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Summary
Computer Assisted Language Learning Systems have gained a lot of attention in recent decades. Mispronunciation detection is probably the most important feature of these systems. It helps user to find out their pronunciation mistakes and provide useful feedback related to that mistake. Mispronunciation detection systems can be categorized in two classes; Posterior Probability based and Classifier based systems. In this paper pronunciation assessment problem is formulated as a classification problem. This research paper explores the Acoustic Phonetic Features (APF) rather than traditional Confidence Measure based scores for mispronunciation detection. Support Vector Machines (SVM) is used as a classifier to detect pronunciation mistakes. As a test case five Arabic phonemes are tested for mispronunciation detection. APF based classifier produced excellent results and give average accuracy of 97.5%. The proposed system outperforms the existing systems that have been developed for Arabic phonemes.
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1. Introduction:
In this era, the world has become a global village. Modern technologies has made it possible for everyone to stay in contact with each other while staying in different regions of the world. This has led to an increase in demand to learn new languages. Arabic is the 5th largest language in terms of number of native speakers. There are over a billion of speaker who speaks Arabic. Arabic is also important for all the Muslims across the world because their religious book “The Holy QURAN” is in Arabic. So all the Muslims across the world use Arabic for their religious obligations. Computer Assisted Language Learning (CALL) systems have gained a lot of attention because of the advancement in Artificial Intelligence. Pronunciation training is an important feature of CALL systems. These systems detect pronunciation mistakes from user’s speech and provide them useful feedback [1-5]. Pronunciation mistakes can be grouped in two classes; complete mispronunciation and partial mispronunciation. In Complete mispronunciation, a phoneme is mispronounced completely different. While in partial mispronunciation detection, a phoneme is not completely mispronounced but there is only slight variations from actual pronunciation of the phonemes. In this research, a complete mispronunciation detection system is designed for Arabic phonemes. There are two types of mispronunciation detection systems used; posterior probability based and classifier based. When complete mispronunciation detections are considered, classifier based approaches can be more useful as compared to other methods. In this case, mispronunciation detection problem is considered as 2-class classification problem. [1-2]

There has been many systems developed by different researchers for mispronunciation detection. In posterior probability based methods, different approaches has been designed. Goodness of Pronunciation (GOP) has been proposed by Witt et al. [6] to check the pronunciation quality and it is considered as a benchmark method for posterior probability based methods. There are different variations of GOP proposed by researchers [1-12]. Al-hindi et al. [18] developed a GOP based mispronunciation detection system for 5 Arabic phonemes. In classifier based approaches, Troung et al. [4] has developed a system to detect mispronunciation for Dutch using decision trees and Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA). Wei et al. [2] developed a system for Mandarin syllables using SVM classifier. Strik et al. [5] carried out a comparative analysis between four different techniques of mispronunciation detection. These approaches included GOP, LDA with MFCCs, decision trees and LDA with acoustic phonetic features (APF). The results show that LDA with APF outperformed GOP methods and all other methods. The advantage of classifier based approach is that more acoustic phonetic features can be tested and incorporated in mispronunciation detection systems. [5]
In this paper, a classifier based approach is proposed for Arabic mispronunciation detection system. SVM is considered as a good binary classifier due to its general nature which is suited for 2-class classification problems. Different acoustic features have been used to train the classifiers. For a test case, five Arabic phonemes have been tested and results are very promising.

The rest of the paper has been organized as follows: section 2 explains the materials and methods section and section 3 covers results and discussion followed by conclusion and future work.

2. Materials and Methods:

2.1 Dataset:

Arabic is the 5th largest language in terms of native speakers but still there hasn’t been much of the work done for pronunciation training. This is the reason that there is no standard dataset available for Arabic. Most of the researchers make their own datasets from extracting the required information from recorded Arabic sentences available on internet. In this research a dataset has been recorded from the Pakistani speakers who have been learning Arabic as their second language. The dataset was recorded from 100 speakers, these speakers include from very proficient speakers to beginners. These speakers were asked to read Arabic phonemes in office environment. Details of dataset is presented in Table-1.

Dataset labeling is a time consuming yet important step in classification process. In order to train the classifier, labelled dataset is required. 3 different Arabic language experts have been asked to label the data. These language expert has extensive knowledge of Tajweed, they rate the pronounced phonemes as correct or incorrect and a phoneme is labelled as correct or incorrect if at-least 2 language experts agree on the same label. Details of Arabic Phonemes used in this research are given in Table-2.

Table 1: Details for dataset used for this experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Speakers</th>
<th>Adult Male</th>
<th>Adult Female</th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Native</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Details of all Arabic Phonemes used in this Research

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Letter</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>IPA Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ث</td>
<td>thaa’&lt;</td>
<td>[θ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>haa’&lt;</td>
<td>[h]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>saad</td>
<td>[sˁ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ض</td>
<td>daad</td>
<td>[d̪ ˁ]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ظ</td>
<td>zaa’&lt;</td>
<td>[ðˁ]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 SVM based Mispronunciation Detection System

CALL systems are usually based on Posterior Probabilities or Acoustic Phonetic Features. Most of the work done in this field are based on Posterior Probabilities which are calculated using Confidence Measure (CM). These CM are originally designed for Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) toolkits. Very little emphasis has been given to APF based mispronunciation detection classifiers. The reason behind such little work is the fact that suitability of acoustic features for mispronunciation detection has not been researched properly. In this research, more APF features have been used to train classifier. In this way suitability of APF have also been analyzed. The APF used here are Root Mean Square Energy (RMSE), MFCCs along with its first and second derivative, Low Energy, Spectral features, zero-cross rate, Pitch and statistical features that includes mean, standard derivation, slope, periodic frequency, periodic amplitude, periodic entropy [13-17]. Then global statistical features have also been calculated for each of these feature. The statistical features used here are different from the statistical features used in ASR based mispronunciation detection systems.
When mispronunciation detection is considered as a classification problem, different classifiers can be used for mispronunciation detection. Artificial Neural Networks, Random Forest, decision trees etc. Support Vector Machines (SVM), is a very good binary classifier. SVM has been selected due to its generalization ability and its suitability to 2-class classification problem. In this research a separate classifier for each Arabic phone has been designed because each phone represents a different pronunciation mistakes. The extracted features for each phone are used to train each SVM classifier. Then this trained classifier can detect the pronunciation mistakes.

Support vector machines (SVM) has been used for classification. To evaluate the results accuracy has been used. Accuracy of the system has been defined as:

\[Accuracy = \frac{\text{Correctly Classified Phonemes}}{\text{Total No. of Phonemes}} \times 100\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

To evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed APF based classifier for mispronunciation detection system, as a test case 5 Arabic phonemes have been considered. These five Arabic phonemes are mostly mispronounced by the Pakistani and Indian Speakers while learning Arabic as their second language. A separate SVM classifier has been trained for each phoneme because this research is based on phone level mispronunciation detection.

1. Results and Discussion:

This section presents the results for the proposed system. Here, mispronunciation detection problem is defined as a 2-class classification problem. All the correctly pronounced phonemes are categorized in class 1 and all the mispronunciations have been categorized in class 2.
Table 3: Comparison of our proposed technique with existing Arabic CAPT systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg. Accuracy</td>
<td>97.5%</td>
<td>52.2%</td>
<td>81.88%</td>
<td>92.95%</td>
<td>80.92%</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When these system was tested, it shows excellent result. The accuracy of the system is presented in Fig 1. The results show that the proposed system gives the accuracy of 94.7%, 97.89%, 100%, 97.3% and 97.5% respectively for the phonemes. These excellent accuracies shows that when mispronunciation detection has been treated as a 2-class problem, it can be solved relatively easily. The proposed system beat the other existing systems developed for Arabic mispronunciation detection. The system developed by Al-Hindi et al. also detect mispronunciations for these 5 Arabic phonemes. A detailed comparison is presented in fig 2. The proposed system continuously beat the system developed by Al-Hindi et al. [18]. A comparison between the weighted average accuracies is shown in Table 3. The average accuracy of the proposed system is better than the existing systems. This strengthen this argument that when mispronunciation detection problem is formulated using APF using a good classifier, it can outperform the traditional ASR based mispronunciation detection systems.

**Conclusion:**

In this paper, mispronunciation detection problem is defined as 2-Class classification problem rather than an ASR based system. The proposed system were trained using acoustic phonetic features. The feature set includes Pitch, Zero-Cross rate, MFCCs along with first and second derivative, RMSE, global statistical features and low energy. The proposed system produced excellent results with an average accuracy of 97.5% for five Arabic phonemes. There is still a need to develop a system which can automatically extract acoustic features based on the pronunciation error.
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