

# Separation Axioms of Fuzzy Bitopological Spaces

Hong Wang

College of Science, Southwest University of Science and Technology, Mianyang 621010, Sichuan, P.R.China

## Abstract

In this paper, we give and study different types of separation axioms using the remotest neighbourhood of a fuzzy point and a fuzzy set in the fuzzy supratopological Spaces  $(X, \tau_s)$  which is generated by the fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Several properties on these separation axioms are researched.

## Keywords:

*Fuzzy supratopological, fuzzy bitopological, remotest neighbourhood, separation axioms.*

## 1. Introduction

A.S.Mashhoue, F.H.Kehdr and M.H.Chenim [3] defined the fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

A.Kandil, A.D.Nouh and S.A. Sheikh [4] defined the fuzzy supratopological Spaces  $(X, \tau_s)$  generated by the

fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ . C.K.wong [7]

introduced the concepts of fuzzy point and their neighbourhood. But there are some drawbacks in this study. For overcoming the problem that traditional neighbourhood method was no longer effective in fuzzy topology, Liu and Pu [6] introduced the concept of the so-called Q- neighbourhood. Nearly at the same time, Wang [5] introduced the concept of the so-called remotest neighbourhood to study fuzzy topology. The latter concept has more extensive application than the former one. Nishimura [8] defined the strong neighbourhood of the fuzzy point and he defined the fuzzy filter generated by all the open neighbourhood and opened strong neighbourhood of the fuzzy point. In sections 3-6, we define two types of FPT-spaces and FPR-spaces and

study some properties on them.

## 2. Notations and Preliminaries

All fuzzy sets on universe  $X$  will be denoted by  $I^X$ . The class of all fuzzy points in universe  $X$  will be denoted by  $FP(X)$ . Use Greek letters as  $\mu, \eta, \delta \dots$  etc. to denote fuzzy sets on  $X$ . Also  $P$  stands for pairwise.

A fuzzy point [5]  $p_\sigma$  be defined as the ordered pair  $(p, \sigma) \in X \times (I - \{0\})$ , where  $I = [0, 1]$ . If  $\sigma \leq \mu(p)$ , then  $(p, \sigma) \in \mu$  and we call  $(p, \sigma)$  belongs to  $\mu$ . Also if  $\sigma < \mu(p)$ , then  $(p, \sigma) \in^* \mu$  and we call  $(p, \sigma)$  belongs strongly to  $\mu$ .

**Definition2.1[3].** Let  $X$  be any set and  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be two fuzzy topologies on  $X$ . The triple  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be a fuzzy bitopological space.

**Definition2.2[2,8].** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fuzzy topological space and  $(p, \sigma) \in FP(X)$ . Then (i) An fuzzy set  $\mu$  s.t.  $(p, \sigma) \in \mu$  is said to be an open neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$ . The fuzzy filter generated by all the open neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$  is denoted and defined as :  $V_{(p, \sigma)} = \{\mu \in I^X : \exists \rho \in \tau, (p, \sigma) \in \rho \subseteq \mu\}$ . Each fuzzy set belonging to  $V_{(p, \sigma)}$  is said to be an neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$ . (ii) An open fuzzy set  $\mu$  s.t.  $(p, \sigma) \in^* \mu$  is said to be an open \*-neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$ . The fuzzy filter generated by all the open \*-neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$  is denoted and defined as :

$$V_{(p, \sigma)}^* = \{\mu \in I^X : \exists \rho \in \tau, (p, \sigma) \in^* \rho \subseteq \mu\}.$$

Each fuzzy set belonging to  $V_{(p, \sigma)}^*$  is said to be an \*-neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$ .

**Definition2.3[6].** The fuzzy filter generated by all the open Q-neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$  is denoted and defined as :

$$V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q = \{\mu \in I^X : \exists \rho \in \tau, (p, \sigma)q\rho \subseteq \mu\}.$$

Each fuzzy set belonging to  $V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q$  is said to be an Q-neighbourhood of  $(p, \sigma)$ .

**Definition2.4[6].** Let  $Y$  be a crisp subset of an fuzzy topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $(X, \tau_Y)$  is said to be a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $\tau_Y$  is a fuzzy topology on  $Y$  given by  $\tau_Y = \{Y \cap \mu, \mu \in \tau\}$ . A subspace  $(X, \tau_Y)$  is open (closed) if the crisp fuzzy set  $Y$  is open (closed) in  $\tau$ .

**Definition2.5[2,9].** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fuzzy topological space and  $\mu, \rho \in I^X$ . A fuzzy set  $\rho$  is said to be: (i) R-neighbourhood of a fuzzy point  $(p, \sigma)$  if for some closed fuzzy set  $\lambda$  have  $(p, \sigma) \notin \lambda \supseteq \rho$ . (ii)  $R^*$ -neighbourhood of a fuzzy point  $(p, \sigma)$  if for some closed fuzzy set  $\lambda$  have  $(p, \sigma) \notin^* \lambda \supseteq \rho$ .

The collection of all the R-neighbourhoods of  $(p, \sigma)$  (resp.  $R^*$ -neighbourhoods of  $(p, \sigma)$ ) is denoted by

$R_{(p,\sigma)}$  (resp.  $R_{(p,\sigma)}^*$ ) i.e.

$$R_{(p,\sigma)} = \{\rho \in I^X : \exists \lambda \in \tau, (p, \sigma) \notin \lambda, \lambda \supseteq \rho\}$$

$$(R_{(p,\sigma)}^* = \{\rho \in I^X : \exists \lambda \in \tau, (p, \sigma) \notin^* \lambda, \lambda \supseteq \rho\}).$$

**Definition2.6[4].** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space and  $\mu \in I^X$ . Then: Associated with the fuzzy closure operators  $\tau_1 - cl$  and  $\tau_2 - cl$  define the mapping  $C_{12} : I^X \rightarrow I^X$  as:  $C_{12}(\mu) = \tau_1 - cl(\mu) \cap \tau_2 - cl(\mu)$ .

**Definition2.7[4].** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : the pair  $(X, \tau_s)$  is said to be the associated fuzzy supratopological space of  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ , where

$\tau_s = \{\mu \in I^X : \mu = \mu_1 \cup \mu_2, \mu_1 \in \tau_1, \mu_2 \in \tau_2\}$ .  $\mu \in \tau_s$  is said to be fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open or fuzzy supraopen in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  and its complement is said to be fuzzy supreclosed in  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ .

**Theorem2.1[9].** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an fuzzy topological space and  $\lambda \in I^X$ . Then : (i)  $V_{(p,\sigma)}^* = V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q$ . (ii)  $\lambda \in V_{(p,\sigma)}^*$  iff  $\lambda' \in R_{(p,1-\sigma)}^*$ . (iii)  $\lambda \in R_{(p,\sigma)}^*$  iff  $\lambda' \in V_{(p,1-\sigma)}^*$ . (iv)  $\lambda \in V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q$  iff  $\lambda' \in R_{(p,\sigma)}$ .

**Theorem2.2[9].** If  $(X, \tau)$  be an fuzzy topological space and  $(Y, \tau_Y)$  is a subspace of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\mu \in I^Y$ . We define:

$$(i) R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y = \{Y \cap \rho, \rho \in R_{(p,\sigma)}\}.$$

$$(ii) R_{(p,\sigma)}^{*Y} = \{Y \cap \rho, \rho \in R_{(p,\sigma)}^*\}.$$

Then  $R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y$  (resp.  $R_{(p,\sigma)}^{*Y}$ ) is the collection of R-neighbourhoods (resp.  $R^*$ -neighbourhoods) of  $\mu$  in the space  $(Y, \tau_Y)$ .

### 3. Separation axioms $FP^0T_0$ and $FPT_0$

**Definition3.1.** An fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i)  $\in FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $\in FPT_0$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $\mu$  (resp.  $\mu \in \tau_1' \cap \tau_2'$ ) s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)})$  or  $(\mu \in R_{(q,\delta)}, \mu \notin R_{(p,\sigma)})$ .

(ii)  $*FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $*FPT_0$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $\mu$  (resp.  $\mu \in \tau_1' \cap \tau_2'$ ) s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}^*, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)}^*)$  or  $(\mu \in R_{(q,\delta)}^*, \mu \notin R_{(p,\sigma)}^*)$ .

**Theorem3.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $\in FPT_0$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\lambda \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) s.t.  $(\lambda \in V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q, \lambda \notin V_{(q,\delta)}^Q)$  or  $(\lambda \in V_{(q,\delta)}^Q, \lambda \notin V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q)$ . Iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\lambda \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) s.t.  $(\lambda \in V_{(p,1-\sigma)}^*, \lambda \notin V_{(q,1-\delta)}^*)$  or  $(\lambda \in V_{(q,1-\delta)}^*, \lambda \notin V_{(p,1-\sigma)}^*)$ . (ii)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $*FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $*FPT_0$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\lambda$  (resp.  $\lambda \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) s.t.

$$(\lambda \in V_{(p,1-\sigma)}, \lambda \notin V_{(q,1-\delta)}) \text{ or } (\lambda \in V_{(q,1-\delta)}, \lambda \notin V_{(p,1-\sigma)}).$$

Proof. (i) Follows from Theorem 2.1 (iii)(iv) and by putting  $\mu' = \lambda$  in Definition 3.1(i).

(ii) Follows from Theorem 2.1 (ii) and by putting  $\mu' = \lambda$  in Definition 3.1(ii).

**Theorem3.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $\in FP^0T_0 \Rightarrow \in FPT_0$  (ii)  $*FP^0T_0 \Rightarrow *FPT_0$ .

Proof. We prove part (i) and proof of the other part is similar. Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0T_0$ . Let  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0T_0$ , then  $\exists \mu \in \tau'_s$  s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)})$  or  $(\mu \in R_{(q,\delta)}, \mu \notin R_{(p,\sigma)})$ . But  $\tau'_s \subseteq \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ , then  $\exists \mu \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$  s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)})$  or  $(\mu \in R_{(q,\delta)}, \mu \notin R_{(p,\sigma)})$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPT_0$ .

**Theorem3.3.** A subspace of  $a \in FPT_0$  (resp.  $*FPT_0$ ) is  $\in FPT_0$  (resp.  $*FPT_0$ ).

Proof. We prove the case  $\in FPT_0$ , and the proof of the other case is similar. Suppose  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is a subspace of  $a \in FP^0T_0$ . Let  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(Y), p \neq q$ . Then  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPT_0$ , then  $\exists \mu \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$  s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)})$  or  $(\mu \in R_{(q,\delta)}, \mu \notin R_{(p,\sigma)})$ . So,  $\exists \mu^0 = \mu \cap Y \in \tau_1^0 \cap \tau_2^0$  s.t.  $(\mu^0 \in R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y, \mu^0 \notin R_{(q,\delta)}^Y)$  or  $(\mu^0 \in R_{(q,\delta)}^Y, \mu^0 \notin R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y)$ , where  $R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y = \{Y \cap \rho : \rho \in R_{(p,\sigma)}\}$ . Hence  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is  $\in FPT_0$ .

**Theorem3.4.** A subspace of  $a \in FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $*FP^0T_0$ ) is  $\in FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $*FP^0T_0$ ).

Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem3.3.

#### 4. Separation axioms $FP^0T_1$ and $FPT_1$

**Definition4.1.** An fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i)  $\in FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $\in FPT_1$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $\mu, \lambda$  (resp.  $\mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ ) s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)})$  and  $(\lambda \in R_{(q,\delta)}, \lambda \notin R_{(p,\sigma)})$ . (ii)  $*FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $*FPT_1$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $\mu, \lambda$  (resp.  $\mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ ) s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}^*, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)}^*)$  and  $(\lambda \in R_{(q,\delta)}^*, \lambda \notin R_{(p,\sigma)}^*)$ .

**Theorem4.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $\in FPT_1$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\eta, \rho$  (resp.  $\eta, \rho \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) s.t.  $(\eta \in V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q, \eta \notin V_{(q,\delta)}^Q)$  and  $(\rho \in V_{(q,\delta)}^Q, \rho \notin V_{(p,\sigma)}^Q)$ .

Iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\eta, \rho$  (resp.  $\eta, \rho \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) s.t.  $(\eta \in V_{(p,1-\sigma)}^*, \eta \notin V_{(q,1-\delta)}^*)$  and  $(\rho \in V_{(q,1-\delta)}^*, \rho \notin V_{(p,1-\sigma)}^*)$ . (ii)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $*FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $*FPT_1$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X), p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\eta, \rho$  (resp.  $\eta, \rho \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) s.t.  $(\eta \in V_{(p,1-\sigma)}, \eta \notin V_{(q,1-\delta)})$  and  $(\rho \in V_{(q,1-\delta)}, \rho \notin V_{(p,1-\sigma)})$ .

Proof. (i) Follows from Theorem 2.1 (iii)(iv) and by putting  $\mu' = \eta, \lambda' = \rho$  in Definition4.1(i).

(ii) Follows from Theorem 2.1 (ii) and by putting  $\mu' = \eta, \lambda' = \rho$  in Definition4.1(ii).

**Theorem4.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $\in FP^0T_1 \Rightarrow \in FPT_1$  (ii)  $*FP^0T_1 \Rightarrow *FPT_1$ .

Proof. It follows from the fact that  $\tau'_s \subseteq \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ .

**Theorem4.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $\in FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $\in FPT_1$ )  $\Rightarrow \in FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $\in FPT_0$ ). (ii)  $*FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $*FPT_1$ )  $\Rightarrow *FP^0T_0$  (resp.  $*FPT_0$ ).

Proof. Obvious.

**Theorem4.4.** A subspace of  $a \in FPT_1$  (resp.  $*FPT_1$ ) is  $\in FPT_1$  (resp.  $*FPT_1$ ).

Proof. We prove the case  $\in FPT_1$ , and the proof of the other case is similar. Suppose  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is a subspace of  $a \in FPT_1$ . Let  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(Y), p \neq q$ . Then  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPT_1$ , then:  $\exists \mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$  s.t.  $(\mu \in R_{(p,\sigma)}, \mu \notin R_{(q,\delta)})$  and  $(\lambda \in R_{(q,\delta)}, \lambda \notin R_{(p,\sigma)})$ . So,  $\exists \mu^0 = \mu \cap Y \in \tau_1^0 \cap \tau_2^0$  and  $\exists \lambda^0 = \lambda \cap Y \in \tau_1^0 \cap \tau_2^0$  s.t.  $(\mu^0 \in R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y, \mu^0 \notin R_{(q,\delta)}^Y)$  and  $(\lambda^0 \in R_{(q,\delta)}^Y, \lambda^0 \notin R_{(p,\sigma)}^Y)$ . Hence  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is  $\in FPT_1$ .

**Theorem4.5.** A subspace of  $a \in FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $*FP^0T_1$ ) is  $\in FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $*FP^0T_1$ ).

Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem4.4.

#### 5. Separation axioms $FP^0T_2$ and $FPT_2$

**Definition5.1.** An fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i)  $\in FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $\in FPT_2$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma),$

$(q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ ,  $p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $\mu, \lambda$  (resp.  $\mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ ) where  $\mu \in R_{(p, \sigma)}, \lambda \in R_{(q, \delta)}$  s.t.  $\lambda \cup \mu = 1_X$ . (ii)  $*FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $*FPT_2$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ ,  $p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -closed set  $\mu, \lambda$  (resp.  $\mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ ) where  $\mu \in R_{(p, \sigma)}^*, \lambda \in R_{(q, \delta)}^*$  s.t.  $\lambda \cup \mu = 1_X$ .

**Theorem5.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $\in FPT_2$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ ,  $p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\eta, \rho$  (resp.  $\eta, \rho \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) where  $\eta \in V_{(p, \sigma)}^Q, \rho \in V_{(q, \delta)}^Q$  and  $\eta \cap \rho = 0_{X'}$ . Iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ ,  $p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\eta, \rho$  (resp.  $\eta, \rho \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) where  $\eta \in V_{(p, 1-\sigma)}^*, \rho \in V_{(q, 1-\delta)}^*$  and  $\delta \cap \rho = 0_{X'}$ . (ii)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $*FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $*FPT_2$ ) iff  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ ,  $p \neq q$  implies that there exists a fuzzy  $\tau_s$ -open set  $\eta, \rho$  (resp.  $\eta, \rho \in \tau_1 \cup \tau_2$ ) where  $\eta \in V_{(p, 1-\sigma)}, \rho \in V_{(q, 1-\delta)}$  and  $\eta \cap \rho = 0_{X'}$ .  
Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem4.1

**Theorem5.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $\in FP^0T_2 \Rightarrow \in FPT_2$  (ii)  $*FP^0T_2 \Rightarrow *FPT_2$ .  
Proof. It follows from the fact that  $\tau'_s \subseteq \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$ .

**Theorem5.3.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $\in FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $\in FPT_2$ )  $\Rightarrow \in FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $\in FPT_1$ ). (ii)  $*FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $*FPT_2$ )  $\Rightarrow *FP^0T_1$  (resp.  $*FPT_1$ ).  
Proof. We prove the case (i) and the proof of the other case is similar. Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPT_2$ . Let  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ ,  $p \neq q$ . then:  $\exists \mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$  where  $\mu \in R_{(p, \sigma)}, \lambda \in R_{(q, \delta)}$  s.t.  $\lambda \cup \mu = 1_X$ . Since  $\mu \in R_{(p, \sigma)}$ , then  $(p, \sigma) \notin \mu$  and since  $\lambda \cup \mu = 1_X$ , then  $(p, \sigma) \in \lambda$ . So  $\lambda \notin R_{(p, \sigma)}$ . Similar we have  $\mu \notin R_{(q, \delta)}$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPT_1$ .

**Theorem5.4.** A subspace of  $a \in FPT_2$  (resp.  $*FPT_2$ ) is  $\in FPT_2$  (resp.  $*FPT_2$ ).  
Proof. We prove the case  $\in FPT_2$ , and the proof of the

other case is similar. Suppose  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is a subspace of  $a \in FPT_2$ . Let  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(Y)$ ,  $p \neq q$ . Then  $(p, \sigma), (q, \delta) \in FP(X)$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPT_2$ , then:  $\exists \mu, \lambda \in \tau'_1 \cap \tau'_2$  where  $\mu \in R_{(p, \sigma)}, \lambda \in R_{(q, \delta)}$  s.t.  $\lambda \cup \mu = 1_X$ . So,  $\exists \mu^0 = \mu \cap Y \in \tau_1^0 \cap \tau_2^0$  and  $\exists \lambda^0 = \lambda \cap Y \in \tau_1^0 \cap \tau_2^0$  s.t.  $\lambda^0 \cup \mu^0 = 1_Y$ . Hence  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is  $\in FPT_2$ .

**Theorem5.5.** A subspace of  $a \in FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $*FP^0T_2$ ) is  $\in FP^0T_2$  (resp.  $*FP^0T_2$ ).  
Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem5.4.

## 6. Separation axioms $FP^0R_0$ and $FPR_0$

**Definition6.1.** An fuzzy bitopological space  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is said to be (i)  $\in FP^0R_0$  (resp.  $\in FPR_0$ ) iff  $\tau_i$ -cl( $(p, \sigma)$ )  $\bar{q}\mu$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (resp.  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \bar{q}\mu \forall \mu \in R_{(p, 1-\sigma)}$ ). (ii)  $*FP^0R_0$  (resp.  $*FPR_0$ ) iff  $\tau_i$ -cl( $(p, \sigma)$ )  $\bar{q}\mu$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (resp.  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \bar{q}\mu \forall \mu \in R_{(p, 1-\sigma)}^*$ ).

**Theorem6.1.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0R_0$  (resp.  $\in FPR_0$ ) iff  $\tau_i$ -cl( $(p, \sigma)$ )  $\subseteq \lambda$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (resp.  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \subseteq \lambda \forall \lambda \in V_{(p, 1-\sigma)}^Q$ ). Iff  $\tau_i$ -cl( $(p, \sigma)$ )  $\subseteq \lambda$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (resp.  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \subseteq \lambda \forall \lambda \in V_{(p, \sigma)}^*$ ). (ii)  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $*FP^0R_0$  (resp.  $*FPR_0$ ) iff  $\tau_i$ -cl( $(p, \sigma)$ )  $\subseteq \lambda$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  (resp.  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \subseteq \lambda \forall \lambda \in V_{(p, \sigma)}$ ).  
Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem3.1.

**Theorem6.2.** Let  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  be fuzzy bitopological space. Then : (i)  $\in FP^0R_0 \Rightarrow \in FPR_0$  (ii)  $*FP^0R_0 \Rightarrow *FPR_0$ .  
Proof. We prove part (i) and proof of the other part is similar. Suppose  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FP^0R_0$ . Let  $\mu \in R_{(p, 1-\sigma)}$ , then  $\tau_i$ -cl( $(p, \sigma)$ )  $\bar{q}\mu$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \bar{q}\mu$ . Hence  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPR_0$ .

**Theorem6.3.** A subspace of  $a \in FPR_0$  (resp.  $*FPR_0$ ) is  $\in FPR_0$  (resp.  $*FPR_0$ ).  
Proof. We prove the case  $\in FPR_0$ , and the proof of the other case is similar. Suppose  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is a subspace of

an  $\in FPR_0$ . Let  $\mu \in R_{(p,1-\sigma)}^Y$ , then  $\mu \in R_{(p,1-\sigma)}$ . Since  $(X, \tau_1, \tau_2)$  is  $\in FPR_0$ , then  $C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \bar{q}\mu$ . So  $C_{12}^0((p, \sigma)) \bar{q}\mu$ , where  $C_{12}^0((p, \sigma)) = C_{12}((p, \sigma)) \cap Y$  and  $R_{(p,1-\sigma)}^Y = \{Y \cap \rho : \rho \in R_{(p,1-\sigma)}\}$ . Hence  $(Y, \tau_1^0, \tau_2^0)$  is  $\in FPR_0$ .

**Theorem6.4.** A subspace of  $a \in FP^0 R_0$  (resp.  $*FP^0 R_0$ ) is  $\in FP^0 R_0$  (resp.  $*FP^0 R_0$ ).

Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem6.3.

Similar with 4 and 5, we can further study Separation axioms  $FP^0 R_1$  and  $FPR_1$  (resp.  $FP^0 R_2$  and  $FPR_2$ ). Omitted here.

## References

- [1] B.Hutton, Normality in fuzzy topological spaces, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 50 (1975), 74-79.
- [2] M.E.Abd El-Monsef and A.F.Ramadan, On fuzzy supratopological spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 18 (4) (1987), 322-329.
- [3] A.S.Mashhour and M.H.Ghanim, Fuzzy closure spaces, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 106 (1985), 154-170
- [4] A.Kandil, A.A.Nouh and S.A.Sheikh, on fuzzy bitopological spaces, fuzzy sets and systems. 16(5) (1983), 79-85.
- [5] G.J.Wang, A new fuzzy compactness defined by fuzzy nets, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 94 (1983), 1-24
- [6] P.P.Ming and L.Y.Ming, Fuzzy topology 1, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 76 (1980), 571-599
- [7] C.K.Wong, Fuzzy points and local properties of fuzzy topology, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 56 (1976), 621-633.
- [8] T.Nishimura, Fuzzy Monad Theory and Fuzzy Topology, Math. Japonica, 31 (1986), 895-926
- [9] H. Wang, On Weakly Compact Sets in L-Fuzzy Topological Spaces, International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security, 2010, 10(6) P333-336
- [10] H. Wang, The Refinement of Fuzzy Topogenous structure and It's Properties. Fuzzy Systems and Math. 25(4) (2011), 83-86