A Review on Data Scarcity in EEG-based Emotion Recognition

Maryam Al Dabel[1]†

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, College of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Hafr Al Batin, Saudi Arabia

Abstract
Emotion recognition through electroencephalography (EEG) has become a vital area of research, offering significant applications in psychology, human-computer interaction, and affective computing. However, the effectiveness of EEG-based emotion recognition systems is often compromised by data scarcity, characterized by limited sample sizes and variability in emotional expressions across individuals. This review examines the challenges posed by data scarcity in EEG studies, highlighting its impact on model performance, generalizability, and research credibility. We explore various techniques aimed at addressing these challenges, including data augmentation, synthetic data generation through Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), transfer learning, cross-dataset validation, and collaborative data sharing. Recent advancements in deep learning, novel signal processing methods, and the integration of multimodal approaches and artificial intelligence are also discussed, showcasing their potential to enhance emotion recognition capabilities. The review emphasizes the need for larger, more diverse datasets and interdisciplinary collaboration to advance the field. By addressing data scarcity and embracing innovative methodologies, the future of EEG-based emotion recognition holds promising avenues for improved mental health assessments and enhanced user experiences across various applications.

Keywords:
EEG (Electroencephalography), Emotion Recognition, Data Scarcity, Machine Learning.

1. Introduction

Emotion recognition plays an important role in several areas, like psychological research, human-computer interaction, and affective computing. Among the different modalities for emotion detection, electroencephalography (EEG) has garnered significant interest owing to its capacity to catch the underlying neural correlates of emotional states with high temporal resolution [1], [2]. EEG-based emotion recognition systems are more likely to enhance user experiences in applications ranging from gaming to mental health assessments. However, the effectiveness of these systems is often hampered by one critical issue: data scarcity.

Data scarcity in EEG research arises from several factors, including limited sample sizes, variability in individual responses, and the challenges associated with data collection in emotional studies [3], [4]. Small datasets can lead to overfitting, poor generalization, and unreliable model performance, limiting the efficacy of emotion recognition systems [5]. The inherent variability of EEG signals, impacted by elements like age, gender, and individual emotional experiences, highlights the significant barrier posed by the lack of diverse and adequately sized datasets in achieving robust and reliable emotional assessments [6].

To overcome the issues raised by data scarcity, scientists have begun exploring various methodologies, including data augmentation techniques, synthetic data generation, and transfer learning approaches. Data augmentation, for example, offers a way to artificially enhance existing datasets by creating variations that simulate real-world conditions [7]. Similarly, machine learning techniques like Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) present promising avenues for generating synthetic EEG data to supplement small datasets [8], [9]. Transfer learning enables models that have been trained on extensive and varied datasets to be adapted for particular tasks, thereby enhancing their performance in situations with limited data [10], [11].

Despite these advancements, the issue of data scarcity remains underexplored within the area of EEG-based emotion recognition. This review aims to synthesize existing research on data scarcity, highlight the challenges associated with limited datasets, and discuss potential strategies for mitigating these issues. This paper presents a thorough overview of the state-of-the-art research, aiming to provide insights into advancing EEG-based emotion recognition systems toward greater reliability and accuracy.

Manuscript received April 5, 2025
Manuscript revised April 20, 2025
https://doi.org/10.22937/IJCSNS.2025.25.4.24

The structure of this paper organized as: Section 2 gives an in-depth overview of EEG technology and its applications in emotion recognition, highlighting the methodologies commonly utilized in this area of study. Section 3 presents an overview of the challenges created by data scarcity specifically within EEG studies, detailing its causes and effects on emotion recognition performance. In Section 4, we explore the challenges posed by limited datasets, including overfitting and the difficulty in achieving generalizability across diverse populations. Section 5 reviews current strategies employed to address data scarcity, including data augmentation, synthetic data generation methods, and transfer learning techniques. In Section 6, we highlight key case studies that exemplify the successful implementation of these methodologies in EEG emotion recognition research. Section 7 discusses future directions for research, suggesting potential avenues for improving data acquisition and model robustness. Section 8 concludes with a summary of findings and their implications for the advancement of EEG-based emotion recognition systems.

2. Background

EEG is defined as a non-invasive method for measuring electrical activity shown in the brain. This method records voltage fluctuations caused by ionic currents in neurons, offering a continuous monitoring of neural activity. This featural representation of brain signals is particularly valuable in emotion recognition, as specific EEG patterns correlate with various emotional states [1], [2].

In particular, EEG is often employed in emotion recognition through different paradigms. For instance, participants can be exposed to emotional stimuli such as videos, images, or sounds while their EEG signals are recorded. These signals are then analyzed using various signal processing and machine learning techniques to classify emotional states [12], [13]. Commonly used features in EEG analysis include spectral power, event-related potentials (ERPs), and connectivity measures. These features help model the complex relationships between brain activity and emotions, promoting the advancement of effective emotion recognition systems.

One of the fundamental benefits of EEG in emotion recognition is its high temporal resolution [5]. However, EEG is also susceptible to artifacts and has relatively low spatial resolution in comparison to other neuroimaging methods, which can complicate data analysis. Unlike other modalities, like functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), EEG signals can produce immediate feedback regarding changes in emotional states, making them an effective tool for dynamic emotional assessments. Additionally, EEG equipment can be relatively portable and cost-effective, making it accessible for diverse research settings, including clinical applications and consumer products [14].

However, despite its strengths, EEG-based emotion recognition faces significant obstacles, particularly concerning data scarcity. Many studies are conducted with small sample sizes due to practical constraints, such as participant availability and the complexity of data collection protocols [3], [4]. This limited data often results in models that generalize poorly, as the training datasets do not encompass the variability present in broader populations. Moreover, the inherent variability in EEG signals, stemming from individual differences in physiology and emotional responses, poses additional challenges, making it difficult to establish reliable patterns across diverse groups [6].

To combat these challenges, researchers have developed various methodologies aimed at enhancing dataset size and quality. These methods are often more computationally efficient than acquiring new data but less likely to fully capture the complexity of everyday life of emotional responses. As an example, data augmentation techniques involve creating synthetic data by introducing variations to existing EEG signals, such as adding noise or applying transformations [7]. Furthermore, the application of synthetic data generation methods, particularly using innovations like GANs, has appeared as a promising avenue for enriching limited datasets [15], [16]. These strategies are essential for developing models that can accurately classify emotions and maintain robustness across different conditions.

In summary, while EEG offers valuable insights into emotional processes with its unique advantages, the challenges posed by data scarcity significantly hinder the advancement of effective emotion recognition systems. Addressing these challenges through innovative methodologies will be crucial for future studies and real applications in the area.

3. Overview of Data Scarcity

Data scarcity poses an important challenge in EEG-based emotion recognition research, impacting the development and performance of emotion recognition algorithms. Data scarcity refers to the lack of sufficient and diverse datasets that accurately represent the varying emotional responses of individuals. This limitation can stem from several interconnected factors, including sample size, variability in individual responses, and the complexity of collecting high-quality EEG data.

Many studies on EEG-based emotion recognition are conducted with relatively few participants, often ranging from 20 to 50 individuals. This small sample size may be due to logistical constraints, such as limited access to participants and the time-consuming nature of EEG experiments. For example, Dataset for Emotion Analysis using Physiological Signals (DEAP) dataset [17], a widely used resource, has only 32 participants. Limited access to participants and the intricate nature of EEG experiments that require substantial time and effort for both setup and data collection [3], [4]. Consequently, the resulting datasets often lack the statistical power necessary to train robust emotion recognition models.

Moreover, individual emotional experiences can vary significantly owing to factors like age, gender, cultural background, and personal history. This variability complicates the development of generalizable models, making it difficult to capture representative patterns across diverse populations [6]. Collecting high-quality EEG data also involves specific challenges, such as controlling environmental noise, ensuring the proper placement of electrodes, and minimizing artifacts caused by movements or external stimuli. These technical difficulties may lead to the loss or exclusion of valuable data, further exacerbating data scarcity [5]. Additionally, ethical considerations regarding participant consent and data privacy can hinder extensive data collection efforts in clinical populations.

Data scarcity has detrimental effects on the performance of emotion recognition methods, commonly resulting in a decrease in classification accuracy compared to models trained on larger datasets [18]. One prominent risk associated with limited datasets is overfitting, in which a model normally trained on a small amount of data may learn to memorize specific patterns rather than generalize to new, unseen data. This results in poor performance when applied to practical scenarios, yielding high accuracy on training datasets but significantly reduced accuracy in real-world applications [7]. This undermines the credibility of emotion recognition systems.

Furthermore, generalizability is often compromised due to data scarcity. Models trained on limited and homogeneous data are less likely to perform well on diverse populations or under varying emotional contexts. Such limitations restrict the applicability of findings across different settings, including clinical applications for mental health assessments [1], [2]. The consequences of data scarcity extend to research credibility, as studies with insufficiently rich datasets risk generating findings that cannot be reliably replicated, hindering progress in the field and leading to skepticism regarding proposed methodologies [3], [4].

In conclusion, data scarcity presents a multifaceted challenge in EEG-based emotion recognition research. Understanding the causes and impacts of limited datasets is crucial for developing targeted strategies to address these issues, such as employing advanced methodologies for data augmentation, synthesis, and enhancement of data diversity.

4. Challenges of Data Scarcity

The challenges posed by data scarcity in EEG-based emotion recognition are multifaceted and significantly impact model performance and generalizability. One prominent risk associated with limited datasets is overfitting, where the model learns to memorize specific patterns in the training data, such as noise or artifacts, rather than generalizing to the underlying neural correlates of emotion. This results in high accuracy on the training set but poor performance on unseen data. This issue is particularly pronounced in EEG datasets with small sample sizes, where the risk of fitting the model to idiosyncratic features is heightened. As a result, such models often exhibit high accuracy on training datasets but fail to perform adequately with new and unseen data, leading to unreliable emotion recognition in real-world scenarios [10], [11].

Additionally, the lack of diversity and representativeness in small datasets can lead to biased models that perform poorly on individuals from underrepresented demographic groups, like older adults or individuals from different cultural backgrounds to name a few. The variability inherent to emotional experiences, which is shaped by factors like cultural background, age, gender, and individual psychological traits, means that emotional expressions and corresponding neural activities can vary widely among individuals. When emotion recognition systems are trained on homogenous datasets, they struggle to accurately detect and interpret emotions from users who do not conform to the learned patterns. Consequently, these systems may inadvertently reinforce biases that appear in the training data, resulting in ineffective or inaccurate predictions for underrepresented groups [3], [4].

Moreover, limited datasets hamper the robustness of findings within the research community. Insufficient data can hinder the development of models that can be reliably validated, leading to a proliferation of research with findings that may not be replicable. This inconsistency can erode scientific trust in the efficacy of proposed methodologies and models, causing skepticism among researchers and practitioners [5]. The inability to reproduce results threatens the advancement of the field and can result in stagnation as researchers grapple with the implications of their findings.

Another significant challenge related to data scarcity is the difficulty in developing effective feature extraction methods. With limited data, the effectiveness of feature selection techniques becomes critical. However, using simplistic feature extraction methods on small datasets may lead to insufficient extraction of relevant information, further complicating the training process for emotion recognition models. Such limitations can lead to a cycle of poor model performance that undermines the potential benefits of EEG as a modality for emotion recognition [12], [13].

In summary, the challenges stemming from data scarcity in EEG-based emotion recognition encompass issues of overfitting, generalizability, research credibility, and effective feature extraction. Overcoming these challenges is essential for developing robust and reliable emotion recognition systems that can effectively interpret the emotional states of individuals in diverse contexts.

5. Techniques to Address Data Scarcity

As data scarcity poses major issues in EEG-based emotion recognition research, various methods have been proposed to boost the robustness and reliability of emotion recognition models. This section discusses five main techniques: data augmentation, synthetic data generation, transfer learning, cross-dataset validation, and collaborative data sharing.

A. Data Augmentation

Data augmentation in many computer science fields involves artificially expanding existing datasets through transformation techniques which are noise addition and geometric transformation. In the context of EEG signals, researchers apply several augmentation strategies tailored to the characteristics of EEG data.

Common techniques include adding noise to the original signals, applying temporal transformations such as time-stretching and pitch-shifting, and creating variations through spatial mix-up by blending EEG signals from different subjects while retaining their emotional labels [7]. As an example, one study introduced new features through incorporating Gaussian noise with varying standard deviations into the original EEG features and employed multiple deep learning methods to assess the impact [19]. Another study demonstrated that augmenting noise to a conditional denoising diffusion probabilistic model can yield synthetic EEG samples that resemble real samples while remaining distinct [20], [21]. This plays a role in significantly improved model performance in classifying emotional states, effectively mitigating overfitting while ensuring the models could generalize better to unseen data [5]. Data augmentation significantly enhances the learning process of models focused on emotion recognition by increasing both the variability and quantity of training data.

Several research focusses on geometric transformations to yield augmented EEG. For example, one study proposed the application of rotational distortions, akin to affine/rotational distortions in images, for the generation of augmented EEG data [22]. Another research proposed three strategies for producing artificial EEG samples using pertinent combinations and distortions of the original samples [23].

All previously mentioned methods indicated that the issue of data scarcity was mitigated, resulting in enhanced classifier performance [24]. Additional data augmentation techniques encompass sliding window, sampling, Fourier transform, and segmentation recombination [7]. While effective in increasing dataset size, it is crucial to ensure that the transformations do not introduce unrealistic artifacts or distort the underlying EEG patterns.

B. Synthetic Data Generation

Synthetic data generation, particularly through techniques such as GANs, has become a useful approach to address data scarcity [8], [9], giving the potential to create more realistic data than simple augmentation techniques, but requiring careful training and validation to avoid generating unrealistic or misleading patterns. GANs consist of two neural networks, namely (i) a generator that produces synthetic samples and (ii) a discriminator that differentiate between real and unreal data. In the context of EEG, GANs can learn the distribution of existing datasets and generate new EEG signals that resemble the statistical properties of the original data [8], [9], [14].

In particular, a conditional variant of the Wasserstein Generative Adversarial Network (WGAN) was employed to enhance EEG data for emotion recognition task in [25]. The researchers experimented with various sizes of augmented data and determined that doubling the data resulted in outstanding performance in comparison to other sizes. An SVM classifier trained on the augmented dataset demonstrated an improvement of 2.97% for the used dataset. In different research the use of a conditional Boundary Equilibrium GAN (cBEGAN) was proposed to yield artificial differential entropy features from eye movement data, original EEG data, and their concatenations for multi-modal emotion recognition task. The fundamental benefit of the proposed GAN is its notable stability and rapid convergence speed [26]. In addition, three methods were introduced in [24] to augment EEG training data aimed at improving the efficacy of emotion recognition methods: conditional Wasserstein GAN, selective variational autoencoder, and selective WGAN. Support Vector Machines and deep neural networks were trained on both original and augmented training datasets. Using augmented training datasets resulted in improve the performance of EEG-based emotion recognition.

Recent studies highlight the effectiveness of using GANs in producing synthetic EEG data to enhance emotion recognition performance. For example, a study showed that models performed significantly better when combining real and GAN-generated data during training compared to those trained only on real data, indicating the potential of GANs to enrich training datasets and improve model robustness [3], [4], [10], [11]. By creating realistic synthetic examples, GANs enable researchers to experiment with a wider range of emotional scenarios, particularly when original datasets may lack specific emotional contexts. Despite significant efforts, research on data augmentation for emotion recognition remains incomplete. For instance, a human can readily determine if an augmented dataset, such as one containing images of cats, retains resemblance to the original class; however, this is not the case for augmented signals. The measurement of quality and diversity in augmented samples, as well as the synthesis of high-quality and diverse augmented samples, warrants further investigation.

C. Transfer Learning

Transfer learning typically uses pre-trained models derived from extensive and varied datasets, adapting them for specific tasks characterized by limited data availability. This technique allows researchers to leverage the feature representations learned from numerous examples, enhancing the initial conditions of training on smaller datasets, which often yields better performance [10], [11].

In particular, a study implemented transfer learning for EEG emotion recognition through choosing data in a more dynamic way that is appropriate for transfer learning and excluding data that could result in negative transfer [27]. Another recent study employed a multi-branch convolutional neural network model applying a cross-attention mechanism in order to extract relevant features from multimodal data in more automated way and to fuse feature maps from several sources for emotion recognition task [28]. Results show that by fine-tuning a convolutional neural network pretrained on a larger dataset emotion classification accuracy and F1 scores improved when compared to models trained from scratch on limited datasets [6], [29]. This approach not only saves time and resources by reducing the data required for effective training but also addresses the issue of overfitting by introducing generalized knowledge about the features relevant to the task.

Despite the existence of enormous publicly available EEG-based cognitive research datasets, like Brain-Computer Interface (BCI), DEAP dataset [17], PhysioNet EEG Motor Movement/Imagery Dataset [31] and SJTU Emotion EEG Dataset (SEED) [30], significant scarcity persists, which adversely affects model performance and training efficiency in recognition tasks [32]. These datasets possess the ability to mitigate issues associated with data scarcity by employing transfer learning based on domain knowledge from source tasks to high performance in appropriate tasks [33]. There is an absence of effective pre-trained models for research using EEG data in contrast to research using image data due to their significant differences in formats. Consequently, creating pre-trained models with available EEG datasets could successfully address such gap as well as establishing a robust fundamental for emotion recognition tasks in building through EEG data and transfer learning.

D. Cross-Dataset Validation

Cross-dataset validation is a methodology where models are validated using data from different datasets, thereby testing their ability to generalize beyond the training set. In particular, this approach is useful in the area of EEG emotion recognition, in which individual differences in EEG patterns can influence model performance [12], [13].

Utilizing publicly available datasets for validation allows researchers to assess the robustness of their models across various populations and conditions. Recent studies that employed cross-dataset validation reported more reliable findings, demonstrating that models developed from one dataset could effectively classify emotions in another, emphasizing their generalizability [34], [35]. This practice encourages researchers to promote its adoption, as it can lead to more efficient and reliable research conclusions in the field.

E. Collaborative Data Sharing

Enhancing collaboration within the research community to establish large, publicly accessible EEG databases can mitigate the challenges of data scarcity [3], [4]. By sharing data across institutions and research networks, researchers can benefit from pooled resources, allowing for more comprehensive studies that incorporate diverse populations and emotional expressions [36], [37], [38].

Initiatives aimed at creating standardized protocols for data collection and labeling are crucial for promoting data sharing, ensuring that datasets are usable and relevant to various research objectives. Research communities that actively share their datasets not only foster innovation but also allow for the replication of studies and validation of findings across multiple contexts, ultimately accelerating the advancement of EEG-based emotion recognition technologies [14], [15], [16].

To sum up, several strategies including data augmentation, synthetic data generation, transfer learning, cross-dataset validation, and collaborative data sharing, serve as effective strategies for addressing data scarcity in EEG-based emotion recognition. Implementing these approaches is critical for enhancing model robustness, improving generalizability, and establishing reliable emotion recognition systems that can function effectively across diverse populations and emotional contexts.

Increasing the quantity and diversity of training data enables researchers to develop models that are more accurate and reliable, thereby better capturing the complexities of human emotional responses. These methodologies promote innovation and advance the field by overcoming the challenge of data scarcity, resulting in more effective applications of EEG-based emotion recognition in real-world scenarios.

6. Recent Advances

Latest research in EEG-based emotion recognition utilizes recent advances in signal processing, machine learning and data acquisition methods to enhance performance, robustness, and applicability across diverse real-world scenario. This section outlines latest updates in the field, focusing on deep learning techniques, innovative signal processing methods, multimodal approaches, and the incorporation of artificial intelligence.

One of the most significant trends in recent research is applying deep learning techniques in EEG emotion recognition, with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) showing promise in automatically extracting relevant features [36], [37], [38]. However, these methods often demand considerable computational resources and could be difficult to interpret. This approach is increasingly utilized to automatically extract relevant features from raw EEG data, thereby enhancing classification performance without extensive manual feature engineering [39], [40]. For example, CNNs have been utilized to analyze time-frequency representations of EEG signals, facilitating the capture of spatial and temporal dynamics of neural activity linked to various emotional states. In a recent study, a hybrid CNN-RNN architecture showed notable enhancements in emotion classification accuracy relative to conventional machine learning models, highlighting the capacity of deep learning to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of EEG analysis [41].

Complementing the rise of deep learning, novel signal processing techniques have also contributed to advances in emotion recognition. For instance, methods such as empirical mode decomposition (EMD), common spatial patterns (CSP) and variational mode decomposition (VMD) have been integrated with machine learning techniques to get discriminative features from EEG signals. The VMD has shown to be particularly effective in enhancing the classification of motor imagery tasks, and its adaptation for emotional states has yielded promising results, particularly in distinguishing between positive and negative emotions [42]. Moreover, recent advancements in time-frequency analysis, such as wavelet transforms and Short-Time Fourier Transforms (STFT), have proven useful in capturing the transient nature of emotions in real time, aligning well with the dynamic features of EEG signals [43].

The integration of multimodal approaches represents another major advancement in the field. The integration of EEG data with additional physiological signals, including facial expressions and galvanic skin response, enables researchers to attain a comprehensive understanding of emotional states. Multimodal emotion recognition systems have exhibited improved performance over unimodal systems, as they can take advantage of the complementary information provided by different modalities [1], [2]. A recent study evidenced that an integrated EEG and facial expression recognition system yielded higher classification accuracy than systems relying solely on EEG data.

Additionally, the involvement of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in EEG emotion recognition is revolutionizing the field. AI algorithms, particularly reinforcement learning and neuro-symbolic AI, are being explored to develop systems capable of adapting and improving their performance based on real-time feedback [14]. For instance, a study utilized different machine learning techniques for the purpose of emotion recognition based on EEG data to better optimize the parameters of emotion recognition models dynamically, allowing for more adaptive systems that can better accommodate individual differences in emotional responses [44].

Finally, the emergence of wearable EEG devices and advancements in BCI technologies are paving the way for more accessible and real scenario applications of EEG-based emotion recognition [3], [4]. Wearable EEG systems enable continuous monitoring of brain activity in everyday settings, allowing for the real-time analysis of emotional states as they occur in natural environments. This progress broadens the potential applications of EEG emotion recognition, ranging from mental health monitoring to personalized user experiences in gaming and virtual reality. However, these systems frequently exhibit reduced signal quality and increased susceptibility to noise compared to conventional EEG configurations.

In summary, latest development in EEG-based emotion recognition are driven by innovative deep learning models, novel signal processing methods, multimodal approaches, AI integration, and the development of wearable technologies. These advancements not only enhance classification performance and generalizability but also open new avenues for real-world applications, making EEG emotion recognition a rapidly evolving and promising field.

7. Future Directions

As the field of EEG-based emotion recognition continues to evolve, several key areas warrant further exploration to enhance the efficacy, applicability, and generalizability of emotion detection systems. This section discusses potential future directions, including the demand for more diverse and larger datasets, advancements in algorithmic approaches, integration of emerging technologies, and ethical considerations.

One of the primary future directions involves the establishment of larger, more diverse, and publicly accessible EEG datasets, with a focus on including participants from underrepresented demographic groups and using standardized protocols for data collection and labeling. Current research is often limited by small sample sizes and variability within training sets. Expanding datasets to include a broader range of emotional expressions across various demographics including age, gender, cultural background, and mental health statuses, can significantly improve the robustness and generalizability of emotion recognition models [3], [4], [29]. Collaborative efforts among researchers to create standardized datasets and protocols for data collection will be essential in achieving this goal, promoting validation and reproducibility in the field.

In parallel, advancements in algorithmic approaches remain crucial to address the complexities inherent in EEG data. Beyond conventional deep learning architectures, there is considerable potential in exploring ensemble learning methods that combine the strengths of multiple algorithms for more accurate emotion classification. Integrating techniques such as attention mechanisms and graph neural networks may enhance extracting of relevant features from EEG signals, leading to improved recognition of nuanced emotional states [5], [14]. Additionally, further investigation into interpretable machine learning will be essential, allowing practitioners to comprehend and rationalize the decision-making processes of emotion recognition systems, so promoting adoption and trust.

The combination of innovative technologies like virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and wearable devices presents exciting opportunities for real-time and context-aware emotion recognition applications. Utilizing EEG in conjunction with VR or AR environments can create immersive experiences where emotional responses can be dynamically monitored and adapted in real time. This not only enhances user experiences in sectors such as gaming, education, and mental health but also provides a richer dataset reflecting real-world emotional responses [45], [46], [47].

As the field advances, ethical considerations must be paramount, including addressing issues of data security, privacy, and the potential for misuse of emotion recognition technology. Clear guidelines are needed to ensure responsible development and deployment. Issues surrounding data security, privacy, and the potential misuse of EEG data must be addressed proactively. Building evident ethical guidelines for data collection, storage, and sharing will ensure the protection of the rights of individuals and foster greater public trust in EEG technologies. Moreover, discussions regarding the implications of using emotion recognition technologies in sensitive environments, such as mental health assessments or security settings, will be essential to navigate ethical dilemmas [36], [37], [38].

Finally, interdisciplinary collaboration will be essential in shaping the future of EEG-based emotion recognition. Partnering with fields like psychology, neuroscience, and behavioral science can yield deeper insights into emotional processes and enhance the contextual understanding necessary for developing more sophisticated systems. Such collaborations will facilitate the integration of technological advancements with human emotional experiences, leading to innovations that are not only effective but also empathetic [6].

To summarize, the future of EEG-based emotion recognition holds immense potential, driven by advancements in dataset diversity, algorithmic complexity, technology integration, ethical awareness, and interdisciplinary collaboration. By pursuing these avenues, scientists can improve more accurate, robust, and socially responsible emotion recognition systems that are better equipped to meet the challenges of a rapidly changing world.

8. Conclusion

This review examines the significant challenge of data scarcity in EEG-based emotion recognition, emphasizing its effects on model performance and generalizability, while discussing various strategies to mitigate this challenge. The limitations arising from small sample sizes, individual variability, and the complexities of data collection significantly affect the performance of emotion recognition models, raising concerns regarding overfitting and generalizability across diverse populations and emotional states.

To address these challenges, several techniques have emerged as effective strategies. Data augmentation, synthetic data generation utilizing Generative Adversarial Networks, transfer learning, cross-dataset validation, and collaborative data sharing have all demonstrated considerable promise in enhancing the quality and quantity of datasets. These methodologies not only help to alleviate the issue of data scarcity but also contribute to the overall advancement of EEG-based emotion recognition, leading to improved modeling of emotional states.

Recent advancements in the field, which are deep learning techniques, novel signal processing methods, multimodal approaches, and the integration of artificial intelligence, are paving the way for future innovations. These advances enable more accurate and effective emotion recognition systems, allowing for real-time applications in diverse contexts ranging from commercial products to mental health interventions.

Looking forward, important endeavors must include the establishment of larger and more diverse publicly accessible datasets, improvements in algorithmic approaches, and a commitment to ethical considerations in data collection and usage. Interdisciplinary collaboration with fields such as psychology and neuroscience will foster a deeper understanding of emotional processes, enhancing the improvement of empathetic and contextually aware emotion recognition systems.

In conclusion, while the journey toward effective EEG-based emotion recognition is challenged by data scarcity, the field is ripe with opportunities for continued research and technological advancements. By pursuing the outlined strategies and fostering a collaborative and ethical research environment, we can unlock the potential of EEG technology to provide deeper insights into human emotions, ultimately enhancing various practical applications and contributing to a more secure and understanding digital world.

References

[1] M. Stikic, R. R. Johnson, V. Tan, and C. Berka, “EEG-based classification of positive and negative affective states,” *Brain-Computer Interfaces*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 99–112, Apr. 2014, doi: 10.1080/2326263X.2014.912883.

[2] V. Doma and M. Pirouz, “A comparative analysis of machine learning methods for emotion recognition using EEG and peripheral physiological signals,” *J Big Data*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 18, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1186/s40537-020-00289-7.

[3] A. De León Languré and M. Zareei, “Improving Text Emotion Detection Through Comprehensive Dataset Quality Analysis,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 12, pp. 166512–166536, 2024, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3491856.

[4] Q. Hu, M. A. A. Murad, and Q. Li, “Advancing music emotion recognition: large-scale dataset construction and evaluator impact analysis,” *Multimedia Systems*, vol. 31, no. 2, p. 123, Apr. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s00530-025-01701-z.

[5] W. Li, W. Huan, B. Hou, Y. Tian, Z. Zhang, and A. Song, “Can Emotion Be Transferred?—A Review on Transfer Learning for EEG-Based Emotion Recognition,” *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Dev. Syst.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 833–846, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.1109/TCDS.2021.3098842.

[6] Z. Fu, B. Zhang, X. He, Y. Li, H. Wang, and J. Huang, “Emotion recognition based on multi-modal physiological signals and transfer learning,” *Front. Neurosci.*, vol. 16, p. 1000716, Sep. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fnins.2022.1000716.

[7] E. Lashgari, D. Liang, and U. Maoz, “Data augmentation for deep-learning-based electroencephalography,” *Journal of Neuroscience Methods*, vol. 346, p. 108885, Dec. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.jneumeth.2020.108885.

[8] A. G. Habashi, A. M. Azab, S. Eldawlatly, and G. M. Aly, “Generative adversarial networks in EEG analysis: an overview,” *J NeuroEngineering Rehabil*, vol. 20, no. 1, p. 40, Apr. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s12984-023-01169-w.

[9] N. Hajarolasvadi, M. A. Ramirez, W. Beccaro, and H. Demirel, “Generative Adversarial Networks in Human Emotion Synthesis: A Review,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 218499–218529, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3042328.

[10] H. Razzaq Abed Alameer, P. Salehpour, H. S. Aghdasi, and M.-R. Feizi-Derakhshi, “Integrating Deep Metric Learning, Semi Supervised Learning, and Domain Adaptation for Cross-Dataset EEG-Based Emotion Recognition,” *IEEE*

Access, vol. 13, pp. 38914–38924, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3536549.
[11] W. Tan *et al.*, "SEDA-EEG: A semi-supervised emotion recognition network with domain adaptation for cross-subject EEG analysis," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 622, p. 129315, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2024.129315.
[12] D. Y. Choi, D.-H. Kim, and B. C. Song, "Multimodal Attention Network for Continuous-Time Emotion Recognition Using Video and EEG Signals," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 203814–203826, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3036877.
[13] J. H. Joloudari, M. Maftoun, B. Nakisa, R. Alizadehsani, and M. Yadollahzadeh-Tabari, "Complex Emotion Recognition System using basic emotions via Facial Expression, EEG, and ECG Signals: a review," 2024, *arXiv*. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2409.07493.
[14] E. H. Houssein, A. Hammad, and A. A. Ali, "Human emotion recognition from EEG-based brain–computer interface using machine learning: a comprehensive review," *Neural Comput & Applic*, vol. 34, no. 15, pp. 12527–12557, Aug. 2022, doi: 10.1007/s00521-022-07292-4.
[15] W. Mai, J. Zhang, P. Fang, and Z. Zhang, "Brain-Conditional Multimodal Synthesis: A Survey and Taxonomy," *IEEE Trans. Artif. Intell.*, pp. 1–20, 2024, doi: 10.1109/TAI.2024.3516698.
[16] G. Bao *et al.*, "Data Augmentation for EEG-Based Emotion Recognition Using Generative Adversarial Networks," *Front. Comput. Neurosci.*, vol. 15, p. 723843, Dec. 2021, doi: 10.3389/fncom.2021.723843.
[17] S. Koelstra *et al.*, "DEAP: A Database for Emotion Analysis ;Using Physiological Signals," *IEEE Trans. Affective Comput.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 18–31, Jan. 2012, doi: 10.1109/T-AFFC.2011.15.
[18] Ms. A. Bansal, Dr. R. Sharma, and Dr. M. Kathuria, "A Systematic Review on Data Scarcity Problem in Deep Learning: Solution and Applications," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 54, no. 10s, pp. 1–29, Jan. 2022, doi: 10.1145/3502287.
[19] F. Wang, S. Zhong, J. Peng, J. Jiang, and Y. Liu, "Data Augmentation for EEG-Based Emotion Recognition with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks," in *MultiMedia Modeling*, vol. 10705, K. Schoeffmann, T. H. Chalidabhongse, C. W. Ngo, S. Aramvith, N. E. O'Connor, Y.-S. Ho, M. Gabbouj, and A. Elgammal, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 10705. , Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 82–93. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-73600-6_8.
[20] G. Siddhad, M. Iwamura, and P. P. Roy, "Enhancing EEG Signal-Based Emotion Recognition with Synthetic Data: Diffusion Model Approach," 2024, *arXiv*. doi: 10.48550/ARXIV.2401.16878.
[21] G. Tosato, C. M. Dalbagno, and F. Fumagalli, "EEG Synthetic Data Generation Using Probabilistic Diffusion Models," Mar. 06, 2023, *arXiv*: arXiv:2303.06068. Accessed: Jul. 14, 2024. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2303.06068
[22] M. M. Krell and S. K. Kim, "Rotational data augmentation for electroencephalographic data," in *2017 39th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, Seogwipo: IEEE, Jul. 2017, pp. 471–474. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2017.8036864.
[23] F. Lotte, "Signal Processing Approaches to Minimize or Suppress Calibration Time in Oscillatory Activity-Based Brain–Computer Interfaces," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 103, no. 6, pp. 871–890, Jun. 2015, doi: 10.1109/JPROC.2015.2404941.
[24] Y. Luo, L.-Z. Zhu, Z.-Y. Wan, and B.-L. Lu, "Data augmentation for enhancing EEG-based emotion recognition with deep generative models," *J. Neural Eng.*, vol. 17, no. 5, p. 056021, Oct. 2020, doi: 10.1088/1741-2552/abb580.
[25] Y. Luo and B.-L. Lu, "EEG Data Augmentation for Emotion Recognition Using a Conditional Wasserstein GAN," in *2018 40th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*, Honolulu, HI: IEEE, Jul. 2018, pp. 2535–2538. doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2018.8512865.
[26] Y. Luo, L.-Z. Zhu, and B.-L. Lu, "A GAN-Based Data Augmentation Method for Multimodal Emotion Recognition," in *Advances in Neural Networks – ISNN 2019*, vol. 11554, H. Lu, H. Tang, and Z. Wang, Eds., in Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 11554. , Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 141–150. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-22796-8_16.
[27] Y. Ma, W. Zhao, M. Meng, Q. Zhang, Q. She, and J. Zhang, "Cross-Subject Emotion Recognition Based on Domain Similarity of EEG Signal Transfer Learning," *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, vol. 31, pp. 936–943, 2023, doi: 10.1109/TNSRE.2023.3236687.
[28] F. Yan, Z. Guo, A. M. Iliyasu, and K. Hirota, "Multi-branch convolutional neural network with cross-attention mechanism for emotion recognition," *Sci Rep*, vol. 15, no. 1, p. 3976, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1038/s41598-025-88248-1.
[29] C. Yu and M. Wang, "Survey of emotion recognition methods using EEG information," *Cognitive Robotics*, vol. 2, pp. 132–146, 2022, doi: 10.1016/j.cogr.2022.06.001.
[30] W.-B. Jiang, X.-H. Liu, W.-L. Zheng, and B.-L. Lu, "SEED-VII: A Multimodal Dataset of Six Basic Emotions with Continuous Labels for Emotion Recognition," *IEEE Trans. Affective Comput.*, pp. 1–16, 2024, doi: 10.1109/TAFFC.2024.3485057.
[31] W. Huang, G. Yan, W. Chang, Y. Zhang, and Y. Yuan, "EEG-based classification combining Bayesian convolutional neural networks with recurrence plot for motor movement/imagery," *Pattern Recognition*, vol. 144, p. 109838, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.patcog.2023.109838.
[32] Z. Wan, R. Yang, M. Huang, N. Zeng, and X. Liu, "A review on transfer learning in EEG signal analysis," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 421, pp. 1–14, Jan. 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2020.09.017.
[33] S. J. Pan and Q. Yang, "A Survey on Transfer Learning," *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 22, no. 10, pp. 1345–1359, Oct. 2010, doi: 10.1109/TKDE.2009.191.
[34] M. N. Imtiaz and N. Khan, "Enhanced cross-dataset electroencephalogram-based emotion recognition using unsupervised domain adaptation," *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 184, p. 109394, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2024.109394.
[35] G. Ghous *et al.*, "Attention-Driven Emotion Recognition in EEG: A Transformer-Based Approach with Cross-Dataset Fine-Tuning," *IEEE Access*, pp. 1–1, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3561137.
[36] R. Pillalamarri and U. Shanmugam, "A review on EEG-based multimodal learning for emotion recognition," *Artif*

Intell Rev, vol. 58, no. 5, p. 131, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.1007/s10462-025-11126-9.

[37] M. Jafari *et al.*, “Emotion recognition in EEG signals using deep learning methods: A review,” *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, vol. 165, p. 107450, Oct. 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.compbiomed.2023.107450.

[38] S. M. Alarcao and M. J. Fonseca, “Emotions Recognition Using EEG Signals: A Survey,” *IEEE Trans. Affective Comput.*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 374–393, Jul. 2019, doi: 10.1109/TAFFC.2017.2714671.

[39] E. Gkintoni, A. Aroutzidis, H. Antonopoulou, and C. Halkiopoulos, “From Neural Networks to Emotional Networks: A Systematic Review of EEG-Based Emotion Recognition in Cognitive Neuroscience and Real-World Applications,” *Brain Sciences*, vol. 15, no. 3, p. 220, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.3390/brainsci15030220.

[40] K. Henni, N. Mezghani, A. Mitiche, L. Abou-Abbas, and A. Benazza-Ben Yahia, “An Effective Deep Neural Network Architecture for EEG-Based Recognition of Emotions,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 13, pp. 4487–4498, 2025, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2025.3525996.

[41] S. Mekruksavanich, N. Hnoohom, W. Phaphan, and A. Jitpattanakul, “Emotion Recognition Using EEG Signals in Human Brain Waves and Deep Learning Approaches,” in *2025 Joint International Conference on Digital Arts, Media and Technology with ECTI Northern Section Conference on Electrical, Electronics, Computer and Telecommunications Engineering (ECTI DAMT & NCON)*, Nan, Thailand: IEEE, Jan. 2025, pp. 363–367. doi: 10.1109/ECTIDAMTNCON64748.2025.10962120.

[42] H. Xu, S. A. Hassan, W. Haider, Y. Sun, and X. Yu, “A Frequency-Shifting Variational Mode Decomposition-Based Approach to MI-EEG Signal Classification for BCIs,” *Sensors*, vol. 25, no. 7, p. 2134, Mar. 2025, doi: 10.3390/s25072134.

[43] G. Lekkas, E. Vrochidou, and G. A. Papakostas, “Time–Frequency Transformations for Enhanced Biomedical Signal Classification with Convolutional Neural Networks,” *BioMedInformatics*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 7, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.3390/biomedinformatics5010007.

[44] A. Prakash and A. Poulose, “Electroencephalogram-Based Emotion Recognition: A Comparative Analysis of Supervised Machine Learning Algorithms,” *Data Science and Management*, p. S2666764924000687, Jan. 2025, doi: 10.1016/j.dsm.2024.12.004.

[45] F. Aji Purnomo, F. Arifin, and H. Dwi Surjono, “Utilizing virtual reality for real-time emotion recognition with artificial intelligence: a systematic literature review,” *Bulletin EEI*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 447–456, Feb. 2025, doi: 10.11591/eei.v14i1.8847.

[46] M. U. Tariq, “Revolutionizing Communication: EEG-Based Brain-Computer Interface for Speech and Mood Detection,” in *Rural Social Entrepreneurship Development*, A. C. Prabhakar, P. Yu, and V. Erokhin, Eds., IGI Global, 2025, pp. 237–264. doi: 10.4018/979-8-3693-7515-0.ch008.

[47] S. Afzal, H. A. Khan, S. Ali, and J. W. Lee, “Virtual Reality Environment: Detecting and Inducing Emotions,” in *2025 IEEE International Conference on Consumer Electronics (ICCE)*, Las Vegas, NV, USA: IEEE, Jan. 2025, pp. 1–4. doi: 10.1109/ICCE63647.2025.10930041.