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Summary
Trust highlights as an important factor in helping citizens to overcome the perceived risks. Accordingly, the in-depth investigation of citizen's trust has become a necessity for researchers and practitioners to understand citizens' acceptance of e-government in developed countries in general, and developing countries in particular. This research paper proposes a theoretical model to achieve this task. The research paper also aims to develop items to measure constructs in the theoretical proposed model. Q-Methodology has been used to validate the measurement items that have been developed. However, conducting two-phase Q-sort led to the development of a survey instrument for the theoretical proposed model with excellent reliability and validity of statistical results.
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1. Introduction

In the past few years, many governments around the world have sought to activate the e-government initiative to provide their citizens with more appropriate ways to access government information and services [1]. Many of the research conducted has addressed e-government services and factors that may affect the overall adoption of these services [2] [3]. Among the factors that has shown an important role in the success or failure of the adoption of these e-government services in general, building trust between the citizen and the government [4] [5] [6]. In this research paper, citizen trust can be defined as belief or expectation that the government and IT will provide a particular service in the absence of monitoring their performance [7]. However, in-depth research on the study of trust in e-government services, particularly in Arab countries such as Jordan, is still relatively low [8] [9] [10]. This is based on the nature of these countries, which are dominated by social relations and cohesion of families, which means that the governments of those countries must do more to understand this to be able to build trust with citizens, and thus will have a significant impact on the success of the adoption of e-government. This research paper aims to identify the factors that are likely to affect the citizens' trust in e-government from multi-dimensional perspective on the one hand, and on the other hand, the development of survey instruments to measure the theoretical constructs in the proposed model.

2. Literature Review

The concept of trust is a broad concept that encompasses many areas including psychology, sociology, and management science [11]. However, it is noted that these areas share the common values of the concept of trust, which may enable researchers to reuse the results between different contexts. Many definitions of trust have been formulated so far. But one of the most common definitions is the definition of trust as a party's willingness to be susceptible to another party's action based on the expectation that the other party will perform the important action of the first party, regardless of the ability to monitor either party [12]. Regardless of context, trust usually has the following characteristics: First, it is highly related to risk, as trust usually arises when there is risk [7]. Risks cause uncertainty and insecurity. Trust is the most effective instrument to deal with such risks. It is worth mentioning that trust helps to overcome these risks by changing how they are conceived. Second, trust subjectivity in terms of situation and person [13]. People usually judge the risks involved in a particular situation and may decide to take the risk if they see benefits that may return to them more than the risks they may cause. Therefore, the necessary level of trust that we need is based primarily on the level of perceived risks, and we conclude that they differ from one party to another. Moreover, past experiences may play a vital role in the perception of risk, making it a complex phenomenon. Third, trust nature that is oriented towards the future [14]. It is necessary for future risky situations and involves the expectation that a trusted partner will not act opportunistically. Previous research has shown the importance of the trust factor in influencing the adoption of the use of electronic services in general and e-government services in particular, despite their different characteristics [1] [7] [15] [16]. Citizens' expectations of their governments are different from those of other organizations [17]. Thus, the dynamics of e-government services are very similar to other e-services, but are not similar to them, making it a different field of research [18]. The proliferation of news in the media about what is
known as phishing, selling customer data without their consent and the disclosure of staff records, all of these have increased citizens' concerns about how their privacy and personal data will be protected [19]. These and other reasons were behind the increased negative sensitivity of citizens towards the adoption of e-government services. Acceptance of e-government services depends on several factors, including trust in government and information technology [3] [20]. However, the increasing negative sensitivity of citizens and the media with regard to trust is clearly undeniable. This is the case. Governments should realize that without an in-depth analysis of the trust factors in e-government such as socio-cultural environment factors, socio-political environment factors, service based factors and ICT based infrastructure factors, the potential of e-government cannot be achieved [30]. After all, gaining citizen trust is critical to governments, which directly affects the adoption of e-government services [3] [6]. This also applies to the Jordanian government.

In a study of [26], a framework of four types of antecedents affecting trust in e-government was proposed. This proposed framework incorporates technical factors (system quality, service quality and information quality), government agency factors (reputation of an agency and past experience), risk factors (security and privacy, time risk and performance risk), and aspects of citizens (age, gender, education, disposition to trust and internet experience).

Another study of [27] showed that there are various factors that govern the degree of citizen trust in the adoption of e-government services, these factors are summarized in three main categories, namely Internet-based trust factors (propensity to trust and level of Internet experience), organization-based trust factors (organizational reputation and quality of previous online transaction experience) and website-based trust factors (website quality, website security and privacy statements).

A study of [28] also showed other factors affecting citizen trust in the adoption of e-government services such as individual-based trust factors (computer self-efficacy and personal innovativeness). However, a study of [29] reached the same results as a study of [27] regarding the factors that may affect the trust of citizens in the adoption of e-government services.

3. Research Model

The theoretical research model in Figure 1 consists of four constructs that define the conceptual model of how citizens' trust can affect the adoption of e-government services. These constructs are the results of a previous study by the author of this research paper. This proposed model can be applied to government to citizen (G2C) services and identifies the roles of important factors in the process of trust in the adoption of e-government services.

3.1 Socio-Political Environment Factors

Based on previous research, socio-political environment factors are among the factors that have a significant impact on the success of the adoption of e-government services [21]. In this research, the socio-political environment is defined as an uncontrollable external environment and often has an impact on decision-making in the country, particularly national strategies and policies, which means affecting the services provided to all segments of society. Three constructs resulted from a previous study [30] in order to evaluate the socio-political environment factors to support the development of e-government services in this research. Since the political environment prevails in most developing countries, including Jordan, is not sufficiently developed to radically change public services, the development of the socio-political environment in these countries is likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services in Jordan. This conclusion is consistent with some previous research, which considered that factors such as political support and stability have an impact on the success of technology transfer in different contexts.

H1: Socio-political environment (top management support, finance and change management) is likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services.

3.2 Socio-Cultural Environment Factors

Based on previous research, socio-cultural environment factors are among the factors that have a significant impact
on the success of the adoption of e-government services [22]. In this research, the socio-cultural environment is defined as the practices, beliefs and traditions within a given society. Four constructs resulted from a previous study [30] in order to evaluate the socio-cultural environment factors to support the development of e-government services in this research. Since the cultural environment prevails in most developing countries, including Jordan, is not sufficiently developed to accept radical change, particularly in public services, the development of the socio-cultural environment in these countries is likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services in Jordan. This conclusion is consistent with some previous research, which considered that factors such as power distance, sharing experiences and information, past than future orientation and gender roles have an impact on the success of technology transfer in different contexts.

H2: Socio-cultural environment (corruption, power distance, peer influence and academic research) is likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services.

3.3 ICT-Based Infrastructure Factors

Based on previous research, ICT-based infrastructure factors are among the factors that have a significant impact on the success of the adoption of e-government services [23]. In this research, the ICT-based infrastructure is defined as the technical services and facilities needed to enable and implement e-government services. Three constructs resulted from a previous study [30] in order to evaluate the ICT-based infrastructure factors to support the development of e-government services in this research. Since the cultural environment prevails in most developing countries, including Jordan, is not developed enough to successfully deliver e-government services, the development of the national ICT-based infrastructure in these countries is likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services in Jordan. This conclusion is consistent with some previous research, which considered that factors such as accessibility, e-commerce infrastructure and IT support team have an impact on the success of technology transfer in different contexts.

H3: Robust ICT-based infrastructure (Internet accessibility, e-commerce transactions and technical support team) is likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services.

3.4 Service-Based Factors

Based on previous research, citizens’ aspects of IT usage are among the factors that have a significant impact on the success of the adoption of e-government services [15]. In this research, the service usage factors are defined as the cognitive aspects of citizens in the provision of e-government services. Four constructs resulted from a previous study [30] in order to evaluate the service usage factors to support the development of e-government services in this research. This conclusion is consistent with some previous research, which considered that factors such as ease of use, usefulness, self-efficacy, control and commitment have an impact on the success of technology transfer in different contexts.

H4: Service usage aspects (ease of use, usefulness, documentation and self-efficacy) are likely to be among the main determinants of increasing trust among citizens to adopt and implement e-government Services.

4. Research Methodology

4.1 Instrument Development: Generation of Items

The theoretical constructs in this research were developed in a previous study of the researcher [30]. Multi-item scales were developed for measuring constructs in the research model. The theoretical constructs in this research paper are between three and four items. As shown in the research model (Figure 3), there are five main constructs, all developed in a previous study of the researcher to measure the factors affecting citizens' trust in the adoption of e-government services. Table 1 shows a pool of constructs’ items to be entered into the data-matrix to allow Q-Sort process analysis.

Table 1: constructs’ items to be entered into the data-matrix to allow Q-sort analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical Construct</th>
<th>Construct Items</th>
<th>Number of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Socio-political environment</td>
<td>Top management support, flexibility</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socio-cultural environment</td>
<td>Corruption, power distance, peer influence</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT-based infrastructure</td>
<td>E-commerce transactions, technical support team</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service-based aspects</td>
<td>Usability, documentation, self-efficacy</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust in e-government services</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 Scale Development Process: Q-Sort Method

The Q-Sort method is also known as factor analysis technique [24], which falls under the Q-Methodology. The origin of its development is due to William Stephenson in [31]. This methodology has been used in many social sciences to investigate the correlation between individuals' viewpoints on specific phenomena. In the Q-methodology, the items represent the sample in Q-Sort while the people who complete the Q-Sort can be considered as the experimental condition [24] [31]. However, the Q-methodology is concerned with investigating the correlations between subjects through a sample of items. In this research, one of the reasons for choosing the Q-methodology is its ability to assess reliability [24] [31] on the one hand, and on the other hand, the validity of the questionnaire items that are usually prepared in survey research [24] [31]. The methodology is based on two phases [25]. In the first phase, the questionnaire items are sorted by a group of judges in the field according to different constructs. The joint agreement between the judges in each phase is calculated at this phase. In the second phase, some of the items, which were not classified well or were vague in the first phase, were reformulated in a clearer manner, and if this is not possible, they were deleted. This two-phase process is repeated until a satisfactory level of agreement is achieved. Judges are experienced individuals in the e-government program in Jordan. Judges were divided into pairs. Each pair has two judges per phase. The judges are responsible for sorting the list of items into groups, which collectively represent the five constructs of the research model. As an indicator of construct validity, the differences and similarities between the items sorted above are carefully analyzed.

The Q-Sort process begins by defining the five constructs of the research model including the items to the judges. The judges then randomly mix items that are supposed to measure the five constructs. The developed items are classified into categories according to the five constructs with the option “Not Applicable” to investigate the scale of each construct accurately. Each phase was assisted by two independent judges to agree on a specific classification of the items under the categories representing the constructs of the above research model.

In a review of the literature on the investigation of the validity and reliability of questionnaire items (or instrument), two measures were used to assess the joint agreement level between the participating judges: Cohen’s Kappa [32] and Moore and Benbasat’s “Hit Ratio” [33]. However, joint agreement level between the participating judges is usually referred to by calculating the number of items that all judges agree to classify and within a given category. The value of the number of items agreed by judges is divided by the total number of items in order to reach the percentage of agreement between the participating judges. The Cohen’s Kappa measure is usually referred to as is the proportion of cases in which there is agreement between the participating judges, excluding the chance agreement. The Cohen’s Kappa measure should be greater than 0.65 to be acceptable [32]. While Moore and Benbasat’s “Hit Ratio” [33] represents the number of items that are categorized accurately in the categories targeted by the participating judges. This measure is calculated by counting all the items that are accurately sorted into the theoretical construct by each independent judge, and then dividing them by double the total number of items.

5. Research Findings

In the first phase, 46 items were sorted on 5 theoretical constructs. The percentage of joint agreement between the participating judges was 84% as shown in Table 2, while the total initial classification of the items within the targeted constructs reached 81% as shown in Table 3, where 70 out of 86 of the items were categorized accurately.

Table 3 shows the results of the first Q-sort phase, where it is noted that there is no major misclassification of any of the items within the five theoretical constructs described above in the research model. The diagonal values give a good indication that the items classified by the judges involved in this phase reflect one of the five targeted constructs above. For example, 4 out of 23 of the classifications were not successful for the first construct (socio-political), but the classifications were 2 for the second construct (socio-cultural) and the same for the fourth construct (service usage aspects). There is a strong correlation between how change management and values and cultural habits prevail in a society on the one hand, and on the other hand, change may cause practices that may affect the service provided to citizens and how to benefit from it. For the second construct (socio-cultural), 3 out of 15 of the classifications were not successful, where the misclassifications were 1 for the third construct (ICT infrastructure) and the other for the fourth construct (service usage aspects). There is a strong correlation between society culture and the use of e-services such as ease of use, usefulness, documentation and self-efficacy. For the third construct, 4 out of 13 of the classifications were not successful and were associated with the first construct (socio-political). Not surprisingly, there is a strong correlation between support and funding at the national level and the construction of IT infrastructure. For the fourth construct, 4 out of 18 of the classifications were not successful and were associated with both the second construct (socio-political) and the third construct (ICT infrastructure) through 2 misclassifications for each construct. Not surprisingly, there is a strong correlation
between the usage aspects of e-services, habits and society practices (culture) on the one hand, and the existence of a robust infrastructure to support new e-services on the other. As for the fifth and most important construct, it is expected to be related to the rest of the theoretical constructs as described above, where 1 out of 17 of the classifications were not successful. The percentage is very low and therefore not taken into account in subsequent analysis.

To assess the level of joint agreement between the participating judges for this phase, the value of Cohen's Kappa [32] was calculated at 0.84 (k=84%). This value is an excellent indicator of the joint agreement beyond chance between the participating judges for this phase. However, the percentage of items classified correctly is 81%. According to Table 3, the lowest percentage was 66% for the second and fourth constructs, 69% for the third construct, 82% for the fifth construct and 83% for the first construct. Due to the low number of unsuccessful classifications at this phase, the researcher has deleted, added, and redrafted some items that have been improperly classified into unexpected constructs. This is to ensure that all the theoretical constructs described in the research model are valid.

In the second phase, 36 items were sorted on 5 theoretical constructs. The percentage of joint agreement between the participating judges was 91% with an improvement of 7% compared to the percentage in the first phase, as shown in Table 4, while the total follow-up classification of the items within the targeted constructs reached %92 with an improvement of 1% compared to the percentage in the first phase, as shown in Table 5, where 74 out of 81 of the items were categorized accurately.

Table 2: joint agreement between the participating judges: first Q-sort phase analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical construct</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge 2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: initial classification of the items within the targeted constructs: first Q-sort phase analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted constructs</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total items classified</td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of items classified correctly</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: joint agreement between the participating judges: second Q-sort phase analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theoretical construct</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Judge 1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judge 2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: follow-up classification of the items within the targeted constructs: second Q-sort phase analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted constructs</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Not Applicable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total items classified</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of items classified correctly</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows the results of the second Q-sort phase, where it is noted that there is almost no major misclassification of any of the items within the five theoretical constructs described above in the research model. The diagonal values give very good indication that the items classified by the judges involved in this phase reflect one of the five targeted constructs above. In other words, the modifications introduced in the first phase by the researcher on the items have led to an even slight improvement in the reliability and validity of the constructs described in the research model. In this phase, the value of Cohen's Kappa [32] was also calculated at
validate the survey instrument. After analyzing the first Q -investigate citizens' trust in e-government services in the request. However, these survey instruments can be used to sort phase, 36 items remained and can be provided on constructs. Two -phase Q-sort process was performed to ensure the validity and reliability of the theoretical constructs. In this research paper, a conceptual model of factors that may affect citizens' trust in e-government services has been proposed. The model includes five theoretical constructs that define the concept of citizens' trust in e-government services. A tool to measure these constructs was also developed in this research paper. A group of 46 items was generated. The Q-methodology was then used to ensure the validity and reliability of the theoretical constructs. Two-phase Q-sort process was performed to validate the survey instrument. After analyzing the first Q-sort phase, 36 items remained and can be provided on request. However, these survey instruments can be used to investigate citizens' trust in e-government services in the context under investigation namely, Jordan. In the future, the model, as well as the survey instruments developed, will be tested empirically in different contexts to generalize the results.

6. Conclusion

In this research paper, a conceptual model of factors that may affect citizens' trust in e-government services has been proposed. The model includes five theoretical constructs that define the concept of citizens' trust in e-government services. A tool to measure these constructs was also developed in this research paper. A group of 46 items was generated. The Q-methodology was then used to ensure the validity and reliability of the theoretical constructs. Two-phase Q-sort process was performed to validate the survey instrument. After analyzing the first Q-sort phase, 36 items remained and can be provided on request. However, these survey instruments can be used to investigate citizens' trust in e-government services in the context under investigation namely, Jordan. In the future, the model, as well as the survey instruments developed, will be tested empirically in different contexts to generalize the results.
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