Ash Sorting: Easy & Less Time Consuming Sorting Algorithm

Dr. Leena Bhatia

Assistant Professor, MCA Institute, S.S.Jain Subodh P.G. College, Jaipur

Summary

Sorting is the basic building block around which many other algorithms are built. By understanding sorting, we obtain an amazing amount of power to solve other problems. Sorting is the most thoroughly studied problem in computer science. Literally dozens of different algorithms are known, most of which possess some advantage over all other algorithms in certain situations. To keep these in mind we are presenting **Ash Sorting algorithm** which is comparison based less time consuming simple algorithm.

Key Words:

Ash assorting, algorithm, linear sort

1. Introduction

Sorting is a computational building block of fundamental importance and is one of the most widely studied algorithmic problems. Many algorithms rely on the availability of efficient sorting routines as a basis for their own efficiency. Historically, it has proved that computers spend more time in sorting than doing anything else. As we know that a quarter of all the mainframe cycles are spent in sorting the data. Although it is unclear whether this remains true on smaller computers, sorting remains the most universally accepted combinatorial algorithm problem in practice. Sorting is the most thoroughly studied problem in computer science. Literally dozens of different algorithms are known, most of which possess some advantage over all other algorithms in certain situations. To keep these in mind we are presenting Ash Sorting algorithm which is comparison based less time consuming simple algorithm.

The study of sorting techniques has a long history and countless algorithmic variants have been developed [1, 5]. Many important classes of algorithms rely on sort or sortlike primitives. Database systems make extensive use of sorting operations [3]. The construction of spatial data structures that are essential in computer graphics and geographic information systems is fundamentally a sorting process. Efficient sort routines are also a useful building block in implementing algorithms like sparse matrix multiplication and parallel programming patterns like MapReduce [2, 4].

Dr. Bindu Jain

Assistant Professor, Maharani's College, University of Rajasthan Jaipur

2. Ash Sorting: Concept

Ash sorting is based on the very simple real life smoke concept that is *when we burn the coal the smoke which is lighter, fly in the air and the heaviest ash remains at the ground*. Ash sorting is also comparison based sorting but with less number of comparison as compared to selection or linear sort.

Concept of ash sorting



In ash sorting we start from first element and compare it with next element (i.e., 2^{nd} element) as well as with last element (i.e. 6^{th} in the above example) and put least value at first position, mid value at 2^{nd} position and highest value at 6^{th} position or the last position.

Now, the 1^{st} element will be compared with next element (i.e., 3^{rd}). After comparison there might be three basic options:

Case I.	1^{st} element >3 rd element
Case II.	3^{rd} element >1 st element
Case III.	both are equal

In Case I, if 1^{st} element $>3^{rd}$ element, there is no need to compare the 3^{rd} element with last element (as last element is already greater to 1^{st} element) and just swapping of 1^{st} element with 3^{rd} element is required.

But in Case II, 3rd element must also be compare with last element) as it could be greater than last element). If the 3rd

Manuscript received December 5, 2010 Manuscript revised December 20, 2010

element is also greater than the last element then we have to swap the values of 3^{rd} element and last element.

In the last case i.e., Case III no swapping or further comparison is required.

In the present example, First comparison of Pass I (Fig:1 a) would be among 1^{st} (i.e, 18), 2^{nd} (i.e., 33) and 6^{th} (i.e., 21) elements and finally we will get least value at first position (i.e, 18), at 2^{nd} position value 21 will be placed and highest value i.e, 33 at 6^{th} position.

During second comparison of Pass I (Fig:1 b), we compare 1^{st} element (i.e, 18) with 3^{rd} element (i.e, 3), swapping would be performed and no comparison between 3^{rd} and 6^{th} is required (Case I).

Next comparison(Fig:1 c) will be made among 1^{st} (i.e, 3), 4^{th} (i.e, 23) and 6^{th} (i.e., 33) elements but initially between 1^{st} and 4^{th} . As 1^{st} element is less than the 4^{th} element (Case II) comparison between 4^{th} and 6^{th} is also needed. Although, no swapping is required in the above example. In the last comparison (Fig:1 d), only 1^{st} element would be compared with 5^{th} element (Case I) and swapping will be performed.

I pass is now completed and after this least and highest elements will be placed at correct positions (Fig:1 e).

2		2		2				
21 4		3 ◀		3				
18		18		18				
23		23		21				
3		21		23				
33		33		33				
(f) ◀		(g) 🗲		(h)				
Fig 2: II Pass								

II pass: At the start of II pass, the same procedure will be followed with 2^{nd} , 3^{rd} and second last element i.e., 5^{th} in the present example (Fig 2: f) and the values are 21, 18 and 3. After the first comparison, 2^{nd} position will be occupied by 3, 3^{rd} position will be occupied by 18 and 21 will be stored at 5^{th} position.

Next comparison would be among 2^{nd} , 4^{th} and 5^{th} elements (Fig: 2 g). As 3 (2^{nd} element) is less than 23 (4^{th} element) that's why 23 will also be compared with 5^{th} element i.e, 21 (Case II). And swapping would be performed between 4^{th} and 5^{th} elements.

II pass is now completed and after this 2^{nd} least and 2^{nd} highest elements will be placed at correct positions (Fig: 2 h).



III pass: in the third pass only 3^{rd} and 4^{th} elements would be compared (Fig: 3 i) and positioned at correct places. *After III pass all the elements get sorted and placed at right positions (Fig 3 j).*

3. Algorithm of Ash Sort:

Procedure Ash (Array arr, Number initial index, Number lst) Begin For i = initial index to lst/2Begin Flag=0 For j=initial_index+1 to 1st Begin If flag=0 then Sort (arr[i], arr[j], arr[lst]) flag=1 else if arr[i]>arr[j] then Rem Case I tmp=arr[i] arr[i]=arr[j] arr[j]=tmp else if arr[j]>arr[lst] then Rem: Case II tmp=arr[j] arr[j]=arr[lst] arr[lst]=tmp end if end if end if end loop lst=lst-1 end loop end procedure

4. 'C' Program of Ash Sort:

#include<stdio.h>
void main()

{

int ar[30],flag=0;

/* flag is used to specify whether comparing 3 values or two at a time */

int i,j,tmp,f,l,lst=29999,s; ar[i]=f; /* Initially 1st will store last value of array index which will ar[j]=s; be decremented afterwards */ ar[lst]=l; clrscr(); flag=1; /* Assigning values to the array: worst case */ } for(i=29, j=0; i>=0; i--, j++)else ar[j]=i;{ /* all comparisons except 1st of each Pass */ /* Ash Sorting outer loop which will be executed for half number of times to the total number of elements */ /* Case I */ if(ar[i]>ar[j]) for(i=0;i<15;i++) flag=0; tmp=ar[i]; for(j=i+1;j<=lst;j++) /* inner loop starts ar[i]=ar[j]; from i+1 $^{\rm th}$ element to the 1st */ar[j]=tmp; } { if(flag==0) else /* every 1st comparison of each pass to place if(ar[j]>ar[lst]) /* Case II */ 3 values at proper places: Sorting of three elements*/ { tmp=ar[j]; { ar[j]=ar[lst]; if(ar[i]>ar[j]) ar[lst]=tmp; { if(ar[i]>ar[lst]) } } ł if(ar[j]>ar[lst]) } lst=lst-1: f=ar[lst]; } s=ar[j]; // To Clear The screen and Display the sorted Array l=ar[i]; clrscr(); for(i=0;i<30;i++) } printf("%d\t",ar[i]); else getch(); { f=ar[j]; } s=ar[1st];l=ar[i]; 5. Observations and Results: } } We have conducted linear sort and ash sort on different else number of elements arranged in descending order. In the first set of experiment we have taken array of 1000 f=ar[j]; elements having values 0-999 arranged in descending s=ar[i];order (ar[0..999]=999..0) and executed both the programs l=ar[lst]; for five times and calculated the mean time taken by the } program to sort the array of 1000 elements in ascending } order. Same results were recorded on array of 10000, else 20000 and 30000 elements respectively. Table 1 shows if(ar[j]>ar[lst]) the observations recorded. As the results clearly show that { the ash sorting is consuming less time as compared to f=ar[i]; linear sort in all the cases. As we increase the number of s=ar[lst]; elements, the difference also gets increased. l=ar[j]; } else 6. Conclusion { f=ar[i]; Ash sorting is comparison based algorithm which is very s=ar[j]; simple in writing and in implementation too. Results l=ar[lst]; indicate that it is about two - three times faster (depending

on the number of elements being sorted) algorithm than

}

62

linear sort. In ash sorting, the number of comparisons get reduced thus enhance the speed of the sorting. This could be beneficial algorithm where one wants to sort a large number of elements in less time in comparatively easy manner.

Table 1: Comparison with Linear sort

No of elements in array Mean <u>Time</u> Taken in Sorting	1000	10000	20000	30000
Linear Sort (in Seconds) \perp	0.025	0.72	2.9	6.43
Ash Sort (in Seconds)	0	0.28	0.99	2.27



Graph 1: Comparing Time Taken By Sorting Techniques

References:

- T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. Introduction to Algorithms. MIT Press, Second edition, Sept. 2001.
- [2] J. Dean and S. Ghemawat. MapReduce: Simplified data processing on large clusters. In Sixth Symposium on Operating System Design and Implementation, Dec. 2004.
- [3] G. Graefe. Implementing sorting in database systems. ACM Comput. Surv., 38(3):10, 2006.
- [4] B. He, W. Fang, N. K. Govindaraju, Q. Luo, and T. Wang. Mars: A MapReduce framework on graphics processors. Technical Report HKUST-CS07-14, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, HKUST, Nov. 2007.
- [5] D. E. Knuth. The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 3: Sorting and Searching. Addison-Wesley, Boston, MA, Second edition, 1998.