideal way to establish a diagnosis of headache is through consultation with a neurologist who uses structured diagnostic criteria, such as the International Classification of Headache Disorders. The specialist has the ability to identify not only the most common causes of headaches, but also to evaluate the doubtful and mixed cases, secondary headaches and rare cases (Marks and Rapoport, 1997). We know, however, that access to neurologist is limited and expensive, and impossible to many patients.

For over 30 years the WHO advocates a model of increasing complexity to health care (WHO, 1978). In this period, a wide network of primary care has developed around the world, facilitating access to health system, especially for the low-income population.

To build a comprehensive system to headache patients in primary care level, it is necessary first of all to develop a questionnaire that could be applied to these patients by non-specialists. This questionnaire should be simple to implement and lead to an indication of the main diagnosis of headache (Khu, Siow and Ho, 2008).

Various efforts have been made to develop questionnaires that might indicate the diagnosis of a primary headache (Sheftell *et al.*, 2005; Hagen *et al.*, 2000). Most of them are directed to the evaluation of patients suspected of migraine (Lipton *et al.*, 2003). Others expand their coverage to tension-type headache and trigeminal-autonomic headaches (Mongini *et al.*, 2003; Yoon *et al.*, 2008). Not all attempts were satisfactory (Rasmussen, Jensen and Olesen, 1991).

The ANN are systems that simulate human brain behavior, using trial and error in the process of new knowledge accumulation. Its use is broad and comprehensive, and its usefulness is recognized in information processing derived from public and private sectors. There are examples of its successful use in the health area, where they can be important instruments to physicians, managers and other health professionals.

ANN is a tool that can learn and grow. They can learn from structured questionnaires used by experts. After

properly trained, they can serve as a support mechanism for the generalist. Widely used in industry, commerce and services, this methodology has been applied successfully in several areas of medicine. Regarding headaches, there are papers using ANN, as those who seek graphic patterns in patients with headache (Bellotti *et al.*, 2007), correlation of symptoms with environmental factors (Catheart and Materazzo, 1999) and even applications for classification of headaches (Astion *et al.*, 1994).

In this study, ANN has been explored to solve the problem of predicting the most frequent diagnoses of headaches, which is one of the most common symptoms that afflict world population. We used the database from a specialized clinic that has in its system a structured headache questionnaire, which resulted in the data that munitioned the inputs of ANN.

All ANN were separately trained, in supervised learning. We varied the following parameters, with the goal of finding the best structure of network: the activation functions of hidden and output layers, number of neurons in input and output layers and number of neurons in the hidden layer.

5. Conclusions

The basic element of an ANN is then called artificial neuron. The behavior of a "neuron" is determined by its associated functions and its input and output connections (Palma Neto and Nicoletti, 2005). It is through an activation function that the generated responses of the unity are calculated. It is a mathematical function and it is very important for the behavior of an ANN, as it defines the output, and thus, the information pathway (Mitchell, 1997). There are several types of activation functions. The most used are the gaussian, sigmoid, sine, linear, logarithmic, and tangents functions. We can conduct various tests with different functions, to achieve the definition of the viability of a test.

The use of ANN proved to be adequate to treat the information from 2,177 patients. The database was implemented from the data (symptoms) of each patient and the knowledge of expert (diagnosis). ANN was shown to be a valuable tool for patterns recognition in medical diagnosis of most frequent types of headache. Thus, making use of the tool, health professionals could make predictive diagnosis in patients with tension-type headache, migraine without aura, migraine with aura and medication overuse headache.

It is also an instrument that can expand, by incorporating new signs and symptoms in input and new diagnoses in output. Thus, attaching some data of the physical and neurological examination to the questionnaire, ANN can be trained again, to assess their ability to assist in the

diagnosis of other primary and secondary headaches, indicating particularly the cases of high risk.

Predicting some frequent diagnoses of headache, this tool can be used as screening, optimizing available resources in health system. Thus, in the gateway to the system, a health agent or a nurse can be trained to implement the questionnaire and obtain the diagnostic hypothesis. With proper supervision, a framework capable of guiding the patient in this primary care environment could be designed. The simplest cases may be referred for follow-up by the family doctor. The more complex cases may be referred to a specialist and even to the hospital. Many unnecessary tests and consultations can be avoided, relieving the health system. Ultimately, the model can provide agility, economy and functionality to the system, with regards to the many patients with headache.

References

- [1] Astion, M.L.; Wener, M.H.; Thomas, R.G.; Hunder, G.G.; Bloch, D.A. Application of neural networks to the classification of giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1994 May;37(5):760-70.
- [2] Beale, R.; Jackson, T. *Neural Computing: An Introduction.* Institute of Physics Publishing, Bristol, 1990.
- [3] Bellotti, R.; De Carlo, F.; De Tommaso, M.; Lucente, M. Migraine detection through spontaneous EEG analysis. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.* 2007;2007:1834-7
- [4] Berg, J.; Ramadan N.M. Societal burden of the headache. In: Olesen, J.; Goadsby, P.J.; Ramadan, N.M.; Tfelt-Hansen, P. Welch KMA eds. *The Headaches.* 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Williams and Wilkins; 2006: 35-42.
- [5] Boardman, H.F.; Thomas, E.; Croft, P.R.; Millson, D.S. Epidemiology of headache in an English district. *Cephalalgia.* 2003 Mar;23(2):129-37.
- [6] Cathcart, S.; Materazzo, F. Headache interference as a function of affect and coping: an artificial neural network analysis. *Headache.* 1999 Apr;39(4):270-4.
- [7] Cohen, P. R. *Empirical Methods for Artificial Intelligence.* Cambridge MA: The MIT Press, 1995.
- [8] Dahlöf, C.G.H.; Solomon, G.D. Impact of headache on the individual and family. In: Olesen, J., Goadsby, P.J.; Ramadan, N.M.; Tfelt-Hansen, P. Welch KMA eds. *The Headaches.* 3rd ed. Philadelphia: Williams and Wilkins; 2006:27-34.
- [9] De Hertogh, W.J.; Vaes, P.H.; Devroey, D.; Truijen, S.; Duquet, W.; Oostendorp, R. Management of headache disorders: design of a randomised clinical trial screening for prognostic patient characteristics. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2007 Apr 26;8:38.
- [10] De Klippel, N.; Jansen, J.P.; Carlos, J.S. Survey to evaluate diagnosis and management of headache in primary care: Headache Management Pattern programme. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2008 Dec;24(12):3413-22.
- [11] Dowson, A.J.; Lipscombe, S.; Sender, J.; Rees, T.; Watson, D. Migraine in Primary Care Advisors: New guidelines for the management of migraine in primary care. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2002;18(7):414-39.
- [12] Hagen, K.; Zwart, J.A.; Vatten, L.; Stovner, L.J.; Bovim, G.: Head-HUNT: validity and reliability of a headache

- questionnaire in a large population-based study in Norway. *Cephalalgia*. 2000 May;20(4):244-51.
- [13] Haykin, S. *Redes neurais*. Porto Alegre: Bookman, 2001 (in Portuguese).
- [14] Khu, J.V.; Siow, H.C.; Ho, K.H. Headache diagnosis, management and morbidity in the Singapore primary care setting: findings from a general practice survey. *Singapore Med J*. 2008 Oct;49(10):774-9.
- [15] Lin, F.R.; Shaw, M.J. Active training of backpropagation neural networks using the learning by experimentation methodology. *Annals of Operations Research*. 1997;75:105-122.
- [16] Lipton, R.B.; Bigal, M.E. Ten lessons on epidemiology of migraine. *Headache*. 2007 Apr;47 Suppl 1:S2-9.
- [17] Lipton, R.B.; Dodick, D.; Sadovsky, R.; Kolodner, K.; Endicott, J.; Hettiarachchi, J.; Harrison, W. A self-administered screener for migraine in primary care: The ID Migraine validation study. *Neurology*. 2003 Aug 12;61(3):375-82.
- [18] Lipton, R.B.; Scher, A.I.; Kolodner, K.; Liberman, J.; Steiner, T.J.; Stewart, W.F. Migraine in the United States: epidemiology and patterns of health care use. *Neurology*. 2002 Mar 26;58(6):885-94.
- [19] Lipton, R.B.; Stewart, W.F.; Simon, D. Medical consultation for migraine: results from the American Migraine Study. *Headache*. 1998 Feb;38(2):87-96.
- [20] Malmgren, H.; Borga, M.; Niklasson, L. *Artificial Neural Networks in Medicine and Biology: Proceedings of the ANNIMAB-1 Conference*. Springer. New York, 2000.
- [21] Marks, D.R.; Rapoport, A.M. Practical evaluation and diagnosis of headache. *Semin Neurol*. 1997;17(4):307-12.
- [22] Mitchell, T. *Machine Learning*. Columbus: McGraw-Hill; 1997: 81-108.
- [23] Mongini, F.; Derigibus, A.; Raviola, F.; Mongini, T. Confirmation of the distinction between chronic migraine and chronic tension-type headache by the McGill Pain Questionnaire. *Headache*. 2003 Sep;43(8):867-77.
- [24] Olesen, J.; Bousser, M.G.; Diener, H.C.; et al. The international classification of headache disorders (2nd ed.). *Cephalalgia*. 2004;24:S1-S160.
- [25] PAHO 2007 (Pan American Health Organization). *Renewing primary health care in the Americas: a position paper of the Pan American Health Organization*. Washington DC, 2007.
- [26] Palma Neto, L.G.; Nicoletti, M.C. *Introdução às Redes Neurais Construtivas*. São Carlos: Editora da Universidade Federal de São Carlos; 2005 (in Portuguese).
- [27] Queiroz, L.P.; Barea, L.M.; Blank, N. An epidemiological study of headache in Florianópolis, Brazil. *Cephalalgia*. 2006 Feb;26(2):122-7.
- [28] Rasmussen, B.K.; Jensen, R.; Olesen, J. Questionnaire versus clinical interview in the diagnosis of headache. *Headache*. 1991 May;31(5):290-5.
- [29] Ridsdale, L.; Doherty, J.; McCrone, P.; Seed, P. A new GP with special interest headache service: observational study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2008 Jul;58(552):478-83.
- [30] Rojas, R.; Feldman, J. *Neural Networks: A Systematic Introduction*. Springer; New York 1996, 149-180.
- [31] Sheftell, F.D.; Cady, R.K.; Borchert, L.D.; Spalding, W.; Hart, C.C. Optimizing the diagnosis and treatment of migraine. *J Am Acad Nurse Pract*. 2005 Aug;17(8):309-17.
- [32] Yoon, M.S.; Obermann, M.; Fritsche, G.; Slomke, M.; Dommès, P.; Schilf, C.; Diener, H.C.; Katsarava, Z. Population-based validation of a German-language self-administered headache questionnaire. *Cephalalgia*. 2008 Jun;28(6):605-8.
- [33] William, J.; Palm III, W. *A Concise Introduction to MATLAB*. Columbus: McGraw-Hill; 2008.
- [34] WHO 2008 (World Health Organization). *The World Health Report 2008: Primary Health Care now more than ever*. Geneva, 2008.
- [35] WHO 1978 (World Health Organization). *Primary health care: report of the International Conference on Primary Health Care, Alma-Ata, USSR, 6-12 September, 1978*. Geneva, 1978.

Karina Borges Mendes got her Master's degree in Numerical Methods in Engineering, at Federal University of Parana, Brazil. She is an Assistant Professor on Engineering Undergraduate Programs. e-mail: kbormen@hotmail.com

Ronald Moura Fiúza is a neurologist physician in Joinville, Santa Catarina, Brazil. He is a diagnosis headaches expert.

Maria Teresinha Arns Steiner got her Master's and Ph.D.'s degrees in Production Engineering, on Operations Research area, at Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil, and her Pos-Doc, at the Technological Institute of Aeronautics, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil. She is an Associate Professor at Federal University of Paraná, Brazil. She lectures on Engineering Undergraduate Programs and on Numerical Methods in Engineering Graduate Program. e-mail: tere@ufpr.br

Test	Neurons			Headache	Code	Activation Function	n. of correct classified patterns	%
	Input	Hidden	ANN					
I	66	9	5	all	B	logsig/logsig	1590	73.04
II	66	10	1	TTH	B	tansig/logsig	1912	87.83
	66	2	1	MoA	B	tansig/logsig	2058	94.99
	66	8	1	MwA	B	logsig/logsig	2084	98.53
	66	7	1	MOH	B	tansig/logsig	2145	95.73
	66	8	1	Others	B	tansig/logsig	1921	88.24
	66	10	1	Others	B	tansig/logsig	1921	88.24
III	14	10	5	all	A	tansig/logsig	1451	66.65

Table1. The best results for the three tests described in the text, of which Test II presented the better results.

Diagnosis	Provided N	True positive	False positive	False negative	True negative	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV
TTH	1252	1156	169	96	756	0.92	0.82	0.87	0.89
MoA	307	306	108	1	1762	1.00	0.94	0.74	1.00
MwA	99	99	32	0	2046	1.00	0.98	0.76	1.00
MOH	100	100	93	0	1984	1.00	0.96	0.52	1.00
Others	419	399	236	20	1522	0.95	0.87	0.63	0.99
Total	2177								

Table 2. The detailed results for the Test II, where PPV = Positive Predictive Values and NPV = Negative Predictive Values.