

Comparison of Fingerprint Classification using KFCG Algorithm with Various Window Sizes and Codebook Sizes

¹H.B.Kekre ²Sudeep D. Thepade ¹Dimple Parekh

¹MPSTME
²PCCOE, Pune

Abstract

In biometric identification, fingerprints are most widely used. Fingerprint identification has become time consuming because of growing size of fingerprint databases. Fingerprint classification can be one of the significant preprocessing steps to improve the speed of fingerprint identification systems. Fingerprint Classification is done to put a given fingerprint to one of the existing classes. Classifying fingerprint images is a very difficult pattern recognition problem, due to the small interclass variability. In this paper a comparative analysis based on vector quantization for fingerprint classification using Kekre's Fast Codebook Generation (KFCG) is proposed using various codebook sizes and window sizes. KFCG is one of the better and faster vector quantization codebook generation methods. Here, Fingerprint Classification is done using KFCG codebook of sizes 4, 8 and window sizes 2x2, 4x4, 6x6, 7x7, 8x8, 9x9, 10x10 and 16x16. The proposed approach is computationally lighter. It is observed that the method effectively improves the computation speed and provides accuracy of 84% for window size 7 and codebook of size 4 and for codebook of size 8 accuracy is 80.40% for window size 8.

Keywords

Vector Quantization, Kekre's Fast Codebook Generation (KFCG), Fingerprint Classes.

1. Introduction

Preprocessing of fingerprint images greatly influences the performance of fingerprint identification systems. Poor-quality and noisy fingerprint images mostly result in false singular points (SPs) and missing singular points which generally results in lack of overall performance of the identification systems. The major problem in designing fingerprint classification system is to determine what features should be obtained and how these features can categorize the fingerprint into their classes [13]. Fingerprint classification not only reduces comparisons of fingerprints, but also improves the overall effectiveness of fingerprint identification system.

The paper proposes a scheme, which mainly deals with fingerprint classification devoid of preprocessing of images and fetching of singular points. Classification is done using vector quantization (VQ). KFCG is one of the VQ codebook generation techniques which forms clusters by taking mean squared error difference. The paper is

organized as follows: Section II describes considered classes of fingerprints, Section III explains about KFCG algorithm. Section IV presents proposed novel approach of fingerprint classification and Section V consists of results and discussions.

2. Fingerprint Classes

In the Henry system of classification, there are three essential fingerprint patterns: loop, whorl and arch, which constitute 60–65%, 30–35% and 5% of all fingerprints respectively [12]. There are also more complex classification systems that break down patterns even further, into plain arches or tented arches, plain whorl or double loop and into loops that may be right or left. These patterns may be further divided into sub-groups by means of the smaller differences existing between the patterns in the identical broad collection as shown in Figure 1.

A. Loop

A loop is a fingerprint pattern in which one or more of the ridges enter on either side of the impression, recurve, and terminate or tend to terminate on or toward the same side of the impression from whence such ridge or ridges entered. Ridges flowing in the direction of the thumb are termed as Right Loop and that flowing in the direction of little finger are termed as Left Loop, considering Left hand.

B. Arches

In Plain Arch, most of the ridges enter upon one side of the impression and flow or tend to flow out upon the other side; on the other hand, in Tented Arch the ridge or ridges at the center form an upthrust.

C. Whorl

The plain whorl has two deltas and at least one ridge making a complete circuit, which may be spiral, oval, circular, or any variant of a circle. The double loop consists of two separate loop formations, with two separate and distinct sets of shoulders, and two deltas.

3. KFCG

Kekre’s Fast Codebook Generation algorithm [1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6] is used for image data compression and content based image retrieval. This algorithm reduces the time for generating codebook [1, 7, 8, 9, and 10]. It is explained as follows: Initially the set of all training vectors with centroid c_1 is considered as a group/cluster.






Figure 1: Fingerprint Classes a) Double Loop b) Whorl c) Left Loop d) Right Loop e) Plain Arch f) Tented Arch

In the first iteration of the algorithm, the clusters are formed by comparing first element of training vector with first element of codevector C_1 . The vector X_i is grouped into cluster 1 if $x_{i1} < c_{11}$ else vector X_i is grouped into cluster 2 as shown in Figure 2.a. where codevector dimension space is 2.

In the second iteration, cluster 1 is split into two by comparing second element x_{i2} of vector X_i belonging to cluster 1 with that of the second element of the code vector. Cluster 2 is split into two by comparing the second element x_{i2} of vector X_i belonging to cluster 2 with that of the second element of the code vector as shown in Figure 2.b. This procedure is repeated till the codebook size is reached as specified by the user. It is observed that this algorithm requires a lesser amount of time to generate codebook as it does not require any computation of Euclidean distance.



2.a : First Iteration



2.b : Second Iteration

Figure 2: KFCG algorithm for 2 dimensional space.

4. PROPOSED FINGERPRINT CLASSIFICATION USING KFCG

In the training phase, KFCG is applied on fingerprint images and generated codebooks are considered as Feature Vectors. Based on these codebooks the pattern classifier are developed and used for classification. In testing phase the query images can be compared with pattern classifier for fingerprint classification. Here, all the 50 images from the database are used in training as well as testing phase. The percentage of classification accuracy is used to compare the performance of the variation of proposed fingerprint classification method.

Percentage Accuracy of Classification

$$= \frac{\text{No. of images successfully classified}}{\text{No. of classification attempt}} \times 100$$

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

KFCG has been tested on a database of 50 fingerprint images each of size 256x256. The images selected correspond to classes like arch, tented arch, left loop, right loop and whorl. Codebook of size 4 and 8 are used for classification for various window sizes alias 2x2, 4x4, 6x6, 7x7, 8x8, 9x9, 10x10 and 16x16. In all 2 codebook sizes and 8 window sizes have resulted into 16 variations of proposed method. It is observed that KFCG-8 provides the best results. KFCG-16 results in void clusters hence it is not included in the result and discussion. Fig 3, gives the comparative analysis of % classification accuracies for the respective pixel window sizes. The comparison of various codebook sizes used in KFCG for fingerprint classification is represented as fig 4 in form of % classification accuracy for the respective pixel window sizes.




Figure 3: % classification accuracy for respective pixel window sizes




Figure 4: % classification accuracy for considered codebook sizes

Table 1 shows percentage accuracy of classification obtained during experimentation of proposed variants of fingerprint classification methods using KFCG.

Table 1: Percentage accuracy of Classification for proposed variants of Fingerprint Classification using KFCG

Win dow sizes	codebook size =4						codebook size = 8					
	LL	RL	A	TA	W	Average	LL	RL	A	TA	W	Average
2	70	80	10	83.33	90	60	100	0	0	33.33	0	24
4	100	70	50	83.33	80	70	100	70	60	83.33	80	72
6	80	90	60	83.33	90	74	50	70	50	100	70	60
7	100	100	70	100	90	84	30	100	50	100	70	62
8	90	80	40	100	90	78.26	90	100	40	83.33	90	80.4
9	80	100	50	100	80	74	null clusters					
10	90	100	40	100	90	76	null clusters					
16	100	70	70	83.33	70	72	null clusters					

6. CONCLUSION

Classification is an important task for the success of any fingerprint identification system. The performance comparison of variations of VQ based fingerprint classification technique using KFCG is discussed in the paper. In all 8 pixel window sizes are used in KFCG codebook generation for codebook sizes 4 and 8, resulting into 16 variations of proposed method. The experimental results have shown that codebook size 8 gives better performance with higher pixel window sizes. Whereas for lower window sizes the best performance is given by KFCG codebook of size 4 generated using pixel window of size 7x7. A novel technique based on vector quantization for fingerprint classification using Kekre’s Fast Codebook Generation (KFCG) provides accuracy of 80.66% for codebook size 8. It is computationally fast as it does not include calculation of any distances. Future work consists of testing the proposed approach on a large database.

References

- [1] Dr. H. B. Kekre, Dr. Sudeep D. Thepade, Dimple A Parekh, “Fingerprint Classification using KFCG Algorithm”, International Journal of Computer Sciences and Informaiton Security (IJCSIS), Vol. 9 No. 12, Dec 2011, pp 78-81.
- [2] H. B. Kekre, Sudeep D. Thepade, Tanuja K. Sarode and Vashali Suryawanshi ‘Image Retrieval using Texture Features extracted from GLCM, LBG and KPE’. International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering, Vol. 2, No. 5, October, 2010.
- [3] H. B. Kekre, Kamal Shah, Tanuja K. Sarode, Sudeep D. Thepade, “Performance Comparison of Vector Quantization Technique – KFCG with LBG, Existing Transforms and PCA for Face Recognition”, International Journal of Information Retrieval (IJIR), Vol. 02, Issue 1, pp.: 64-71, 2009

- [4] H. B. Kekre, Tanuja K. Sarode, Sudeep D. Thepade, "Image Retrieval using Color-Texture Features from DCT on VQ Codevectors obtained by Kekre's Fast Codebook Generation", ICGST-International Journal on Graphics, Vision and Image Processing (GVIP), Volume 9, Issue 5, pp.: 1-8, 2009.
- [5] H.B.Kekre, Tanuja K. Sarode, Sudeep D. Thepade, Vaishali Suryavanshi, "Improved Texture Feature Based Image Retrieval using Kekre's Fast Codebook Generation Algorithm", Springer-International Conference on Contours of Computing Technology (Thinkquest-2010), Babasaheb Gawde Institute of Technology, Mumbai, 13-14 March 2010, The paper will be uploaded on online Springerlink.
- [6] H. B. Kekre, Tanuja K. Sarode, Sudeep D. Thepade, "Image Retrieval using Color-Texture Features from DCT on VQ Codevectors obtained by Kekre's Fast Codebook Generation." In.: ICGST-Int. Journal GVIP, Vol. 9, Issue 5, pp. 1-8, (Sept 2009).
- [7] R. M. Gray, "Vector quantization", In.: IEEE ASSP Mag., pp.: 4-29, (Apr. 1984).
- [8] Y. Linde, A. Buzo, and R. M. Gray, "An algorithm for vector quantizer design", In.: IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. COM-28, no. 1, pp.: 84-95. (1980).
- [9] H.B.Kekre, Sudeep D. Thepade, Nikita Bhandari, Colorization of Greyscale images using Kekre's Biorthogonal Color Spaces and Kekre's Fast Codebook Generation", CSC Advances in Multimedia- An International Journal (AMIJ), Volume 1, Issue 3, pp. 49-58, Computer Science Journals, CSC Press, <http://www.cscjournals.org/csc/manuscript/Journals/AMIJ/volume1/Issue3/AMIJ-13.pdf>
- [10] H. B. Kekre, Tanuja K. Sarode, "New Fast Improved Codebook Generation Algorithm for Color Images using Vector Quantization," International Journal of Engineering and Technology, vol.1, No.1, pp.: 67-77, September 2008.
- [11] H. B. Kekre, Tanuja K. Sarode, Sudeep D. Thepade, "DCT Applied to Column mean and Row Mean Vectors of Image for Fingerprint Identification", International Conference on Computer Networks and Security, ICCNS-2008, 27-28 Sept 2008, Vishwakarma Institute of Technology, Pune.
- [12] Sir Edward R. Henry, "Classification and Uses of Finger Prints". London: George Rutledge & Sons, Ltd., 1900 <http://www.clpex.com/Information/Pioneers/henry-classification.pdf>.
- [13] M.Chong, T.Ngee, L.Jun, R.Gay, "Geometric framework for fingerprint image classification", Pattern Recognition, volume 30, No. 9, pp.1475-1488, 1997.



Dr. H. B. Kekre has received B.E. (Hons.) in Telecomm.Engineering. from Jabalpur University in 1958, M.Tech (Industrial Electronics) from IIT Bombay in 1960, M.S.Engg. (Electrical Engg.) from University of Ottawa in 1965 and Ph.D. (System Identification) from IIT Bombay in 1970 He has worked as Faculty of Electrical Engg. And then HOD Computer Science and Engg. at IIT Bombay. For 13 years he was working as a professor and head in the Department of Computer Engg. at Thadomal Shahani Engineering. College, Mumbai. Now he is Senior Professor at MPSTME, SVKM's NMIMS. He has guided 17 Ph.Ds, more

than 100 M.E./M.Tech and several B.E./ B.Tech projects. His areas of interest are Digital Signal processing, Image Processing and Computer Networking. He has more than 270 papers in National / International Conferences and Journals to his credit. He was Senior Member of IEEE. Presently He is Fellow of IETE and Life Member of ISTE Recently seven students working under his guidance have received best paper awards. Currently 10 research scholars are pursuing Ph.D. program under his guidance.



Dr. Sudeep D. Thepade has Received Ph.D. Computer Engineering from SVKM's NMIMS in 2011, M.E. in Computer Engineering from University of Mumbai in 2008 with Distinction, B.E.(Computer) degree from North Maharashtra University with Distinction in 2003.. He has about 09 years of experience in teaching and industry. He was Lecturer in Dept. of Information Technology at Thadomal Shahani Engineering College, Bandra(w), Mumbai for nearly 04 years, then worked as Associate Professor and HoD Computer Engineering at Mukesh Patel School of Technology Management and Engineering, SVKM's NMIMS, Vile Parle(w), Mumbai. Currently he is Professor, Computer Engineering at PCCOE, Pune. He is member of International Advisory Committee for many International Conferences, acting as reviewer for many referred international journals/transactions including IEEE and IET. His areas of interest are Image Processing and Biometric Identification. He has guided five M.Tech. projects and several B.Tech projects. He more than 135 papers in National/International Conferences/Journals to his credit with a Best Paper Award at International Conference SSPCCIN-2008, Second Best Paper Award at ThinkQuest-2009, Second Best Research Project Award at Manshodhan 2010, Best Paper Award for paper published in June 2011 issue of International Journal IJCSIS (USA), Editor's Choice Awards for papers published in International Journal IJCA (USA) in 2010 and 2011.



Dimple A Parekh currently working as Asst. Professor in IT Department has completed M.Tech(I.T) from Mukesh Patel School of Technology and Engineering, SVKM's NMIMS Deemed to be University in 2011, B.Tech(I.T) from Thakur College of Engineering and Technology in 2005. She has worked in the area of Fingerprint Classification. Her areas of interest are Image processing, Computer Vision and Data Mining.