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Summary
String searching is a very important component of many problems, including text editing, text searching and symbol manipulation. In this paper a string searching algorithm is proposed as an improvement of the brute-force searching algorithm. The algorithm is named Start-End-Mid Algorithm. The proposed algorithm does not preprocess neither the pattern nor the text to perform searching.
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1. Introduction
Although we deal with data in a lot of forms, text remains the main form to exchange information and take advantage of it.

String searching sometimes called string matching is concerned in finding the occurrences of a substring (called the pattern) of length m in a string (called the text) of length n (where \( n \geq m \)) [1-3].

In order to search for a pattern within a string, an algorithm is needed to find the pattern as well as to know the locations where it was found in a given sequence of characters.

A lot of algorithms were created to perform string searching. Each algorithm uses a specific strategy to perform the search. Some need to preprocess the pattern [4-6]. Others need to preprocess the text; also there are algorithms that require both the pattern and the text to be preprocessed before searching [7] and some do not perform preprocessing neither for the text nor for the pattern.

One of the simplest string searching algorithms is the Brute-Force algorithm. It is probably the first algorithm we might think of for solving the pattern searching problem. It requires no preprocessing of the pattern or the text [12].

The idea is that the pattern and text are compared character by character [8][10]; in the case of a mismatch, the pattern is shifted one position to the right and comparison is repeated, until a match is found or the end of the text is reached [1].

The algorithm works with two pointers; a "text pointer" \( i \) and a "pattern pointer" \( j \). For all \( (n-m) \) possibly valid shifts, pattern and text are compared; while text and pattern characters are equal, the pattern pointer is incremented. If a mismatch occurs, \( i \) is incremented, \( j \) is reset to zero and the comparing process is restarted. In case a match is found, the algorithm returns the position of the pattern; if not, it returns not found message [9, 12].

The worst case will happen if all the characters of the pattern were matched with the text segment except the last one.

Refracting to the algorithm, the outer for-loop is executed at most \( n-m+1 \) times and the inner loop is executed at most \( m \) times. Thus, the running time (time complexity) of the brute force algorithm is: \( O((n-m+1)m) \) which is \( O(nm) \) [8]. In the worst case, when \( n \) and \( m \) are equal, this algorithm has a quadratic running time [1].
3. Start-End-Mid Algorithm

This algorithm finds all the occurrences of the pattern in the text. It does not require performing preprocessing neither for the text nor for the pattern.

The idea is that the first, last and mid characters of the pattern are first compared to the corresponding first, last and mid characters of the segment taken from the text, in which we start by comparing the first character in the pattern with the first character in the segment, if they match, then we continue by comparing the last character in the pattern with the last character in the text, if a match occurs then we proceed by comparing the mid character in the pattern with the mid character in the text, if a match occurs, then the rest of the characters of the pattern will be compared with the rest of the characters of the segment taken, character by character. In the case of a mismatch while performing character by character comparison, we directly take the next segment of the text shifted one position from the previous one, else continue comparing. If all the characters of the pattern match with the characters of the segment then signal that the pattern was found and at which location in the text it was found. After that, proceed with the next segment to find other occurrences of the pattern in the text. If we have scanned all of the segments of the text without matching the pattern with any of the introduced segments a not found signal is performed. Fig. 1 illustrates the algorithm in a flowchart to find the first occurrence of the pattern within the text.

3.1 Algorithm Steps

Step 1: Divide the text into segments in which the first segment begins from element at index 0, the second segment begins at the element of index 1 and so on. That is each segment to be taken is shifted one character than the previous one.

Step 2: Compare the first character of the pattern with the corresponding first character of the segment taken, if a match occurs, then go to the next step. If a mismatch occurs, then take the next segment and repeat step 2.

Step 3: Compare the last character of the pattern with the corresponding last character of the segment taken, if a match occurs, then go to the next step, else if a mismatch occurs, then take the next segment of the text and go to step 2.

Step 4: Check the length of the pattern. If the pattern consists of two characters then go to Step 5. While, if it consists of more than two characters then compare the floor(length of the pattern/2) character of the pattern with the corresponding floor(length of the pattern/2) character of the segment taken, if a match occurs, then go to the next step, else if a mismatch occurs, then take the next segment of the text and go to step 2.

Step 5: Perform character by character comparison for the rest of the characters of the pattern with the rest of the characters of the segment taken. Note that, in this step if the pattern consists of two characters then the first and last characters will not be considered within the comparison, while; if the pattern consists of more than one character then the first, last and floor(length of the pattern/2) characters are not considered in the comparison process. If a mismatch is encountered while matching in any step of the comparison, then we stop comparing and proceed with the next segment for comparison and go to step 2, else continue comparing. If all the characters of the pattern match with the characters of the segment then signal that the pattern was found and at which location in the text it was found. After that, proceed with the next segment and repeat step 2, to search for other occurrences of the pattern in the text.

4. Results

The proposed algorithm finds all the occurrences of the pattern in the text. The improvement process for the Brute-Force algorithm within the proposed (Start-End-Mid) algorithm does not require performing preprocessing for the pattern as the other algorithms that have improved the Brute-Force algorithm do. Table 1 summaries the algorithms that has improved the brute-force algorithm with their time complexity.

The time complexity for the proposed Start-End-Mid algorithm can be detailed as follows:

- If the first, last and mid characters of the pattern does not match with the first, last and mid characters of all the segments in the text, then the time complexity would be:
  \[ O( ((n-m)+1) * (m-3) ) \].

- If the first character of the pattern does not match the first character of all the segments in the text, then the time complexity would be:
  \[ O(n-m+1) \].

Table 2 illustrates the differences in the time complexity between the brute-force algorithm and the proposed start-end-mid algorithm depending on an example where the number of characters of the text is 14 and of the pattern is 5.
Fig. 1: A flowchart for the proposed algorithm to find the first occurrence of a pattern within the text.
Table 1: A summary for the algorithms that has improved the brute-force algorithm with their time complexity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm</th>
<th>Preprocessing the Pattern</th>
<th>Time Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brute-Force Algorithm</td>
<td>No preprocessing</td>
<td>$O((n-m+1) \cdot m)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-End-Mid Algorithm</td>
<td>No preprocessing</td>
<td>$O(((n-m)+1) \cdot (m-3))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start-to-End Algorithm</td>
<td>No preprocessing</td>
<td>$O(((n-m)+1) \cdot (m-2))$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabin-Karp Algorithm</td>
<td>Preprocesses the pattern</td>
<td>$O(nm)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knuth-Morris-Pratt Algorithm</td>
<td>Preprocesses the pattern</td>
<td>$O(nm)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boyer-Moore Algorithm</td>
<td>Preprocesses the pattern</td>
<td>$O(nm)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The differences in the time complexity between the brute-force algorithm and the proposed start-end-mid algorithm depending on an example where the number of characters of the text is 14 and the number of characters of the pattern is 5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Brute-Force Time Complexity</th>
<th>Start-to-End Time Complexity</th>
<th>Start-End-Mid Time Complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If the pattern is not found after performing character matching for all of the segments of the text with the characters of the pattern.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If the first character of the pattern does not match the first character of all the segments in the text.</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper has proposed a string searching algorithm as an improvement for the brute-force algorithm without the need to preprocess neither the pattern nor the text. The improvement that this algorithm has offered over the brute-force algorithm is that it does not allow character by character matching between the segment taken from the text and the pattern only after it checks that the first, last and mid characters in the pattern match the corresponding first, last and mid characters in the segment taken from the text. This process of checking improved the time of searching of the brute-force algorithm.
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